[Planning Code, Zoning Map - Pier 70 Special Use District]

## Ordinance amending the Planning Code and the Zoning Map to add the Pier 70 Special Use District; making findings under the California Environmental Quality Act, and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1, and Planning Code, Section 302. <br> NOTE: Unchanged Code text and uncodified text are in plain Arial font. Additions to Codes are in single-underline italics Times New Roman font. Deletions to Codes are in strikethrough italics Times New Roman font. Board amendment additions are in double-underlined Arial font. Board amendment deletions are in strikethrough Arial font. Asterisks (* * * *) indicate the omission of unchanged Code subsections or parts of tables.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Planning and Environmental Findings.
(a) California Environmental Quality Act.
(1) At its hearing on August 24, 2017, and prior to recommending the proposed Planning Code amendments for approval, by Motion No. 19976, the Planning Commission certified a Final Environmental Impact Report (FEIR) for the Pier 70 Mixed-Use District Project (Project) pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) (California Public Resources Code Section 21000 et seq.), the CEQA Guidelines ( 14 Cal. Code Reg. Section 15000 et seq.), and Chapter 31 of the Administrative Code. A copy of said Motion is in Board of Supervisors File No. 170864, and is incorporated herein by reference. In accordance with the actions contemplated herein, this Board has reviewed the FEIR, concurs with its conclusions, affirms the Planning Commission's certification of the FEIR, and finds that the
actions contemplated herein are within the scope of the Project described and analyzed in the FEIR.
(2) In recommending the proposed Planning Code Amendments for approval by this Board at its hearing on August 24, 2017, by Motion No. 19977, the Planning Commission also adopted findings under CEQA, including a statement of overriding consideration, and a Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (MMRP). A copy of said Motion and MMRP are in Board of Supervisors File No. 170864, and is incorporated herein by reference. The Board hereby adopts and incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein the Planning Commission's CEQA approval findings, including the statement of overriding considerations. The Board also adopts and incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein the Project's MMRP.
(b) At the same hearing on August 24, 2017, the Planning Commission, in Resolution No. 19978, adopted findings that the actions contemplated in this ordinance are consistent, on balance, with the City's General Plan and eight priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1. The Board adopts these findings as its own. A copy of said Resolution is in Board of Supervisors File No. 170864, and is incorporated herein by reference.
(c) Pursuant to Planning Code Section 302, this Board finds that this Planning Code Amendment will serve the public necessity, convenience, and welfare for the reasons set forth in Planning Commission Resolution No. 19978, and the Board incorporates such reasons herein by reference.

Section 2. The Planning Code is hereby amended by adding Section 249.79, to read as follows:

SEC. 249.79. PIER 70 SPECIAL USE DISTRICT.
(a) Purpose and Boundaries. To facilitate the City's long-term goal of redevelopment and revitalization of a portion of Pier 70, a Special Use District entitled the "Pier 70 Special Use District" (SUD) is hereby established. The boundaries of the SUD are shown on Sectional Map SU08 of the Zoning Map. The purpose of this SUD is to give effect to the Development Agreement (DA) and Disposition and Development Agreement (DDA) for the Pier 70 Mixed-Use Project (Project), as approved by the Board of Supervisors by Ordinance No._. The Project will provide several benefits to the City, such as a significant amount of affordable housing, increased public access and open space, facilities for small-scale manufacturing, extensive infrastructure improvements, and replacement space for Noonan Building tenants, while creating jobs, housing, and a vibrant community as contemplated under California Assembly Bill 418 (AB 418) (Stats. 2011, ch. 477), which made Pier 70-specific amendments to the Burton Act (Stats. 1969, ch. 1333).
(b) Role of Port Commission. The majority of the property within the SUD is under the jurisdiction of the Port Commission, and Port lands are subject to land use controls additional to this Municipal Code. As authorized under AB 418, the Port may hold, use, conduct, operate, maintain, manage, administer, regulate, improve, sell, lease, encumber, and control nontrust lands and improvements within the SUD for any purpose on conditions specified in AB 418. In the event of a conflict between this Code and the Burton Act, AB 418, or the McAteer-Petris Act (Cal. Gov't Code $\$ \xi$ 66600 et seq.), state law shall prevail.
(c) Relationship to Design for Development. The Pier 70 Design for Development (Design for Development), adopted by the Planning Commission and Port Commission and as may be periodically amended, sets forth Standards and Guidelines applicable within the SUD and is incorporated here by reference. Any term used in this Section 249.79 and not otherwise defined in this Code shall have the meaning ascribed to it in the Design for Development. The Port shall have exclusive jurisdiction and approval rights over amendments to the Design for Development that affect only open space and right-of-way development within the SUD, which include Design for Development, Chapter 3 (Open Space

Network); Chapter 4 (Streets and Streetscapes); Section 7.2 (Street Lighting); Section 7.3 (Open Space Lighting); Section 7.6 (Wayfinding Signage); and Section 7.8 (Public Art). Other than as specified above, the Port Commission and the Planning Commission may amend the Design for Development upon initiation by either body or upon application by an owner or ground lessee of property within the SUD, to the extent that such amendment is consistent with this Section, the General Plan, and the DA. Both the Port Commission and Planning Commission shall approve any such amendment to the Design for Development that does not exclusively affect the open space and right-of-way Chapters and Sections of the Design for Development identified in this subsection (c) as being within the exclusive jurisdiction of the Port Commission.
(d) Relationship to Other Planning Code Provisions. Applicable provisions of the Planning Code shall control except as otherwise provided in this Section 249.79, the Design for Development, and the DA (so long as the DA is in effect). In the event of a conflict between other provisions of the Planning Code and the Design for Development or this Section 249.79 (and further subject to subsection (e) below), this Section 249.79 and the Design for Development shall control.
(e) Development Controls. Development and uses of property within the SUD shall be regulated by the controls contained in this Section 249.79 and in the Design for Development, provided, however, that if there is any inconsistency between this Section and the Design for Development, this Section shall control.
(f) Definitions. If not explicitly superseded by definitions established in this Section 249.79 or the Design for Development, the definitions in this Code shall apply. Later amendments to the definitions in this Code shall apply where not in conflict with this Section 249.79, the Design for Development, or the DA. In addition to the specific definitions set forth elsewhere in this Section 249.79, the following definitions shall govern interpretation of this Section:
"Applicant" means the ground lessee, owner, or authorized agent of the owner or ground lessee of a development parcel.
"Building Standards" means the standards applicable to Buildings and any associated privately-owned open spaces within the SUD, consisting of the standards specified in subsection (h) and the standards identified as such in the Design for Development.
"Executive Director" means the Executive Director of the Port of San Francisco.
"Historic Building" means one of the existing structures commonly known as Historic Building 2, Historic Building 12, or Historic Building 21, which are part of the Union Iron Works Historic District (listed on the National Register of Historic Places).
"Horizontal Development" means construction of Public Facilities.
"Major Modification" means a deviation of 10 percent or more from any dimensional or numerical standard in this SUD or in the Design for Development, except as explicitly prohibited per subsection (i).
"Minor Modification" means a deviation of less than 10 percent from any dimensional or numerical standard in this SUD or in the Design for Development, except as explicitly prohibited per subsection (i), or from any non-numerical standard in the Design for Development.
"Proposition F" means the Union Iron Works Historic District Housing, Waterfront Parks, Jobs and Preservation Initiative adopted by the voters on November 4, 2015.
"Public Facilities" include completed utility infrastructure; recreational, open space, and public access areas; public rights-of-way; and other improvements in the public realm that will be under City and Port jurisdiction when accepted.
"Vertical DDA" means a Vertical Disposition and Development Agreement between the Port and an Applicant that sets forth contractual terms and conditions governing the Applicant's development of Vertical Improvements.
"Vertical Improvements" means new construction of a Building and any later expansion or major alteration of or addition to a previously approved Building within the SUD.
(g) Uses. permitted as indicated within the SUD, where P means Permitted Use and NP means Non-permitted Use.

