From:	Forbes, Elaine (PRT)
То:	Quesada, Amy (PRT)
Subject:	RE: Seawall lot 330 navigation center

From: Andre Clark
Sent: Monday, March 04, 2019 5:36 PM
To: Forbes, Elaine (PRT) <<u>elaine.forbes@sfport.com</u>>; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <<u>mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org</u>>
Cc: MK
Subject: Seawall lot 330 navigation center

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Breed and Director Forbes,

San Francisco clearly has a homeless problem that has gotten out of hand. But I don't see any legitimate reason for a Navigation Center to be located in South Beach. Compared to other areas, South Beach does not have as many homeless. Also, the value of real estate in the area is some of the highest in The City. It seems to make a lot more sense to build the center in an area that is both cheaper and that has much more homeless. I'm sure there are many such locations throughout San Francisco.

Also, the Port is constantly struggling with funding. The ideal solution would be to develop Seawall Lot 330 in a way that would generate the highest amount of funds. Then a portion of the funds could be used to build more centers that would be opened at alternate sites.

Not to mention, I would not be surprised the local sentiment and legal opposition will be substantial enough that either the center never gets built on the proposed site, or at least gets delayed so long that another site would have been better.

Best Regards, J. Andre Clark San Francisco

From:	Andre Clark
Sent:	Tuesday, March 12, 2019 9:38 AM
То:	Haneystaff (BOS); Mcdonald, Courtney (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); Elsbernd, Sean (MYR);
	Bruss, Andrea (MYR); Forbes, Elaine (PRT); Brandon, Kimberly (PRT); Adams, Willie (PRT);
	victor@makrasrealestate.com; Quesada, Amy (PRT); DHSH (HOM)
Subject:	No on the Proposed SWL 330 Navigation Center

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear All,

San Francisco's waterfront is a treasure - both for our residents and visitors. I'm a long-time resident of South Beach, which as you know is a family residential area and also a major tourist thoroughfare. The the area will become even more popular for tourism once the Warriors arena opens for events which will take place all year long.

We all know that homelessness is a huge problem for San Francisco and that helping the homeless is a top priority. I and my neighbors strongly support navigation centers. But South Beach is 100% not an appropriate location. In my 14 years in South Beach, I have never seen any proposed development which is so universally opposed. There is absolutely zero good reason for a navigation center to be located in South Beach - there are only a small number of homeless in the neighborhood, so by definition, the center would bring in many times more homeless from elsewhere than currently live in the area.

The Port and the City have a legal responsibility to develop the waterfront for the best possible use for residents and for visitors. This proposal is clearly not the best possible use. SWL 330 is one of the most valuable properties in the Port's portfolio. I and other South Beach residents strongly recommend that the Port separates development of piers 30-32 and SWL 330. Then SWL 330 can be developed for market rate housing with some retail. This development would generate maximum revenues from the site - many tens of millions in revenues for the Port and the City which could be used for other projects, including housing for homeless and affordable housing.

District 6 already has multiple navigation centers. Other districts need to do their fair share by allowing centers to be built in every single other district. South Beach residents feel so strongly about issue that it would not surprise me if public opinion and possible legal challenges either delay center being built in South Beach by several years, or prevent it from being in South Beach entirely. I urge the Port and the City to change course and not waste additional time and money on a project that is not in the best interests of the Port, the residents of South Beach, and the visitors to our wonderful waterfront. If the goal is to quickly and easily build a navigation center, another location which much less public opposition is the obvious choice.

Kind Regards, J. Andre Clark

From:	Andrew Yang
Sent:	Sunday, March 10, 2019 11:45 PM
То:	DHSH (HOM)
Subject:	Do Not Build Navigation Center in Embarcadero!!!!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I am writing to you to express my opposition of the proposed Navigation Center in Embarcadero.

The Burden of Navigation Centers Should Be Shared by the City

District 6 and our community have a proud tradition of supporting those down on their luck and needing a second chance. We are delighted to have the Delancey Street Foundation as neighbors. Many of us supported the Navigation Center at Fifth & Bryant that just opened in January 2019. However, we are bearing an unfair share of the burden when the city proposes to open the largest Navigation Center in our neighborhood right after we embraced one that opened just two months ago. Other districts should take their turn before asking District 6 to establish another shelter.

South Beach/SOMA Has More Schools and Child Care Centers, Than Any Other District in San Francisco

South Beach/SOMA is home to at least 25 schools and child care facilities – the largest concentration of schools and child care facilities in all of San Francisco. All of these schools and child care facilities would be within walking distance of the proposed navigation shelter. If the City of San Francisco goes forward with the Navigation Center, it will jeopardize the health and safety of thousands of small children.