| Table 249.79(g)(1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Uses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  <br> Pier 70 <br> SUD <br> Parcels <br> (as <br> shown <br> in <br> Figures <br> I and 2) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Residen- } \\ & \hline \text { tial Uses } \end{aligned}$ | Institution- <br> al Uses | Retail <br> Uses | Office <br> Uses | Entertain- <br> ment, <br> Arts, and <br> Recreat- <br> ion Uses | Industrial <br> Uses | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \frac{P D R}{U S e s} \\ & \hline \underline{U S} \end{aligned}$ | Parking <br> Lot | Parking <br> Garage |
| $\underline{2}$ | $\underline{P}$ | $\underline{P}$ | $\underline{P}$ | $\underline{P}$ | $\underline{P(6)(8)}$ | $\underline{P(9)(10)}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{P(11)}{(12)} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | NP(13) | $N P(14)$ |
| $\underline{12}$ | NP | $\underline{P}$ | $\underline{P(2)}$ | $\underline{P(3)(4)}$ | $\underline{P(6)(8)}$ | $\underline{P(9)}$ | P(11) | NP(13) | NP(14) |
| $\underline{21}$ | NP | $\underline{P}$ | $P(2)$ | $N P$ | $\underline{P(6)(8)}$ | $P(9)$ | P(11) | NP(13) | NP(14) |
| $\underline{\text { A }}$ | NP | $\underline{P}$ | $\underline{P(2)}$ | $\underline{P}$ | $\underline{P(6)(8)}$ | $\underline{P(9)}$ | $\underline{P(11)}$ | NP(13) | $\underline{N P(14)}$ |
| $\underline{B}$ | $\underline{N P}$ | $\underline{P}$ | $\underline{P(2)}$ | $\underline{P}$ | $\underline{P(6)(8)}$ | $\underline{P(9)}$ | $\underline{P(11)}$ | $\underline{N P(13)}$ | NP(14) |
| C1 | $\underline{P}$ | $\underline{P}$ | $\underline{P}$ | $\underline{P}$ | $\underline{P(6)(8)}$ | $\underline{P(9)(10)}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underline{P(11)} \\ & (12) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $N P(13)$ | $\underline{P}$ |
| $\underline{C 2}$ | $\underline{P}$ | $\underline{P}$ | $\underline{P}$ | $\underline{N P(5)}$ | $\underline{P(7)(8)}$ | $\underline{P(9)(10)}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underline{P(11)} \\ & (12) \end{aligned}$ | $N P(13)$ | $\underline{P}$ |
| $\underline{\text { D }}$ | $\underline{P}$ | $\underline{P}$ | $\underline{P}$ | $\underline{N P(5)}$ | $\underline{P(7)(8)}$ | $\underline{P(10)}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underline{P(11)} \\ & (12) \end{aligned}$ | $N P(13)$ | $N P(14)$ |
| $\underline{\text { E1 }}$ | $\underline{P}$ | $\underline{P}$ | $\underline{P}$ | $\underline{N P(5)}$ | $\underline{P(7)(8)}$ | $\underline{P(10)}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underline{P(11)} \\ & (12) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | NP(13) | NP(14) |
| $\underline{\underline{E 2}}$ | $\underline{P}$ | $\underline{P}$ | $\underline{P}$ | $\underline{N P(5)}$ | $\underline{P(7)(8)}$ | $\underline{P(10)}$ | $\underline{P(11)}$ | NP(13) | $\underline{N P(14)}$ |


| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (12) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 3 | $\underline{\text { E }}$ | $\underline{P}$ | $\underline{P}$ | $\underline{P}$ | $\underline{N P(5)}$ | $\underline{P(7)(8)}$ | $\underline{P(10)}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{P(11)}{(12)} \end{aligned}$ | $N P(13)$ | $\underline{N P(14)}$ |
| 4 | $\underline{\text { E4 }}$ | $\underline{N P}$ | $\underline{P}$ | $\underline{P(2)}$ | $\underline{P(3)(4)}$ | $\underline{P(6)(8)}$ | $\underline{P(9)}$ | $\underline{P(11)}$ | $\underline{N P(13)}$ | $\underline{N P(14)}$ |
| 5 6 | $\underline{F / G}$ | $\underline{P}$ | $\underline{P}$ | $\underline{P}$ | $\underline{P}$ | $\underline{P(6)(8)}$ | $\underline{P(9)(10)}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underline{P(11)} \\ & \underline{(12)} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $N P(13)$ | $N P(14)$ |
| 7 8 | $\underline{H 1}$ | $\underline{P}$ | $\underline{P}$ | $\underline{P}$ | $\underline{P}$ | $\underline{P(6)(8)}$ | $\underline{P(9)(10)}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underline{P(11)} \\ & (12) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | NP(13) | $\underline{N P(14)}$ |
| 9 10 | $\underline{H 2}$ | $\underline{P}$ | $\underline{P}$ | $\underline{P}$ | $\underline{P}$ | $\underline{P(6)(8)}$ | $\underline{P(9)(10)}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underline{P(11)} \\ & \underline{(12)} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | NP(13) | NP(14) |
| 11 12 | $\underline{P K N}$ | $\underline{P(1)}$ | $\underline{P}$ | $\underline{P}$ | $\underline{N P(5)}$ | $\underline{P(7)(8)}$ | $\underline{P(10)}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underline{P(11)} \\ & \underline{(12)} \end{aligned}$ | NP(13) | $\underline{N P(14)}$ |
| 13 14 | $\underline{P K S}$ | $\underline{P(1)}$ | $\underline{P}$ | $\underline{P}$ | $\underline{N P(5)}$ | $\underline{P(7)(8)}$ | $\underline{P(10)}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underline{P(11)} \\ & (12) \end{aligned}$ | NP(13) | $\underline{N P(14)}$ |
| 15 16 | HDY3 | $\underline{P(1)}$ | $\underline{P}$ | $\underline{P}$ | $\underline{N P(5)}$ | $\underline{P(7)(8)}$ | $\underline{P(10)}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underline{P(11)} \\ & \underline{(12)} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | NP(13) | NP(14) |
| 17 18 | HDY1/2 | $\underline{P(1)}$ | $\underline{P}$ | $\underline{P}$ | $\underline{P}$ | $\underline{P(6)(8)}$ | $\underline{P(9)(10)}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underline{P(11)} \\ & (12) \end{aligned}$ | NP(13) | $\underline{N P(14)}$ |
| 19 | Notes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | (1) Ground Floor Residential on Illinois Street is NP. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | (2) Tourist Hotel is NP. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | (3) Service, Medical is NP. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | (4) Office Use is NP on Ground Floor. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | (5) Office Use is P on Ground Floor only. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | (6) Movie Theater is P if no more than three screens. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

(7) Movie Theater is NP.
(8) Livery Stables are NP.
(9) Automobile Assembly, Food Fiber and Beverage Processing 1, Light Manufacturing, Metal

Working are P. Other Industrial Uses are NP.
(10) Food Fiber and Beverage Processing 1, Light Manufacturing are P on Ground Floor only if Building contains Residential.
(11) PDR Automotive Services Station, Storage, Stable, and Utility Yard are NP. PDR Automotive Service Station are P if Predominant Use is District Garage.
(12) PDR Uses not already restricted as NP herein are P on the Ground Floor only if Building contains Residential
(13) Parking Lots are NP (except as provided for in Section $249.79(g)(3)$ as an interim use). (14) Accessory Parking is P.
(2) Temporary Uses. The Executive Director may approve without a public hearing any of the following uses ("Temporary Uses") for a period not to exceed 90 days, or for such longer period of time as may be approved by the Executive Director under any Port lease or license: booths for charitable, patriotic, or welfare purposes; markets; exhibitions, festivals, circuses, musical and theatrical performances and other forms of live entertainment including setup/load-in and demobilization/load-out; athletic events; open-air sales of agriculturally-produced seasonal decorations such as Christmas trees and Halloween pumpkins; meeting rooms and event staging; mobile food and temporary retail establishments; and automobile and truck parking and loading associated with any authorized temporary use. The Executive Director may authorize recurring Temporary Uses (such as a weekly farmers market) under a single authorization.
(3) Interim Uses. The Executive Director may approve any use listed in this section ("Interim Use") without a public hearing for a period not to exceed five years if the Executive Director finds that such Interim Use will not impede orderly development consistent with this Section 249.79, the

Design for Development, and the DA. Interim Uses are limited to uses in the existing Historic Buildings, unimproved areas, and open spaces. Any Interim Use listed in this section that is integral to development under the DA, DDA, or Vertical DDA, and is permitted by the Port under any Port lease or license shall not require separate authorization as an Interim or Temporary use (for example, uses incidental to environmental clean-up, demolition and construction, storage, and automobile and truck parking and loading related to construction activities). Any authorization granted pursuant to this Subsection $249.79(g)(3)$ shall not exempt the applicant from obtaining any other permit required by law. Additional time for such uses may be authorized upon a new application. Interim Uses the Executive Director may authorize include, but are not limited to:
(A) Retail activities, which may include the on-site assembly, production or sale of food, beverages, and goods, the operation of restaurants or other retail food service in temporary structures, outdoor seating, food trucks, and food carts;
(B) Temporary art installations, exhibits, and sales;
(C) Recreational facilities and uses (such as play and climbing structures and outdoor fitness classes);
(D) Motor vehicle and bicycle parking;
(E) On-site assembly and production of goods in enclosed or unenclosed temporary structures;
(F) Educational activities, including but not limited to after-school day camp and activities;
(G) Site management service, administrative functions and customer amenities and associated loading;
(H) Rental or sales offices incidental to new development; and
(I) Entertainment uses, both unenclosed and enclosed, which may include temporary structures to accommodate stages, seating and support facilities for patrons and operations.
(4) Nonconforming Uses. The Executive Director may allow the reasonable continuance, modification, or expansion of existing uses and structures that do not comply with this Section 249.79 or the Design for Development upon a determination that the use would not impede the orderly development of the SUD consistent with this Section, the DA, the DDA, and any Vertical DDA.
(5) Ground Floor Frontages.
(A) Priority Retail Frontages. As listed below, a minimum of 50 percent of the shaded Priority Retail Frontage zone shown in Figure 1 shall be occupied by the following uses (each, a "Priority Retail" use) as defined in Section 2.2 of the Design for Development (Ground Floor Uses):
(i) Retail (including personal services and excluding medical services, financial services, banks, real estate services, or retail automotive uses);
(ii) Bar and restaurant;
(iii) Arts activities;
(iv) PDR; and
(v) Entertainment.
(B) As an exception to the above, Parcel E4, due to its waterfront location, shall require a minimum of 33 percent Priority Retail of the extent of the east and south frontages. The Priority Retail uses on Parcel E4 may consolidate required linear feet on a single designated frontage.
(C) The minimum depth of regulated uses for all Priority Retail frontages is 25 feet from the subject façade. A maximum of 40 feet of lobby frontage per building may count towards linear Priority Retail frontage requirement.
(D) Retail and Service Frontages. To embed a broader set of active uses elsewhere on the site, including community facilities and personal services, Retail and Service Frontages shall occur along the northern and southern waterfront edge, as well as along the 200-foot portion of C1 facing Orton Plaza and on key gateways into the site from Illinois Street and corners adjacent to the Maryland Street corridor between 21st and 22nd Streets, as shown in Figure 1.

Specified frontage zones shall be limited to the Priority Retail uses listed in $249.79(g)(5)(A)$ plus the following additional uses (each, a "Priority Service Use") for a minimum of 50 percent of the shaded Retail and Services frontage zone identified in Figure 1:
(i) Medical services;
(ii) Financial services and banks;
(iii) Fitness centers and gyms;
(iv) Institutions;
(v) Community facilities; and
(vi) Events and activity space.
(vii) For C1 only, small offices up to 5,000 square feet.
(E) The minimum Retail and Service depth shall be 25 feet. If C1 is built as a garage, the minimum depth shall be 20 feet to preserve parking layout feasibility.
(F) Office Frontages. Ground floor office uses on 20th and 22nd Streets, as shown on Figure 1, shall not exceed 75 percent of the frontage for Parcels A, B, F, G, HDY, H1, and H2. Remaining portions of those frontages shall provide usable spaces for a viable non-office use, including all Priority Retail uses listed in $249.79(g)(5)(A)$ and Priority Service Uses listed in $249.79(g)(5)(D)$.

Figure 1: Ground Floor Frontage Controls.

(h) Building Standards.
(1) Building Height and Bulk. The height and bulk limits shall be as set forth on

Sectional Map HT08 of the Zoning Map and as further limited and detailed in Figure 2 of this Section
(Building Height Maximum) and the Design for Development.
Figure 2: Building Heights Maximum.

(2) Measurement of Height. Measurement of Height shall be governed by the controls set forth in Section 6.4 of the Design for Development (Maximum Building Height) and not as provided in Section 260.
(3) Lot Coverage and Rear Yards. Lots shall not be required to comply with any rear yard and lot coverage requirements set by this Code.
(4) Off-Street Parking. Off-street automobile parking shall not be required for any use. Total parking spaces for the SUD shall not exceed the maximum number of spaces listed in the table below. Planning will determine compliance with the off-street parking standards in accordance with

Subsection 249.79(l)(5) below. These requirements may be modified pursuant to implementation of the Project's Transportation Demand Management (TDM) requirement, as set forth in the DDA.

|  | Table $249.79(h)(\mathbf{4})$ <br> Maximum Permitted Off-Street Parking |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $\underline{\text { Residential Use }}$ | $\underline{\text { O.6 spaces per residential unit }}$ |  |
| Office Use | $\underline{1 \text { space per } 1500 \text { square feet of Gross Floor }}$ |  |
|  | $\underline{\text { Area }}$ |  |
| $\underline{\text { All Other Uses }}$ | $\underline{\text { None permitted }}$ |  |

(5) Bicycle Parking. The amount and design of bicycle parking required shall be governed by the controls set forth in the Planning Code, whereas the location of required bicycle parking shall be governed by the controls set in the Design for Development.
(6) Dwelling Unit Density. There shall be no density limit for any residential use.
(7) Dwelling Unit Exposure. The provisions of Section 140 shall not apply. Dwelling units in new construction shall face onto one of the following open areas that is open to the sky:
(A) A public street, public alley, or mid-block passage (public or private) at least 20 feet in width;
(B) An exterior courtyard or terrace at least 25 feet in width that is open to a public street, public alley, mid-block passage (public or private);
(C) A public open space that is at least 25 feet in width, including Irish Hill, a landscape feature;
(D) An interior courtyard at least 25 feet in width and a maximum height of 55 feet;
(E) An interior courtyard at least 40 feet in width without regard to height; or
(F) Undeveloped airspace over rooftops of either adjacent buildings within the SUD or a building on the same parcel where such building has been built to the maximum height limit allowed pursuant to this Section 249.79.
(8) Open Space for Dwelling Units. In addition to any publicly-accessible open spaces described in the Design for Development, a minimum of 40 square feet of open space per dwelling unit shall be provided on each residential building parcel. Such open space may be either private or common space, and may be provided in the form of courtyards, terraces, rooftops, balconies, or other facilities. The standards for open spaces shall be governed by the controls set forth in the Design for Development and not as provided in Section 135.
(9) Permitted Obstructions. Permitted obstructions over the street, alley, yard, setback, or open space (also referred to as Projections) shall be governed by the controls set forth in the Design for Development and not as provided in Section 136.
(10) Streetscape Improvements. The streetscape and street tree planting requirements shall be governed by the controls set forth in the Design for Development and not as provided in Section 138.1(c).
(11) Off-Street Loading. The loading requirements of Article 1.5 of the Code shall not apply. Off-Street loading shall be governed by the controls set forth in Section 5.5 and 5.6 of the Design for Development, describing number of loading spaces, loading space locations and dimensions, loading spaces for historic buildings, location of refuse and recycling, and loading access locations.
(12) Signage. The requirements of Article 6 of this Code, as well as the signage guidelines of the Port, shall not apply. Building signage within the Special Use District shall be regulated by Sections 7.5 (General Signage), 7.6 (Wayfinding Signage) and 7.7 (Building Signage) of the Design for Development, regulating signage design and location for buildings and the public realm. Signage regulations in the Design for Development supplement the following signage plans to be approved by the Executive Director and the Planning Department pursuant to the DDA as follows: the

Pier 70 Public ROWs Signage Plan, the Pier 70 Park Parcels Signage Plan(s) and the Pier 70 Interpretive Signage Plan(s) to be approved by the Executive Director, and the Pier 70 Building Signage Plan approved by both the Executive Director and Planning Director.
(13) Inclusionary Housing Requirements. The requirements of Section 415 shall apply subject to the following provisions:
(A) For any Rental Housing Project, each housing development project shall pay a fee based on the number of units equivalent to the $23 \%$ of the number of units in the principal rental housing project. If the project sponsor is eligible and elects to provide on-site affordable rental units, the number of affordable units constructed on-site shall be $20 \%$ of all units constructed on the project site. The Rental Units shall have an affordable rent set at an average of $80 \%$ of Area Median Income or less.
(B) For any housing development project consisting of Owned Units, each project shall pay a fee based on the number of units equivalent to the $28 \%$ of the number of units in the principal housing project.
(14) Impact Fees. Vertical Improvements within the SUD that are subject to the DA shall be required to pay impact fees in accordance with the DA. In recognition of the high level of inkind improvements provided under the Design for Development and related project documents, all other Vertical Improvements within the SUD, whether subject to the DA or not, shall not be required to pay the Eastern Neighborhoods Impact Fees and Public Benefits Fund requirements set forth in Section 423.
(15) Transportation Fee. Vertical Improvements that are subject to the DA shall be required to pay transportation fees in accordance with the DA, which fees shall be used by SFMTA in accordance with the Transportation Plan attached to the DA. All other Vertical Improvements within the SUD shall pay to SFMTA a "Transportation Fee" that SFMTA shall use to pay for uses permitted by the TSF Fund under Section 411A.7, including SFMTA and other agencies' costs to design, permit,
construct, and install a series of transportation improvements in the area surrounding the Pier 70 SUD. The Transportation Fee will be calculated for each Vertical Improvement at $100 \%$ of the applicable TSF rate without a discount under Section 411A.3(d) as if it were a Project submitted under Section 411A.3(d)(3).
(i) Modifications to Building Standards. Modification of the Building Standards may be approved on a project-by-project basis according to the procedures of set forth below.
(1) No Modifications or Variances Permitted. No modifications or variances are permitted for (A) Maximum Height established under Section (h)(1); (B) maximum building stories established in Standard 6.4.2 of the Design for Development (Maximum Stories); (C) maximum offstreet parking ratios (except as provided in subsection (l)(5) below); ( $D$ ) minimum required bicycle parking quantities established in Article 1.5 of Standard 5.1.1 of the Design for Development (Bicycle Parking Capacity)the Planning Code; or (E) Standard 6.18.1 (Key Facades 200-350 Feet in Length) and Standard 6.18.2 (Key Facades 350 Feet or More in Length) of the Design for Development regulating architectural treatment of primary and secondary facades. Except as explicitly provided in subsections (i)(2) and (3) below, no other standard set forth in this Section 249.79 or in the Design for Development shall be modified or varied.
(2) Minor Modifications. The Planning Director may approve a Minor Modification administratively by the procedures described in Subsection $249.79(l)(6)(A)$.
(3) Major Modifications. The Planning Commission shall hear any application for a Major Modification according to the procedures described in subsection 249.79(l)(6)(B).
(i) Review and Approval of Development Phases and Horizontal Development. The Port Commission shall grant a Phase Approval in accordance with the DDA for the Phase that includes the applicable Vertical Improvements before Planning may approve an application for design review under this Section 249.79.
(k) Review and Approval of Open Space. The Port Commission shall have exclusive jurisdiction over the review of proposed publicly-owned open space within the SUD for consistency with the Design for Development, including program, design, and the inclusion of any ancillary structures. Any privately-owned publicly-accessible open space on any of the development parcels shall be reviewed and approved by Planning as part of the associated Vertical Improvement.