Blight Upon the Waterfront

The waterfront is one of the most scenic, beautiful, and desirable locations in the Bay Area. It makes no sense to showcase this most problematic monument to homelessness as the face of San Francisco. The proposed Navigation Center's portable structures and tents will be a visual and architectural blight upon the most scenic and symbolic thoroughfare of our city. Furthermore, it is wrong to force pedestrians, tourists, and Giants fans to run the gauntlet imposed by the proposed Navigation Center. This is no way to welcome visitors to our jewel by the Bay.

From:	Quesada, Amy (PRT)
Sent:	Monday, March 11, 2019 9:27 AM
То:	Andy Barnes
Cc:	Forbes, Elaine (PRT); Quezada, Randolph (PRT)
Subject:	RE: Comments Regarding a Proposed Navigation Center on Port Property
Attachments:	A03122019.docx

Dear Andy,

Thank you for reaching out regarding the proposed navigation center at Seawall Lot 330. We appreciate hearing from the public on this matter and encourage you to attend either the upcoming Port Commission meeting or community meeting at Delancey Street to share your views. Information about both meetings is below for your information. Attached is a copy of the Port Commission agenda for your information.

The waterfront and adjacent neighborhoods face many challenges around homelessness, and by bringing this SAFE Navigation Center to the area, we can work to address these challenges and get our unsheltered residents on a path to housing stability. The proposed SAFE Navigation Center will provide 175-225 additional beds to help meet the unmet shelter need in our community.

Port Commission Meeting Tuesday March 12, 2019 3:15 p.m. Port Commission Hearing Room Ferry Building, 2nd Floor, SF CA 94107

Community Meeting Tuesday March 12, 2019 6:00 - 7:30pm Delancey Street Foundation 600 The Embarcadero San Francisco, CA 94107

Comments or questions can be directed to the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing at DHSH@sfgov.org. We look forward to seeing you on Tuesday!

Thanks,

Amy Quesada Port of San Francisco Pier 1 SF 94111 415-274-0405

amy.quesada@sfport.com

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Ms. Forbes and Ms. Quesada: My wife and I reside near the Port property that has been proposed for location of a "Navigation Center" for the homeless. As we will be unable to attend the The Port Commission hearing on March 12, we are writing to add our comments to the public record. Please convey our comments to the Commission. We believe the Port should not accommodate a navigation center on any of its water front or near-water front locations for this use, as such use directly conflicts with the best interests of the Port with respect to its valuable lands -and the City can meet its needs for this use in more appropriate locations.

We hope that the Port is aware that opening a Navigation Center on Port property will considerably add to the challenges of developing its properties in the area for highest and best uses in the future. *First*, The Center's have a stated purpose of housing entire encampments together, as we understand it. As there are no large encampments in our neighborhood or on Port property of which we are aware, it stands to reason that priority will be given to homeless persons from outside Port neighborhoods. *Second*, homeless shelters can become magnets for homeless persons not staying in the shelter. Just visit any homeless shelter and take a look around. These are often friends of people staying at the shelter. The proposed Navigation Center on Port property is more likely to exacerbate the Port's homeless problem than ameliorate it. There are better site alternatives that can best serve city needs while not tying up valuable Port property on the water front.

Moreover, does a homeless shelter fit in with the Port's redevelopment vision? Just a few years ago, the Port was trying to attract viable new water front users to the area. Will the presence of a homeless shelter be consistent with this purpose? Are Port lands to be used as a land bank for hard-to-locate city service uses? We support the Mayor's call for Navigation centers and more initiatives to help the homeless - but not on the city's prime real estate! Hoping the Commission can find other alternatives and suggestions for the Mayor.

Respectfully, William and Sara Barnes,

From:	Beatriz Raggio
Sent:	Monday, March 11, 2019 8:19 PM
То:	Breed, Mayor London (MYR); Elsbernd, Sean (MYR); Bruss, Andrea (MYR); Forbes, Elaine (PRT); DHSH (HOM)
Subject:	Re: Waterfront Navigation Center

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please reconsider your support for this project. The residents of this neighborhood depend on the Embarcadero as recreational space in our neighborhood of perpetual public and private construction, high traffic impact and pollution.

Please put yourselves in our shoes and find another location. Ask and listen to the residents of the area before mandating something that will impact our families. You all think there are no tax payers residents here but just business. Take a stroll around and see us all trying to have a life in the middle of all the issues listed above and you are adding us a navigation center!

Good luck on next election cycle!