## (l) Design Review and Approval of Vertical Improvements.

(1) Applications. Applications for design review are required for all Vertical Improvements prior to issuance of building permits. An application for design review shall be filed at the Port by the owner or authorized agent of the owner of the property for which the design review is sought. Each application shall include the documents and materials necessary to determine consistency with this Section and the Design for Development, including site plans, sections, elevations, renderings, landscape plans, and exterior material samples to illustrate the overall concept design of the proposed buildings. If an Applicant requests a Major or Minor Modification, the application shall contain descriptive material such as narrative and supporting imagery, if appropriate, that describes how the proposed Vertical Improvement meets the intent of the SUD and Design for Development and provides architectural treatment and public benefit that are equivalent or superior to strict compliance with the standards.
(2) Completeness. Port and Planning staff shall review the application for completeness and advise the Applicant in writing of any deficiencies within 30 days after receipt of the application or, if applicable, within 15 days after receipt of any supplemental information requested pursuant to this Section. Review by Port staff shall also include a review for compliance with the requirements of the applicable Vertical DDA. If staff does not so advise the applicant, the application shall be deemed complete.
(3) Staff Design Review of Buildings. Each application for Vertical Improvements shall be subject to the administrative design review process set forth in this subsection (l). Upon a
determination of completeness (or deemed completeness), staff shall conduct design review and prepare a staff report determining compliance of the Vertical Improvement with this Section 249.79 and the Design for Development, including a recommendation regarding any modifications sought. Such staff report shall be delivered to the Applicant and any third parties requesting notice in writing, shall be kept on file, and posted on the Department's website for public review, within 60 days of the determination of completeness (or deemed completeness).

If staff determines that the Vertical Improvement is not compliant with the Design for Development and this Section 249.79, it will notify the Applicant within the applicable 60-day period, in which case, the Applicant may resubmit the Application and the requirements under Section(l)(1) through Section (l)(3) apply anew, except the time for staff review shall be 30 days.
(4) Port Review of Historic Buildings. Port staff shall review schematic designs for each Historic Building in accordance with the procedures set forth in the ground lease between Port and the Applicant for the applicable Historic Building. Port staff review shall include a determination of consistency with the Design for Development and applicable mitigation measures, including compliance with Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties.
(5) Off-Street Parking. It is the intent of this SUD that at full build-out of all parcels in the SUD, the total number of off-street parking spaces within the SUD shall not exceed the applicable maximum parking ratios specified in Table 249.79(h)(4) above. The maximum parking ratios shall not apply to individual Vertical Improvements or parcels, but shall be considered cumulatively for the SUD as a whole. To ensure compliance with the maximum parking ratios on a periodic basis during the phased build-out of the SUD, the Planning Department shall not approve new off-street parking proposed within a Vertical Improvement if it determines that the amount of off-street parking proposed would cause the aggregate parking ratio in the SUD to be exceeded when taking into account the amount of parking in the proposed Vertical Improvement plus the amount of parking for all Buildings approved under this Section 249.79 as of the date of determination (without regard to whether or not
the Building has been constructed) at the following Development Increments: every 750 net new housing units and every 400,000 gross square feet of non-residential uses in new or rehabilitated buildings (each residential and non-residential threshold, a "Development Increment").

Notwithstanding the foregoing, a deviation of up to $10 \%$ above the maximum ratios shall be permitted for all increments prior to final build out and not be considered a Major Modification, Minor Modification, or otherwise inconsistent with this Section 249.79 or the Design for Development. For any increment beyond the first, the Planning Director may disallow part or all of the $10 \%$ deviation from the maximum ratios in consideration of expected build out of the SUD.
(6) Approvals and Public Hearings for New Development.
(A) New Construction. Within 10 days after the delivery and posting of the staff report in accordance with subsection (l)(3), the Planning Director shall approve or disapprove the Vertical Improvement design and any Minor Modifications based on its compliance with this Section 249.79 and the Design for Development and the findings and recommendations of the staff report. If the Vertical Improvement is consistent with the numeric standards set forth in this Section 249.79 and the Design for Development, the Planning Director's discretion to approve or disapprove the Vertical Improvement shall be limited to the Vertical Improvement's consistency with the non-numeric elements of the Design for Development and the General Plan. Notwithstanding any other provisions of this Section 249.79, the Planning Director may refer an Application that proposes modification to the nonnumeric elements of the Design for Development, even if not otherwise classified as a Major Modification, to the Planning Commission as a Major Modification if the Planning Director determines that the proposed modification does not meet the intent of the Standards set forth in the Design for Development.
(B) Vertical Improvements Seeking Major Modifications. Upon delivery and posting of the staff report under subsection (l)(3), the Planning Commission shall calendar the item for a public hearing at the next regular meeting of the Planning Commission (or special meeting, at the

Planning Commission's discretion), subject to any required noticing, for any application for Vertical Improvements seeking one or more Major Modifications and for any Vertical Improvement seeking Minor Modifications that the Planning Director, in his or her sole discretion, refers as a Major Modification. The Planning Commission shall consider all comments from the public and the recommendations of the staff report and the Planning Director in making a decision to approve or disapprove the Vertical Improvement design, including the granting of any Major or Minor Modifications.
(C) Notice of Hearings. Notice of hearings required by subsection (B) above shall be provided as follows:
(i) by mail not less than 10 days prior to the date of the hearing to the Vertical Improvement applicant, to property owners within 300 feet of the exterior boundaries of the property that is the subject of the application, using for this purpose the names and addresses as shown on the citywide assessment roll in the Office of the Tax Collector, and to any person who has requested such notice; and
(ii) by posting on the subject property at least 10 days prior to the date of the hearing.
(m) Building Permit Approval. For projects subject to the jurisdiction of the Port, the Chief Harbor Engineer shall review each building permit application for consistency with the authorizations granted pursuant to this Section 249.79. For projects outside the jurisdiction of the Port, DBI shall review each permit application for consistency with the authorizations granted pursuant to this Section 249.79. The Chief Harbor Engineer and DBI shall not issue any building permit for work within the SUD that has not obtained design review approval in accordance with subsections (l)(6)(A) and (B) above to the extent applicable, or is inconsistent with standards in this Section 249.79 or the Design for Development.
(n) Change of Use. Before issuing any building permit or other permit or license, or for a permit of Occupancy that would authorize a new use, a change of use or maintenance of an existing use of any land or structure contrary to the provisions of this Section 249.79 or the Design for Development, the Chief Harbor Engineer or DBI, as applicable, shall refer the matter to the Planning Department for a consistency determination to be provided to the Chief Harbor Engineer or DBI, as applicable, within 15 days of referral.
(o) Discretionary Review. No requests for discretionary review shall be accepted by the Planning Department or heard by the Planning Commission for any Building in the SUD.

Section 3. The Planning Code is hereby amended by revising Zoning Map ZN08, Height Map HT08, and Sectional Map SU08, as follows:
(a) To change the Zoning Map (ZN08) from M-2 to Pier 70 Mixed-Use District:

| Assessor's Block | Lot | Current Zoning to <br> be Superseded | Proposed Zoning to be <br> Approved |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4052 | 001 (partial) | $\mathrm{M}-2$ | Pier 70 Mixed Use District |
| 4111 | 004 (partial) | $\mathrm{M}-2$ |  |
| 4110 | 001 | $\mathrm{M}-2$ |  |
| 4110 | 008 A | $\mathrm{M}-2$ |  |
| 4120 | 002 | P |  |

(b) To change the Zoning Map (HT08) from 40-X to 90-X:

| Assessor's Block | Lot | Current Height/Bulk <br> to be Superseded | Proposed Height/Bulk to <br> be Approved |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4052 | 001 (partial) | $40-X$ | $90-X$ |
| 4111 | 004 (partial) | $40-X$ |  |
| 4120 | 002 | $40-X$ |  |

(c) Sectional Map SU08 is hereby amended to create the new Pier 70 Special Use District, bounded by the following streets:
(1) To the north, all lots fronting the southern side of and abutting the terminus of 20th Street from Illinois Street to the shoreline, including lot 4110001, a portion of lot 4111004 - the southernmost portion south of a line roughly 95 feet from the southern parcel border, and a portion of lot 4052001 - the southernmost portion south of a line roughly 1,100 feet from the southern parcel border, and excluding the northwestern corner roughly bounded by a line running parallel to and roughly 265 feet south of 20th Street, and a line parallel to and roughly 800 feet east of Illinois Street;
(2) To the east, all lots fronting the shoreline between 20th and 22nd Streets;
(3) To the south, all lots fronting the northern side of 22nd Street, and abutting the terminus of 22 nd Street from Illinois St to the shoreline;
(4) To the west, all lots fronting the eastern side of Illinois St, from 20th Street to 22nd Street.

Section 4. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board of Supervisors overrides the Mayor's veto of the ordinance.

## APPROVED AS TO FORM:

DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney

By:

> ANDREA RUIZ-ESQUIDE Deputy City Attorney
[General Plan - Pier 70 Mixed-Use District Project]

Ordinance amending the San Francisco General Plan to revise Maps 4 and 5 of the Urban Design Element to refer to the Pier 70 Mixed-Use Project Special Use District; and adopting findings under the California Environmental Quality Act and Planning Code Section 340; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan and the eight priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1.

NOTE: Unchanged Code text and uncodified text are in plain Arial font. Additions to Codes are in single-underline italics Times New Roman font. Deletions to Codes are in strikethrough italies Times New Roman fort. Board amendment additions are in double-underlined Arial font. Board amendment deletions are in strikethrough Arial font. Asterisks (****) indicate the omission of unchanged Code subsections or parts of tables.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:
Section 1. Environmental and Planning Code Findings.
(a) California Environmental Quality Act.
(1) At its hearing on $\qquad$ , and prior to recommending the proposed General Plan Amendments for approval, by Motion No. $\qquad$ , the Planning Commission certified a Final Environmental Impact Report (FEIR) for the Pier 70 Mixed-Use District Project (Project) pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) (California Public Resources Code Section 21000 et seq.), the CEQA Guidelines (14 Cal. Code Reg. Section 15000 et seq.), and Chapter 31 of the Administrative Code. A copy of said Motion is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. $\qquad$ , and is incorporated herein by reference. In accordance with the actions contemplated herein, this Board has reviewed the FEIR, concurs with its conclusions, affirms the Planning

Commission's certification of the FEIR, and finds that the actions contemplated herein are within the scope of the Project described and analyzed in the FEIR.
(2). In approving the Project at its hearing on $\qquad$ by Motion No. , the Planning Commission also adopted findings under CEQA, including a statement of overriding consideration, and a Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (MMRP). A copy of said Motion and MMRP are on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. $\qquad$ and is incorporated herein by reference. The Board hereby adopts and incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein the Planning Commission's CEQA approval findings, including the statement of overriding considerations. The Board also adopts and incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein the Project's MMRP.
(b) Planning Code Findings.
(1) Under San Francisco Charter Section 4.105 and Planning Code Section 340, any amendments to the General Plan shall first be considered by the Planning Commission and thereafter recommended for approval or rejection by the Board of Supervisors. On $\qquad$ , by Resolution No. $\qquad$ , the Commission conducted a duly noticed public hearing on the General Plan Amendments pursuant to Planning Code Section 340, and found that the public necessity, convenience and general welfare required the proposed General Plan Amendments, adopted General Plan Amendments, and recommended them for approval to the Board of Supervisors. A copy of the Planning Commission Resolution No. $\qquad$ is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File. No. $\qquad$ , and incorporated by reference herein.
(2) On $\qquad$ , the Planning Commission, in Resolution No. $\qquad$ _, adopted findings that the actions contemplated in this ordinance are consistent, on balance, with the City's General Plan and eight priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1. The

Board adopts these findings as its own. A copy of said Resolution is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. $\qquad$ , and is incorporated herein by reference.

Section 2. The General Plan is hereby amended by revising Map 4 of the Urban Design Element ("Urban Design Guidelines for Height of Buildings") as follows:

Add a reference that states, "See Pier 70 Mixed-Use Project Special Use District, Section $\qquad$ of the Planning Code, for buildings therein."

Section 3. The General Plan is hereby amended by revising Map 5 of the Urban Design Element ("Urban Design Guidelines for Bulk of Buildings") as follows:

Add a reference that states, "See Pier 70 Mixed-Use Project Special Use District, Section $\qquad$ of the Planning Code, for buildings therein."

Section 4. The General Plan is hereby amended by revising the Land Use Index as follows:

The Land Use Index shall be updated as necessary to reflect the amendments set forth in Section 2, above.

Section 5. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board of Supervisors overrides the Mayor's veto of the ordinance.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney

By:

n:Vanduselmbyrnelpier 70 general plan ord.docx

## ATTACHMENT B-1 - SUMMARY PRO-FORMA UNDERWRITING (a)

| A.) | HORIZONTAL INFRASTRUCTURE INVESTMENT USES |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Upfront Project Entitlement Expenditures | \$ | 33,440,730 |
|  | Phase I Infrastructure | \$ | 149,544,813 |
|  | Phase II Infrastructure | \$ | 87,162,871 |
|  | Phase III Infrastructure | \$ | 60,771,977 |
|  | Total Horizontal Infrastructure Uses | \$ | 330,920,391 |
| B.) |  |  |  |
|  | CFD/IFD Bonds - Debt Service Paid by Tax Increment |  |  |
|  | Phase IIFD Bonds | \$ | 62,728,057 |
|  | Phase IIIFD Bonds | \$ | 40,609,858 |
|  | Phase III IFD Bonds | \$ | 66,254,767 |
|  | Total CFD/IFD Bonds - Debt Service Paid by Tax Increment | \$ | 169,592,682 |
|  | Pay Go Tax Increment Applied to Project | \$ | 186,831,336 |
|  | Condominium CFD Facilities Tax Proceeds | \$ | 35,378,942 |
|  | Project Reserve Proceeds from Sea Level Rise CFD Tax | \$ | 5,316,490 |
|  | Total Horizontal Infrastructure Investment Sources | \$ | 397,119,450 |
| C.) | MASTER DEVELOPER PEAK EQUITY (b) |  |  |
|  | Phase I | \$ | 76,945,889 |
|  | Phase II | \$ | 23,842,519 |
|  | Phase III | \$ | 20,127,914 |
| D.) | PREPAID AND ANNUAL GROUND RENT |  |  |
|  | A-1 (Office) | \$ | 14,882,747 |
|  | KN (Resi) | \$ | 25,030,915 |
|  | E2 (Resi) | \$ | 11,588,385 |
|  | C-2B (Resi) | \$ | 8,065,959 |
|  | 2 (Resi) | \$ | 17,751,305 |
|  | D-1 (Resi) | \$ | 17,011,491 |
|  | F-G (Office) | \$ | 35,394,957 |
|  | E1 (Resi) | \$ | 19,165,316 |
|  | E3 (Resi) | \$ | 5,114,179 |
|  | B-1 - B-2 (Office) | \$ | 53,788,463 |
|  | C-1A (Office) | \$ | 244,450,180 |
|  | $\mathrm{C}-1 \mathrm{C}$ (Resi) | \$ | 9,605,187 |
|  | H-1 (Resi) | \$ | 13,171,380 |
|  | $\mathrm{H}-2$ (Resi) | \$ | 31,649,880 |
|  | Total Prepaid and Annual Ground Rent | \$ | 506,670,342 |