Beatriz

--Bia

Flagged

Flag Status:

From:	Ben Shen
Sent:	Monday, March 11, 2019 12:22 AM
Subject:	Grave Concerns regarding Navigation Center on the Embarcadero
Follow Up Flag:	Follow up

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing to express grave concern regarding the plan to build a Navigation Center on Lot 330 on the Embarcadero. I firmly believe that this plan will have extremely negative consequences on the safety / crime of the surrounding neighborhood and am extremely concerned for both myself and my family's safety, having personally endured an experience of having been physically accosted near a Navigation Center on 5th / Bryant.

I believe there are many logical reasons as to why this location is a very sub-optimal choice for a the Navigation Center. It would create an extremely unsightly environment in a highly visible juncture which experiences high foot traffic by residents and tourists, which would further damage perception of the city. I also believe that it could potentially impact traffic / congestion as this area currently serves as an important conduit for traffic heading to Oracle Park, Mission Bay, and other areas, and can see foot traffic potentially avoiding the area due to an increase in crime / decrease in safety. In short, I feel that there should be much better places to build an additional Navigation Center aside from a location which is so central and key to transportation. Additionally, I have to believe that there could be much better economic uses of this land given its geographical location, which means that it would be better served generating funds which could be deployed towards homeless initiatives. Having lived in the area for almost five years, I have seen many positive developments and also witnessed this part of the Embarcadero developing into a vibrant place for both residents and tourists, facilitating the flow of foot traffic between the Ferry Building / Bay Bridge to Oracle Park, Mission Bay, dogpatch, and other surrounding areas. I strongly feel like building this Navigation Center would greatly derail progress made in further developing the area into a safe, vibrant neighborhood.

Additionally, there is an issue of unfairness with regards to opening another Navigation Center in district 6 when there are already other Navigation Centers in the district (while many other districts have not even opened a single navigation center). Matt Haney campaigned on getting other districts to share the burden of homelessness, and even gave an interview to the Chronicle right after taking office saying that he wanted Mayor Breed to select a site in every district for a homeless Navigation Center rather than continuing to build only in Districts Six, Nine and Ten. Instead of making concerted efforts to follow through on these campaign promises, I am extremely disappointed to see him compromising the trust placed in him by diverting his attention to allowing another Navigation Center to be build in the district, and disregarding the safety and well-being of those he should be representing.

I would greatly appreciate it if the Port Commission and the leaders of our city could kindly consider the above arguments in coming to the conclusion that this is not the right place for the Navigation Center. While I firmly do agree that tackling the homeless issue should be one of the top priorities, I do not believe it should override all other logic or reason and believe that there are much better areas for a Navigation center to be built, such as in districts which experience less foot traffic, are less densely populated, and do not already currently have a Navigation Center. I appreciate your time and consideration and your continued efforts in improving this city which we all love.

Regards, Benjamin Shen

From:	Bob Bernie
Sent:	Monday, March 11, 2019 9:43 AM
То:	Quesada, Amy (PRT)
Cc:	Forbes, Elaine (PRT); Quezada, Randolph (PRT)
Subject:	Re: Port Navigation Center
Follow Up Flag:	Follow up

Flagged

I hope to attend.

Flag Status:

On Mon, Mar 11, 2019 at 9:22 AM Quesada, Amy (PRT) <<u>amy.quesada@sfport.com</u>> wrote: Dear Dr. Bernie,

Thank you for reaching out regarding the proposed navigation center at Seawall Lot 330. We appreciate hearing from the public on this matter and encourage you to attend either the upcoming Port Commission meeting or community meeting at Delancey Street to share your views. Information about both meetings is below for your information. Attached is a copy of the Port Commission agenda for your information.

The waterfront and adjacent neighborhoods face many challenges around homelessness, and by bringing this SAFE Navigation Center to the area, we can work to address these challenges and get our unsheltered residents on a path to housing stability. The proposed SAFE Navigation Center will provide 175-225 additional beds to help meet the unmet shelter need in our community.

Port Commission Meeting Tuesday March 12, 2019 3:15 p.m. Port Commission Hearing Room Ferry Building, 2nd Floor, SF CA 94107

Community Meeting Tuesday March 12, 2019 6:00 - 7:30pm Delancey Street Foundation 600 The Embarcadero San Francisco, CA 94107

Comments or questions can be directed to the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing at <u>DHSH@sfgov.org</u>. We look forward to seeing you on Tuesday!

Thanks,

Amy Quesada Port of San Francisco Pier 1 SF 94111 415-274-0405 amy.quesada@sfport.com -----Original Message-----From: Bob Bernie Sent: Saturday, March 09, 2019 11:48 AM To: Quesada, Amy (PRT) <<u>amy.quesada@sfport.com</u>> Subject: Port Navigation Center

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Amy,

I'm writing to express my concerns and frustration with adding another navigation center in our neighborhood. Putting a navigation center on port property so San Francisco can highlight our problems to the tourist who in turn return home around the world and spread the word of a filthy dangerous city is just not a good idea. Please consider alternatives. Thank You, Dr. Robert Bernie

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Quesada, Amy (PRT)
Sent:	Monday, March 11, 2019 10:43 AM
То:	Bobak Esfandiari
Cc:	LaCroix, Leah (PRT); Forbes, Elaine (PRT); Quezada, Randolph (PRT)
Subject:	RE: Regarding the proposal for a 200+ bed navigation center to be added on SF Port property at
	Seawall lot 330
Attachments:	A03122019.docx

Dear Bobak,

Thank you for reaching out regarding the proposed navigation center at Seawall Lot 330. We appreciate hearing from the public on this matter and encourage you to attend either the upcoming Port Commission meeting or community meeting at Delancey Street to share your views. Information about both meetings is below for your information. Attached is a copy of the Port Commission agenda for your information.

The waterfront and adjacent neighborhoods face many challenges around homelessness, and by bringing this SAFE Navigation Center to the area, we can work to address these challenges and get our unsheltered residents on a path to housing stability. The proposed SAFE Navigation Center will provide 175-225 additional beds to help meet the unmet shelter need in our community.

Port Commission Meeting Tuesday March 12, 2019 3:15 p.m. Port Commission Hearing Room Ferry Building, 2nd Floor, SF CA 94107

Community Meeting Tuesday March 12, 2019 6:00 - 7:30pm Delancey Street Foundation 600 The Embarcadero San Francisco, CA 94107

Comments or questions can be directed to the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing at DHSH@sfgov.org. We look forward to seeing you on Tuesday!

Thanks,

Amy Quesada

Port of San Francisco Pier 1 SF 94111 415-274-0405 amy.quesada@sfport.com

From: LaCroix, Leah (PRT) <leah.lacroix@sfport.com> Sent: Monday, March 11, 2019 9:04 AM To: Quesada, Amy (PRT) <amy.quesada@sfport.com>

Subject: Fwd: Regarding the proposal for a 200+ bed navigation center to be added on SF Port property at Seawall lot 330

Hi Amy,

Please see the email below.

Thanks, Leah

Leah LaCroix Port of San Francisco

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Mayor Breed, Supervisor Haney, and Port Commission Clerk LaCroix,

Most of you know me from my advocacy as a member of <u>YIMBY Action</u>, as a leader of neighbors in the Richmond District who are advocating for more housing as part of <u>Grow The Richmond</u>, as a member of the <u>United Democratic Club</u>, and in general as someone who gets a little loud and rambunctious on Twitter at times.

I'm writing all of you today in SUPPORT of the proposal to build a temporary 4 year Navigation (or SAFE?) Center at Seawall Lot 330, just east of the Bay Bridge.

I've made my opinions on this topic somewhat clear to everyone involved in the past, so I won't belabor the point with a super long drawn out email. All I will say are the following two things:

1. We need geographic equity in this city when it comes to providing services for the homeless and less fortunate. My neighbors in the Richmond District cannot, and should not be exempt from having to do our fair share to help the city provide the spaces and resources that it needs in order to help alleviate the suffering on our streets. The western neighborhoods CANNOT and MUST NOT be exempt from the solutions here. We have to make the space. I'm no expert on where these kinds of facilities can or should go, and I'm sure that I've recommended a few places on twitter that probably aren't feasible according to engineers or other people who study this stuff. That being said, I refuse to believe that there are NO locations where a similarly sized navigation center could be located. We have spaces in Golden Gate Park. We have spaces in the Presidio. We have spaces all over the place and we're **in a crisis** and times of crisis call for crisis levels of intervention. Supervisor Haney is only 8 weeks on the job, and he's come out in support of a concrete proposal for a navigation center in his neighborhood. I've yet to see anything similar come from the folks that represent Supervisorial Districts 1/2/4/7. Claiming to be "looking" isn't good enough. I want there to be more of these spaces opened FASTER, and throughout the city.

2. We need MORE of these facilities and we needed them installed last year. I don't want to hear about how some supervisors have been looking for a suitable site, or how they've been <u>"proposing sites but the Mayor's office has been shooting them down"</u>. If a proposal isn't made in good faith, in collaboration with the relevant city agencies and the office of the Mayor, then I don't trust the proposal. I want to see **results** not empty promises. Too many leaders in our city have been too complacent for too damn long. I'm a fan of incrementalism to be sure, I do believe it's good practice in general to propose pilot projects for public policy, see if they work, and then scale them up pending the results. That **has happened** with the earlier Navigation Centers. We know they work. We know that there is only ONE path to humanely ending homelessness in our city and in the Bay Area and it is for every city, every county, and every neighborhood to do their fair share and to approve these projects as well as the permanent supportive housing people are supposed to navigate towards NOW. I don't want Mayor Breed's goal of having 1,000 shelter beds opened by 2020 to just **barely be met** I want her to REACH IT EARLY, THEN DOUBLE IT. THEN DOUBLE IT AGAIN.