| E.) | PROJECT NET CASH FLOW |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Horizontal Infrastructure Costs | \$ | (330,920,391) |
|  | CFD/IFD Bonds - Debt Service Paid by Tax Increment | \$ | 169,592,682 |
|  | Pay Go Tax Increment | \$ | 186,831,336 |
|  | Condominium CFD Facilites Tax Proceeds | \$ | 35,378,942 |
|  | Project Reserve from Sea Level Rise Tax Proceeds | \$ | 5,316,490 |
|  | Ground Rent Payments | \$ | 506,670,342 |
|  | Total Project Profit | \$ | 572,869,401 |
| F.) | DISTRIBUTION OF PROFIT |  |  |
|  | Master Developer Return on Investment | \$ | 143,675,059 |
|  | Profit Sharing: |  |  |
|  | Master Developer Profit Participation - Prepaid Annual Ground Rent | \$ | - |
|  | Master Developer Profit Participation - Prepaid Ground Rent | \$ | 83,134,873 |
|  | Port of San Francisco Profit Participation - Annual Ground Rent | \$ | 244,450,180 |
|  | Port of San Francisco Profit Participation - Prepaid Ground Rent | \$ | 101,609,289 |
|  | Total Master Developer Profit | \$ | 226,809,932 |
|  | Total Port of San Francisco Profit | \$ | 346,059,469 |
|  | Total Project Profit | \$ | 572,869,401 |
| G.) | PORT OF SAN FRANCISCO NET ECONOMIC BENEFIT |  |  |
|  | Port Annual Ground Rent (Including Parcel C-1A) | \$ | 244,450,180 |
|  | Port Share of Prepaid Ground Rent | \$ | 101,609,289 |
|  | 1.5\% of Net Proceeds from Refinancings | \$ | 193,260,917 |
|  | 1.5\% (Yrs 30-59) \& 2.5\% (Yrs 60-99) of Modified Gross Revenues | \$ | 1,769,535,033 |
|  | Condominium Resale Transfer Fees | \$ | 1,684,030,812 |
|  | Total Port of San Francisco Net Economic Benefit | \$ | 3,992,886,231 |
| H.) | TAX INCREMENT TO PORT FOR PIER 70 WIDE FACILITIES AND CITY SHORELINE PROTECTION |  |  |
|  | Port's 8 Cents of Tax Increment | \$ | 145,780,770 |
|  | Unused Tax Increment to Port after Project is Complete | \$ | 555,012,843 |
|  | Total Tax Increment to Port for Pier 70 Wide Facilities and City Shoreline Protection | \$ | 700,793,613 |
| I.) | CFD TAX REVENUES FOR CITY SHORELINE PROTECTION |  |  |
|  | Available Sea Level Rise CFD Tax Proceeds | \$ | 281,250,929 |
|  | Available Condominium CFD Facilites Tax Proceeds | \$ | 1,353,066,606 |
|  | Unused Project Reserve Proceeds from Sea Level Rise CFD Tax | \$ | 491,994,859 |
|  | Unused Condominium CFD Facilities Tax Proceeds Applied to Project | \$ | 6,852,694 |
|  | Total CFD Tax Revenues for City Shoreline Protection | \$ | 2,133,165,088 |

*** All numbers are preliminary estimates and subject to further change. ***
(a) Numerical estimates are expressed in nominal terms unless otherwise denoted
(b) Estimated peak equity assuming development of each phase on a stand-alone basis.




ATTACHMENT B-2

## PIER 70 - "STRIKE PRICE" CALCULATIONS

$$
85.0 \%
$$

| Parcel | Est. 2012 \$ <br> Land Value | Est. 2017 $\$$ <br> Land Value | Est. 2017 $\$$ <br> "Strike Price" |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| A-1 (Office) | $\$ 11,406,386$ | $\$ 13,223,128$ | $\$ 11,239,659$ |
| E2 (Resi) | $\$ 9,422,418$ | $\$ 10,923,164$ | $\$ 9,284,690$ |
| C-2B (Resi) | $\$ 6,558,362$ | $\$ 7,602,940$ | $\$ 6,462,499$ |
| 2 (Resi) | $\$ 14,433,435$ | $\$ 16,732,307$ | $\$ 14,222,461$ |
| D-1 (Resi) | $\$ 13,429,028$ | $\$ 15,567,924$ | $\$ 13,232,735$ |
| F-G (Office) | $\$ 24,825,311$ | $\$ 28,779,339$ | $\$ 24,462,438$ |
| E1 (Resi) | $\$ 13,845,432$ | $\$ 16,050,650$ | $\$ 13,643,053$ |
| E3 (Resi) | $\$ 3,694,592$ | $\$ 4,283,044$ | $\$ 3,640,588$ |
| B-1 - B-2 (Office) | $\$ 34,524,767$ | $\$ 40,023,668$ | $\$ 34,020,118$ |
| C-1C (Resi) | $\$ 6,350,160$ | $\$ 7,361,576$ | $\$ 6,257,340$ |
| H-1 (Resi) | $\$ 8,707,834$ | $\$ 10,094,766$ | $\$ 8,580,551$ |
| H-2 (Resi) | $\$ 20,924,299$ | $\$ 24,256,998$ | $\$ 20,618,448$ |

NOTE: Subject to agreement between the parties, the strike prices contained in this Attachment may be converted to per SF rates, in order to allow use of the strike prices with respect to flex parcels, as intended under the DDA.

# MEMORANDUM 

TO: Kevin Masuda, Port of San Francisco

FROM: Rodney Pimentel, Parsons

DATE: 9/15/2017

PHONE: (510) 907-2172

SUBJECT: Review of Project Costs for the Pier 70 SUD

This memorandum summarizes the findings of a review of the Pier 70 SUD Project Costs, consisting of four major components: Hard Costs, Traditional Soft Costs, Additional Project Soft Costs, and Other Costs. The purpose of the review is to validate the estimated costs to the extent possible given what is currently known about the Project. A commercially reasonable standard was used to validate the master planning level estimates provided by the Port.

## Hard Costs

Hard Costs make up the majority of Project Costs as it includes direct construction costs, testing, inspection, and site offices, and construction contingency. The direct construction costs we reviewed are from Estimate \#15 for the Pier 70 Special Use District (SUD) project, dated August 24, 2017. The review did not attempt to verify scope or quantities, and focused on validation of unit pricing against current construction projects. Estimate \#15 represents the latest iteration of discussions between Plant Construction Company ("Plant") and Forest City regarding the development plan for Pier 70. A 5-page narrative developed by Plant and dated August 10, 2017 was provided with the estimate which lists some of the assumptions made by Plant and Forest City in the estimate.

We understand that Forest City made some adjustment to the estimate without access to the original estimating software/database, resulting in a cost reduction by about $\$ 7.2 \mathrm{M}$. This resulted in some totals and subtotals not matching the calculated totals of line items in the estimate, and also some quantities which when multiplied by unit costs did not equal the total costs. Where there were discrepancies the review assumed that the line item unit costs and total costs were correct, and not the quantities, subtotals, or totals. It is recommended that future iterations of the estimate be reconciled such that quantities, subtotals, and totals are correct and consistent.

The review focused on validation of unit prices, with particular attention to approximately 130 line items representing $75 \%$ of the total project cost. From these items 89 unique unit prices were identified for validation, plus 41 allowances or lump sum items. Attachment A lists reviewed unique items and our opinion of unit cost of each. Overall, unit prices appear reasonable as all-in costs for the work, inclusive of smaller bid items. For wet infrastructure, some smaller bid items (valves, laterals, hydrants, testing \& chlorination, etc.) which are included elsewhere in the estimate may already be adequately covered by the unit prices for pipe installation.

## A JOINT VENTURE

Attachment B lists allowances and lump sum items representing a significant portion of the estimate, with seven allowances exceeding $\$ 1 \mathrm{M}$, and in total exceeding $\$ 36 \mathrm{M}$ (approximately $28 \%$ of total direct construction costs). It is recommended that the basis for each allowance be reviewed, validated, and memorialized as part of the project record. Detailed comments regarding allowances and lump sum items are contained in Attachment B below.

Construction Contingency is recommended at $15 \%$ of direct construction costs to allow for unknown, unforeseen conditions. The 28-Acre site of Pier 70 is known to have remnants of its past use with abandoned foundations, steam and oil lines, and filled in slipways. Estimating construction costs at this level of master planning should include a greater level of contingency than typical improvements in existing City streets. The Parsons | Lotus Water JV has been associated with other large scale projects, having many unknown features, which have been programmed with a $15 \%$ contingency as well.

Forest City has also programmed $2.25 \%$ of the Hard Costs towards testing, inspection, and site offices ("owner other costs"). A design contingency is programmed at $5 \%$ to allow for necessary regulatory or owner or Port initiated changes. These are necessary costs and appear reasonable compared with other projects.

The total Hard Costs for the categories above are $\$ 175,118,630$.
In summary, the Parsons | Lotus Water JV feel that the unit prices used to develop the estimate are appropriately conservative to cover the potential total cost of the project. We recommend that further effort be focused on confirming scope and cost for the large dollar amount lump sum and subproject costs listed in Attachment B.

## Traditional Soft Costs

Attachment C evaluated the soft costs portion of the total Project Costs. The total hard costs for the project are $\$ 175,118,630$ per the information provide. The Traditional Soft Costs are typically programmed as a ratio of the Hard Costs. Forest City provided a summary of the Traditional Soft Costs including architecture and engineering, insurance, bonding, legal, and construction management, with the amount being $\$ 43,308,986$. This represents a $24.7 \%$ ratio the Parsons | Lotus Water JV finds the Traditional Soft Costs reasonable.

There are Additional Project Soft Costs at $17.8 \%$ of Hard Costs that include Development Management fee, miscellaneous third party costs, community outreach costs, and City review and acceptance fees. The Parsons |Lotus Water JV recommends capping the combined Construction Management fee and Development Management fee at 15\% of Hard Costs to be more in line with industry standards.