We have a goddamn crisis on our streets, and I'm getting tired. I'm tired of seeing people who have been sitting on the Board of Supervisors for YEARS blame people like Jeff Kositsky for not adequately addressing an issue 40 years in the making, when they've been on and off of the city's legislative body since 2001.

I'm tired of neighbors saying NO to facilities and programs that would make a meaningful difference in the lives of people who have no roof over their heads.

I'm tired of bad faith proposals from elected officials that are deliberately designed to fail.

I'm tired of the politics around this issue.

I want to see results this year. I will be at the meeting on Tuesday night and I will be rallying my friends, fellow YIMBYs, neighbors and co-workers to be there too. Supervisor Haney is right to be upset that there doesn't appear to be any sense of urgency to get Nav Centers sited in the other 8 districts of San Francisco. I'm as upset as he is. That being said, I'm not going to indulge the NIMBYism of the Rincon Hill/East Cut/South Beach homeowners just because our Board of Supervisors cannot get it's act together and dismantle the unwritten rule of "Supervisorial Prerogative".

I'm not sure if there will be an opportunity for public comment at the community meeting in the evening, but as a member of the San Francisco community, as someone who works in the Mid-Market area in Supervisor Haney's district, and as someone who expects to see a proposal for a navigation center in the Richmond District (where I live), I will be there to voice my strong support for the proposal in question.

Thank you Mayor Breed and Supervisor Haney for stepping up on this issue. Thank you to Clerk LaCroix for passing along my email to the members of the Port Commission who will be voting on this item at some point in the next few months.

Respectfully,

--

Bobak Esfandiari

[&]quot;Let the beauty of what you love be what you do."

-Rumi

From:	Quesada, Amy (PRT)
Sent:	Monday, March 11, 2019 4:53 PM
То:	Bradley Tarter
Cc:	Forbes, Elaine (PRT); Quezada, Randolph (PRT)
Subject:	RE: Sea Wall 330 Parking Lot
Attachments:	A03122019.docx

Dear Bradley,

Thank you for reaching out regarding the proposed navigation center at Seawall Lot 330. We appreciate hearing from the public on this matter and encourage you to attend either the upcoming Port Commission meeting or community meeting at Delancey Street to share your views. Information about both meetings is below for your information. Attached is a copy of the Port Commission agenda for your information.

The waterfront and adjacent neighborhoods face many challenges around homelessness, and by bringing this SAFE Navigation Center to the area, we can work to address these challenges and get our unsheltered residents on a path to housing stability. The proposed SAFE Navigation Center will provide 175-225 additional beds to help meet the unmet shelter need in our community.

Port Commission Meeting Tuesday March 12, 2019 3:15 p.m. Port Commission Hearing Room Ferry Building, 2nd Floor, SF CA 94107

Community Meeting Tuesday March 12, 2019 6:00 - 7:30pm Delancey Street Foundation 600 The Embarcadero San Francisco, CA 94107

Comments or questions can be directed to the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing at DHSH@sfgov.org. We look forward to seeing you on Tuesday!

Thanks,

Amy Quesada Port of San Francisco Pier 1 SF 94111 415-274-0405 <u>amy.quesada@sfport.com</u>

From: Bradley Tarter
Sent: Monday, March 11, 2019 1:19 PM
To: Forbes, Elaine (PRT) <elaine.forbes@sfport.com>; Brandon, Kimberly (PRT)
<Kimberly.Brandon@SFGOV1.onmicrosoft.com>; Adams, Willie (PRT)

<WilliamEugene.Adam@SFGOV1.onmicrosoft.com>; Gail.Gilman@sfport.com; Victor.Makras@sfport.com; Quesada, Amy (PRT) <amy.quesada@sfport.com> Subject: Sea Wall 330 Parking Lot

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Esteemed Members of the SF Port Authority,

As a resident of District 6 and a resident of San Francisco for over 10 years, I am shocked at the lack of concern for public safety displayed by our "representation."

There is a homeless crisis in SF and I am a strong supporter of addressing the crisis... but there are too many critical flaws in the current proposed plan including fiscally irresponsible use of port property, the lack of transparency displayed by City Council with regard to the development, and an ill-advised 200+ bed facility which is not consistent with general Navigation Center guidelines, etc....

In regards to public safety...

The issue of public safety has been completely disregarded by anyone in support of developing SWL330.

There are already two navigation centers within 2 miles of my home that I run past daily.

I regularly witness individuals in the immediate vicinity of these sites injecting themselves on the sidewalk.

Hurdling discarded needles and human feces in the vicinity of navigation centers has become a regular part of my workout.

More frighteningly, I have also been chased by an individual just outside of the Dogpatch Navigation center and it is not uncommon to be yelled at or harassed.

Due to concern for my own safety, I always run on the far side of the street to create as much space between myself and the Navigation Center.

So imagine my complete shock that the city proposed building the largest Navigation Center yet within walking distance of the largest concentration of schools and child care facilities in all of San Francisco. I cannot fathom a less appropriate place to place a Navigation Center: The proposed site is surrounded by facilities dedicated to families and children including multiple playgrounds, parks, and a preschool within a 1 mile radius.

Moreover, the Embarcadero is also a pedestrian super highway for families from around the bay area and the world visiting San Francisco to attend Giants games.

Is it in the city's best interest to place a Navigation Center in the path of a family walking to a Giants game?

But most importantly, is it in the city's best interest to place a Navigation Center within 3 blocks of over 10,000 residents, many of them families with children, and an entire network of facilities including parks, playgrounds, schools, childcare, and similar facilities dedicated to families and children.

I repeat, <u>I cannot fathom a less appropriate place to locate such a Navigation Center.</u>

Please find a more suitable location for a smaller and more appropriately sized navigation center that does not put the health and well being of our neighborhood and children at risk.

Feel free to call me with questions or feedback. Thank you for your consideration.

Brad Tarter

Quesada, Amy (PRT)

Subject: FW: I Support Homes at Lot 330

From: Bradley Kenstler
Sent: Friday, April 19, 2019 11:10 AM
To: Quesada, Amy (PRT) <amy.quesada@sfport.com>
Subject: I Support Homes at Lot 330

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Amy Quesada,

My name is Brad Kenstler and I live at apartment complex near lot 330. I strongly support the proposed Navigation Center at Lot 330.

I've been living in SF since 2010, and before that I was raised in Los Angeles. Homelessness is a nationwide problem and one we feel acutely in California. We need to do everything we can to help out our neighbors. As an employee of big tech working in AI, I strongly believe that those of us thriving in our society need to help those who are suffering get back on their feet.

Sincerely, Bradley Kenstler

From:	Brenda Leung
Sent:	Monday, March 11, 2019 9:47 PM
То:	Forbes, Elaine (PRT)
Subject:	Opposition of proposed homeless shelter

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Ms. Forbes,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed homeless shelter location. I understood homeless people need our help but why can't you find a proper location which is not right next to the residential buildings. It affects our daily lives and we are concerned and angry.

There is already a Navigation Center closer to us than the one in the Dogpatch. In January 2019, the city opened an 84bed Navigation Center at Fifth and Bryant which is less than a mile from the new Navigation Center proposed for Piers 30-32. You should go and take a walk to Fifth and Bryant, you will find out yourself why we do not agree to have a homeless shelter right next to our building.

We are very worried about crime rate, drug dealing (100% sure it will be increased), and hygiene problems, the street will be dirty with all those needles and wastes.

We have children too, we are extremely worried about safety of our neighborhood . We already have so less of children playground and facilities in our area, your proposed homeless shelter will invite more homeless people in our neighborhood and those who cannot get into the shelter will sleep around in the park and playground nearby. Put yourself in my shoe, will you allow your kids to play the park filled with homeless people?!

Plus, having a homeless shelter close to <u>AT&T park</u> and the Embarcardeo ? I bet you wish all the visitors and tourists to experience the real San Francisco , to see all these littering , drug use, stealing and breaking car windows . This shelter does not improve our neighborhood , we will fight to the end and protect our home !!!

You should seriously consider to relocate the shelter location to somewhere else (non-residential area). It is not fair for us to have three homeless shelters in our area.

Owner and resident of Brenda Leung

From:	Bruce Kin Huie
Sent:	Tuesday, March 12, 2019 11:14 PM
То:	Kositsky, Jeff (HOM)
Cc:	Forbes, Elaine (PRT); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Susan Eslick; Jared Doumani; Vanessa Aquino; Burch,
	Percy (BOS); Abbott, Kerry (HOM); Stewart-Kahn, Abigail (HOM)
Subject:	Central Waterfront Navigation Center - Dogpatch Neighborhood Association Support for additional
	3-year term
Attachments: This message is	2019.03.12 - Letter of Support - Central Waterfront _ Dogpatch Homeless Navigation Center(1).pdf s from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mr. Kositsky:

The Dogpatch Neighborhood Association (DNA) is pleased to express its support for continued operations at the Central Waterfront Navigation Center. DNA would welcome a second three year lease term at the current site.