Other Costs are not directly related to infrastructure and were not reviewed by the Parsons $\mid$ Lotus Water JV.

Attachment A
Opinion on Allowances and Lump Sum Items

| Description | Unit | raw rate | cost type | Review Opinion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Suspended light fixture at building 15 | ea | 1,750.00 | buildings | May be high, but depends on exact scope |
| Secant wall parallel to shore, at BAE parcel | lfwl | 16,656.24 | foundations | Appears reasonable |
| Secant wall parallel to shore, north of slipways | lfwl | 16,656.24 | foundations | Appears reasonable |
| Secant wall parallel to shore, south of slipways | lfwl | 16,656.24 | foundations | Appears reasonable |
| Secant wall perpendicular to shore at north edge of property | Ifwl | 8,328.12 | foundations | Appears reasonable |
| Secant wall perpendicular to shore, at BAE parcel | Ifwl | 8,328.12 | foundations | Appears reasonable |
| Secant wall perpendicular to shore, south of Building H | Ifwl | 8,328.12 | foundations | Appears reasonable |
| Planter walls | lfwl | 250.00 | parks | Appears reasonable |
| Allowance for "standard" furnishing elements | ea | 5,250.00 | parks | Appears reasonable |
| Tactile flooring | sf | 26.25 | streets | Appears reasonable |
| Demo interior construction, secondary roof framing + facade at Building 15 | sf | 8.74 | demo | Appears reasonable |
| Allowance for limited removal of fill at Slipway | cy | 8.54 | demo | Appears reasonable |
| Demo at Slipway $5+6$ | sf | 8.00 | demo | Appears reasonable |
| Demo + site clearing of unbuilt areas Ph 1 | sf | 1.58 | demo | Appears reasonable |
| Demolition of existing Building 66 | sf | 11.39 | demo | Appears reasonable |
| Demolition of existing Buildings 16, 25 and 32 | sf | 11.39 | demo | Appears reasonable |
| Demolition of existing Noonan Building 11 | sf | 6.83 | demo | Appears reasonable |
| FC Add: Railroad Track Removal and Disposal Ph 1 | LF | 25.00 | demo | Appears reasonable |
| Demo at Slipway 6, $7+8$ | sf | 8.00 | demo | Appears reasonable |
| Fill at paved areas of open spaces | cy | 45.00 | earthwork | High if no shoring, importing, etc. required |
| ***20\% cut and stockpile allowance - Phase 1 | cy | 5.00 | earthwork | Appears reasonable |
| Allowance for unforeseen buried obstructions Ph 1 | sf | 0.91 | earthwork | Appears reasonable |
| Allowance for unforeseen buried obstructions Ph 2 |  |  | earthwork | Appears reasonable |
| Excavation required to generate "borrowed" fill for Phase 1 open spaces | cy | 15.75 | earthwork | Appears reasonable |
| Excavation required to generate "borrowed" fill for Phase 1 rights-of-way | cy | 15.75 | earthwork | Appears reasonable |
| Redistribute "borrowed" fill to Phase 1 open spaces | cy | 26.25 | earthwork | Appears reasonable |
| Redistribute "borrowed" fill to Phase 1 roadways | cy | 26.25 | earthwork | Appears reasonable |
| Redistribute "borrowed" fill to Phase 2 roadways | cy | 26.25 | earthwork | Appears reasonable |
| Redistribute "borrowed" fill to Phase 3 open spaces | cy | 26.25 | earthwork | Appears reasonable |
| Rough grading after moving dirt - Ph 1 | sf | 1.84 | earthwork | Appears reasonable |
| Temp embankment adjacent to (e) low elev and pre-excavation - Phase 1 | cy | 45.00 | earthwork | High if no shoring, importing, etc. required |
| Pedestrian fixture | ea | 15,000.00 | hardscaping | High |
| Allowance for "featured" furnishing elements | ea | 52,500.00 | parks | High |
| **Export + disposal of Class +11 - Phase 1 pre-excavation unsuitable offhaul | ton | 170.00 | hazmat | Appears reasonable |
| ${ }^{* * *}$ Export + disposal of Class +ll material from excess fill | ton | 170.00 | hazardous ma | Appears reasonable |
| Class I export + disposal - Phase 1 pre-excavation unsuitable offhaul | ton | 270.00 | hazardous ma | Appears reasonable |
| Export + disposal of Class II - Phase 1 pre-excavation unsuitable offhaul | ton | 79.00 | hazardous ma | Appears reasonable |
| Export + disposal of Class II material from excess fill | ton | 79.00 | hazardous ma | Appears reasonable |
| Remediation in building 11; to be demolished | sf | 7.91 | hazardous ma | Appears reasonable |
| Horticultural soils for open space landscaped area | cy | 125.00 | landscaping | Appears reasonable |
| Miscellaneous site improvements at upgraded open space | sf | 10.50 | landscaping | Reasonable without any details provided |
| Allowance to prep + paint (e) roof trusses, columns, roof beams + purlins at Bldg 15 | sf | 10.72 | paint | Appears reasonable |
| 3" A/C paving on 9" concrete base | sf | 17.00 | streets | Appears reasonable |
| "Specialty treatment" paved areas | sf | 30.00 | hardscaping | Appears reasonable |
| Walkways + plaza paving | sf | 12.00 | hardscaping | Appears reasonable |
| Paved sidewalk | sf | 17.00 | hardscaping | Appears reasonable |
| 2" A/C paving on 8" concrete base | sf | 15.00 | hardscaping | Appears reasonable |
| Decks + terraces | sf | 105.00 | hardscaping | Appears reasonable |
| Highlight plaza paving | sf | 25.00 | hardscaping | Appears reasonable |
| Paving at ends of (e) craneways | sf | 26.25 | hardscaping | Appears reasonable |
| Permeable areas | sf | 17.50 | hardscaping | Appears reasonable |
| Railings at ends of (e) craneways | If | 600.00 | hardscaping | Appears reasonable |
| Temporary paving to support vertical construction (5" AC over 6" Base) Ph 1 | SF | 5.75 | hardscaping | Appears reasonable |
| Temporary paving to support vertical construction (5" AC over 6" Base) Ph 3 | SF | 5.75 | hardscaping | Appears reasonable |
| Concrete elevated deck at shoreline boardwalk (Reach 3) | sf | 25.20 | hardscaping | Low if elevated with walls or suspended |
| Seismic retrofit allowance for Building 108 | sf | 250.00 | seismic | Reasonable without any details provided |
| Sheet pile wall, $14^{\prime}$ (104-90) exposed, $28^{\prime}$ into earth (quantity is retained earth sfwl) | sfwl | 121.50 | shoreline | Appears reasonable |
| FG light class rip rap (3H:1V) at bulkhead shoreline (Reach 2) | ton | 105.00 | shoreline | Appears reasonable |
| Piles (including pile cap) to support precast deck at shoreline boardwalk (Reach 3) | ea | 8,925.00 | shoreline | Appears reasonable |
| Structural soil for trees | ton | 170.00 | soil | Looks high |
| 10" combined sewer force main with 5-10' of cover in Illinois St | If | 450.00 | wet inf. | Reasonable as all-in cost |
| 10" combined sewer force main with 5-10' of cover | If | 540.00 | wet inf. | Reasonable as all-in cost |
| 12" low pressure water line | If | 660.00 | wet inf. | Reasonable as all-in cost |
| 16" auxilliary water system fire line | If | 1,050.00 | wet inf. | Reasonable as all-in cost |
| 4" sidewalks on 4" base | sf | 12.00 | wet inf. | Reasonable as all-in cost |
| 8" recycled water system line | If | 540.00 | wet inf. | Reasonable as all-in cost including testing \& chlorination |
| Joint trench in street | If | 420.00 | wet inf. | Appears reasonable. |
| Reusing cobble stone paving | sf | 32.00 | wet inf. | Appears reasonable |