The City's Navigation Centers have proven to be effective and attractive choices for people on the street, providing them with a safe place to be, services and access to resources to help them change their lives in dignified settings marked by compassion and respect. Since opening, the Central Waterfront Navigation Center has been a good neighbor, well-maintained and an invaluable resource in addressing encampments in our neighborhood.

DNA welcomes the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing's (HSH) methodical approach to addressing encampments and work with the Healthy Streets Operations Center to ensure that all concerns are properly addressed. We appreciate having the navigation center in our community and the work of the City to ensure that our neighborhood's concerns are prioritized.

Going forward we would like to receive regular written reports from HSH, outlining the work of the Navigation Center, as well as reports of 911 requests for service to the site as well as 311 encampment calls for service in the neighborhood.

DNA believes that the Central Waterfront Navigation Center is an important neighborhood and community asset and look forward to seeing operations continue at 25th and Michigan in Dogpatch.

Please feel free to contact me with questions. Thanks for your consideration. Best regards,

Bruce Kin Huie - President

Dogpatch Neighborhood Association

1

Web: <u>http://www.mydogpatchsf.org</u> Facebook: <u>https://www.facebook.com/DogpatchNeighborhoodAssociation</u>

CC - Elaine Forbes, Executive Director, Port of San Francisco | D10 Supervisor Shamann Walton



Tuesday, 3/12/19

Jeff Kositsky Director Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing 1360 Mission Street, Suite 200 San Francisco, CA 94103

RE: Dogpatch Neighborhood Association Support for additional 3-year term of the Central Waterfront Navigation Center

Dear Mr. Kositsky:

The Dogpatch Neighborhood Association (DNA) is pleased to express its support for continued operations at the Central Waterfront Navigation Center. DNA would welcome a second three year lease term at the current site.

The City's Navigation Centers have proven to be effective and attractive choices for people on the street, providing them with a safe place to be, services and access to resources to help them change their lives in dignified settings marked by compassion and respect. Since opening, the Central Waterfront Navigation Center has been a good neighbor, well-maintained and an invaluable resource in addressing encampments in our neighborhood.

DNA welcomes the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing's (HSH) methodical approach to addressing encampments and work with the Healthy Streets Operations Center to ensure that all concerns are properly addressed. We appreciate having the navigation center in our community and the work of the City to ensure that our neighborhood's concerns are prioritized.

Going forward we would like to receive regular written reports from HSH, outlining the work of the Navigation Center, as well as reports of 911 requests for service to the site as well as 311 encampment calls for service in the neighborhood.



DNA believes that the Central Waterfront Navigation Center is an important neighborhood and community asset and look forward to seeing operations continue at 25th and Michigan in Dogpatch.

Please feel free to contact me with questions. Thanks for your consideration.

Best regards,

Bruce Kin Huie - President Dogpatch Neighborhood Association

Web: http://www.mydogpatchsf.org Facebook: https://www.facebook.com/DogpatchNeighborhoodAssociation

CC - Elaine Forbes, Executive Director, Port of San Francisco | D10 Supervisor Shamann Walton

From:	CJ Glynn
Sent:	Monday, March 11, 2019 11:55 AM
То:	Forbes, Elaine (PRT)
Subject:	Oppose Homeless Shelter on Seawall Lot 330

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Forbes: Please oppose the homeless shelter on Seawall lot 330. It is an ill-advised plan for so many reasons, including:

South Beach/SOMA Has More Schools and Child Care Centers Than Any Other District in San Francisco: Homeless Center on Seawall Lot 330 Increases Risk of Assault on Children

South Beach/SOMA is home to at least 25 schools and child care facilities – the largest concentration of schools and child care facilities in all of San Francisco. All of these schools and child care facilities would be within walking distance of the proposed navigation shelter. If the City of San Francisco goes forward with the Navigation Center, it will jeopardize the health and safety of thousands of small children. What will The City do when one of the homeless that the proposed Center attracts assaults a child? Do you want to have your vote recorded on a project that can increase the risk of child assault?