Attachment A
Opinion on Allowances and Lump Sum Items

| Description | Unit | raw rate | cost type |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Roadway street lights | ea | $15,000.00$ | wet inf. | Appears reasonable |
| $42 "$ Review Opinion |  |  |  |  |
| Storm water catch basin at curb with lateral to main | If | 800.00 | wet inf. | Reasonable as all-in cost |
| $20 "$ combined sewer gravity line with 5-10' of cover | ea | $18,000.00$ | wet inf. | Reasonable as all-in cost |
| $28 "$ combined sewer gravity line with 5-10' of cover | If | 600.00 | wet inf. | Reasonable as all-in cost |
| $20 "$ combined sewer gravity line with 10-15' of cover | If | 650.00 | wet inf. | Reasonable as all-in cost |
| $14 "$ combined sewer gravity line with 5-10' of cover | If | 700.00 | wet inf. | Reasonable as all-in cost |
| $28 "$ combined sewer gravity line with 15-20' of cover | If | 500.00 | wet inf. | Reasonable as all-in cost |
| $8 "$ low pressure water line | If | $1,200.00$ | wet inf. | Reasonable, may be high |
| Permeable roadway | If | 450.00 | wet inf. | Reasonable as all-in cost including testing \& chlorination |
| $28 "$ combined sewer gravity line with 10-15' of cover | sf | 25.00 | wet inf. | Appears reasonable |
| $54 "$ combined sewer overflow main with 5-10' of cover (20-4) | If | 850.00 | wet inf. | Reasonable as all-in cost |
| $54 "$ combined sewer overflow main with 5-10' of cover (22-5) | If | 900.00 | wet inf. | Reasonable as all-in cost |
| $54 "$ combined sewer overflow main with 5-10' of cover (PSG e-2) | If | 900.00 | wet inf. | Reasonable as all-in cost |
| $54 "$ combined sewer overflow main with 5-10' of cover (SC) | If | 900.00 | wet inf. | Reasonable as all-in cost |
| $54 "$ combined sewer overflow main with 5-10' of cover (WP-2) | If | 900.00 | wet inf. | Reasonable as all-in cost |
| $54 "$ combined sewer overflow main with 5-10' of cover (WT-1) | If | 900.00 | wet inf. | Reasonable as all-in cost |
| $54 "$ combined sewer overflow main with 5-10' of cover (WT-2) | If | 900.00 | wet inf. | Reasonable as all-in cost |
| $8 "$ domestic water main at Slipway Commons | If | 900.00 | wet inf. | Reasonable as all-in cost |
| Storm drainage system | If | 450.00 | wet inf. | Reasonable as all-in cost including testing \& chlorination |

Attachment B
Opinion on Allowances and Lump Sum Items

| Description | Cost | cost type | Review Opinion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Electrical hardware 50\% discount option Ph 1 | 821,179.00 | ? | Reasonable without details provided |
| FC Added: Surcharge Allowance Ph 2 | 750,000.00 | ? | Reasonable without details provided |
| FC Added: Surcharge Allowance Ph 3 | 750,000.00 | ? | Reasonable without details provided |
| Electrical hardware 50\% discount option Ph 2 | 492,707.40 | ? | Reasonable without details provided |
| FC ADD: Historic Interpretation Ph 1 | 382,559.40 | ? | Reasonable without details provided |
| Electrical hardware 50\% discount option Ph 3 | 328,471.60 | ? | Reasonable without details provided |
| Raise Building 15 skeleton | 1,448,756.00 | buldings | Reasonable without details provided |
| Demo + site clearing of unbuilt areas Ph 2 | 576,557.40 | demo | Reasonable without details provided |
| Allowance for removal of (e) utilities Ph 1 | 284,722.00 | demo | Reasonable without details provided |
| Allowance for removal of (e) utilities Ph 2 | 170,833.20 | demo | Reasonable without details provided |
| Irish Hill retaining wall | 210,000.00 | foundation | Reasonable without details provided |
| Rough grading after moving dirt - Ph 2 | 488,388.30 | earthwork | Reasonable without details provided |
| Allowance for unforeseen buried obstructions Ph 2 | 471,590.10 | earthwork | Reasonable without details provided |
| Demo + site clearing of unbuilt areas Ph 3 | 384,371.60 | earthwork | Reasonable without details provided |
| Rough grading after moving dirt - Ph 3 | 325,592.20 | earthwork | Reasonable without details provided |
| Allowance for unforeseen buried obstructions Ph 3 | 314,393.40 | earthwork | Reasonable without details provided |
| Dewatering for utility trenching - Phase 1 roadways | 285,431.00 | earthwork | Reasonable without details provided |
| **AAllowance for 22nd Street Pavillion at Waterfront Promenade 1 | 1,575,000.00 | hardscaping | Reasonable without details provided |
| ***Allowance for Building 6 Pavillion at Waterfront Terrace Park | 1,291,500.00 | hardscaping | Reasonable without details provided |
| Allowance for treatment / disposal of contaminated groundwater Ph 1 | 569,443.88 | hazmat | Reasonable without details provided |
| Allowance for treatment / disposal of contaminated groundwater - Ph 2 | 341,666.33 | hazmat | Reasonable without details provided |
| Allowance for treatment / disposal of contaminated groundwater - Ph 3 | 227,777.55 | hazmat | Reasonable without details provided |
| Public restrooms at Irish Hill Park | 393,750.00 | parks | Reasonable without details provided |
| Allowance for playground equipment | 262,500.00 | parks | Reasonable without details provided |
| ${ }^{* * *}$ Allowance for Craneway Pavillion at Slipway Commons | 1,575,000.00 | parks | Reasonable without details provided |
| Public restrooms at Slipway Common | 393,750.00 | parks | Reasonable without details provided |
| FC ADD: Park Signage and Wayfinding Ph 1 | 204,031.60 | parks | Reasonable without details provided |
| FC ADD: Park Signage and Wayfinding Ph 3 | 204,031.60 | parks | Reasonable without details provided |
| FC ADD: Historic Interpretation Ph 2 | 159,399.75 | parks | Reasonable without details provided |
| Temporary paving to support vertical construction (5" AC over 6" Base) Ph 2 | 174,121.50 | paving | Reasonable without details provided |
| Allowance for signalization and similar improvements at intersection of llinois / 20th Si | 341,667.00 | streets | Reasonable without details provided |
| Allowance for signalization and similar improvements at intersection of Illinois / 21st St | 341,667.00 | streets | Reasonable without details provided |
| Allowance for signalization and similar improvements at intersection of Illinois / 22nd S | 341,667.00 | streets | Reasonable without details provided |
| AWSS tie-in to 20th and 3rd street | 150,000.00 | wet inf. | Appears high |
| Allowance for water features | 525,000.00 | wet inf. | Reasonable without details provided |
| ***Allowance for new combined sewer pump station substructure | 2,660,000.00 | wet inf. | Appears reasonable, dependent on scope and size of facility |
| ***Allowance for new combined sewer pump station equipment, electrical and controls | 1,330,000.00 | wet inf. | Appears reasonable, dependent on scope and size of facility |
| Allowance to repair existing outfall at 22nd Street | 333,333.00 | wet inf. | Appears reasonable, but potential high cost. Flag for review |
| Allowance to repair existing outfall at 20th Street | 333,333.00 | wet inf. | Appears reasonable, but potential high cost. Flag for review |
| (Added to SC) Stormwater Management per BKF Memo Ph 1 | 1,505,473.20 | wet inf. | Reasonable without details provided |
| (Added to WTP) Stormwater Management per BKF Memo Ph 3 | 645,202.80 | wet inf. | Reasonable without details provided |
| WDT/SFPUC metering relocation allowance | 250,000.00 | wet inf. | Appears reasonable |
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## PIER 70 28-ACRE SITE PROJECT COSTS

| HARD COSTS |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| direct construction | \$ | 142,722,318 | \$ | 175,118,630 |
| owner other | \$ | 3,209,873 |  |  |
| construction contingency | \$ | 21,889,829 |  |  |
| Design Contingency | \$ | 7,296,610 |  |  |
| TRADITIONAL SOFT COSTS |  |  |  |  |
| A\&E | \$ | 15,699,455 | \$ | 43,308,986 |
| Insurance | \$ | 2,295,788 |  |  |
| Bonding | \$ | 4,005,436 |  |  |
| Legal | \$ | 2,097,775 |  |  |
| Financing | \$ | 1,100,000 |  |  |
| Soft Cost Contingency | \$ | 4,504,105 |  |  |
| Construction Management | \$ | 13,606,427 |  |  |
| ADDITIONAL PROJECT SOFT COSTS |  |  |  |  |
| City Review/Acceptance costs | \$ | 11,210,000 | \$ | 31,181,289 |
| Community Outreach/Marketing | \$ | 1,387,600 |  |  |
| Miscellaneous third party costs | \$ | 7,245,000 |  |  |
| Development Management Fee | \$ | 11,338,689 |  |  |
| OTHER COSTS |  |  |  |  |
| Noonan relocation | \$ | 1,000,000 | \$ | 10,743,779 |
| cash | \$ | 8,633,779 |  |  |
| workforce | \$ | 1,110,000 |  |  |

Recommendations

| Parsons/Lotus Water: 15\% of Hard Costs is reasonable |
| :--- |
| for budgeting construction contingency for projects |
| having a similar site |
| Parsons/Lotus Water: 24.7\% of Hard Costs is |
| reasonable for budgeting Traditional Soft Costs with |
| respect to projects of this magnitude and scope |
|  |
| Parsons/Lotus Water: additional Project Soft Costs are |
| reasonable provided the Construction Management |
| and Development Management fees are limited to no |
| more than 15\% in combined aggregate. |
| Port staff belive these Other Costs are reasonable for |
| budgeting (not directly related to infrastructure) |

## TOTAL PROJECT SOFT COSTS \$ 260,352,684

* Construction Contingency is capped at $15 \%$ of each construction contract as well as cumulatively
** Construction Management and Development Management Fee combined are limited to $15 \%$ of Hard Costs