Blight Upon the Waterfront; Reduction of Tourist Revenue

The waterfront is one of the most scenic, beautiful, and desirable locations in the Bay Area. It makes no sense to showcase this most problematic monument to homelessness as the face of San Francisco. The proposed Navigation Center's portable structures and tents will be a visual and architectural blight upon the most scenic and symbolic thoroughfare of our city. Furthermore, it is wrong to force pedestrians, tourists, and Giants fans to run the gauntlet imposed by the proposed Navigation Center. This is no way to welcome visitors to our jewel by the Bay. The proposed location is also in a major tourist area. Tourists bring untold revenue to the city's coffers. Do you want your vote recorded on a measure that will reduce city revenues?

The Burden of Navigation Centers Should Be Shared by the City

District 6 and our community have a proud tradition of supporting those down on their luck and needing a second chance. We are delighted to have the Delancey Street Foundation as neighbors. Many of us supported the Navigation Center at Fifth & Bryant that just opened in January 2019. However, we are bearing an unfair share of the burden when the city proposes to open the largest Navigation Center in our neighborhood right after we embraced one that opened just two months ago. Other districts should take their turn before asking District 6 to establish another shelter. How would you like a homeless shelter next to your tony home!

I urge you to vote no on a homeless shelter on seawall lot 330.

CJ Glynn

Sent from my iPad

From:	David Horwitz
Sent:	Tuesday, March 12, 2019 12:03 PM
То:	David Horwitz
Subject:	Opposition to Navigation Center

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Greetings,

I agree that homelessness is an issue in our city that must be helped. However, opening a Navigation Center on piers 30/32 is not the way to deal with the issue.

I and am strongly opposed to this proposal. This is a highly trafficked *tourist* area, with scores of families walking between the ballpark and the Ferry Building. It's also a place where people jog or run all day and evening, including single women. It is *not* an appropriate place for homeless beds and associated activities. There are many more appropriate places in the city to set up such a place of important services to help our homeless population.

A better use of this pier would be recreation facilities for all, similar to what they've done with Chelsea Piers in New York. This would be a benefit to the community of residents and to our visitors who are here for conventions at Moscone and our fine hotels. In fact, as you know, some conventions have been pulling out of San Francisco because of the homeless issue, so adding more homeless people to our areas where visitors congregate only exacerbates the issue.

Please reconsider the location.

Respectfully, David B. Horwitz

From:	Dominic Curran
Sent:	Sunday, March 10, 2019 6:12 PM
То:	Forbes, Elaine (PRT)
Subject:	Opposition to Proposed Navigation Center at Seawall Lot 330

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mrs Forbes,

I am writing to voice my opposition to the proposed 200+ bed Homeless Navigation Center at Seawall Lot 330.

As a father to two children under the age of 1 and a taxpaying homeowner in the area, my major concerns are public safety, street cleanliness, and property crime. An influx of 200+ homeless people with nothing more than a few sq ft of personal space within the Navigation Center would no doubt lead to a detrimental effect on the surrounding neighborhood - more crime and open drug use that will is both a public health and safety issue. My family, along with our neighbors are vehemently against the proposal. I'm sure you've heard by now that a private survey of 250 residents has found that the majority oppose the plan in its current form (<u>https://sfresidents.com/newsroom/f/a-great-majority-of-san-francisco-opposes-mayor-breed's-new-"mega</u>).

I hope that you hear the overwhelming opposition against this proposal and reject it.

Kind regards, Dominic Curran

From: Sent: -	Quesada, Amy (PRT) Monday, March 11, 2019 9:46 AM
To:	Earl Gee
Cc:	Forbes, Elaine (PRT); Quezada, Randolph (PRT)
Subject:	RE: Opposition to Proposed Navigation Center on Seawall Lot 330
Attachments:	A03122019.docx
Follow Up Flag:	Follow up
Flag Status:	Flagged

Dear Earl,

Thank you for reaching out regarding the proposed navigation center at Seawall Lot 330. We appreciate hearing from the public on this matter and encourage you to attend either the upcoming Port Commission meeting or community meeting at Delancey Street to share your views. Information about both meetings is below for your information. Attached is a copy of the Port Commission agenda for your information.

The waterfront and adjacent neighborhoods face many challenges around homelessness, and by bringing this SAFE Navigation Center to the area, we can work to address these challenges and get our unsheltered residents on a path to housing stability. The proposed SAFE Navigation Center will provide 175-225 additional beds to help meet the unmet shelter need in our community.

Port Commission Meeting Tuesday March 12, 2019 3:15 p.m. Port Commission Hearing Room Ferry Building, 2nd Floor, SF CA 94107

Community Meeting Tuesday March 12, 2019 6:00 - 7:30pm Delancey Street Foundation 600 The Embarcadero San Francisco, CA 94107

Comments or questions can be directed to the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing at DHSH@sfgov.org. We look forward to seeing you on Tuesday!

Thanks,

Amy Quesada Port of San Francisco Pier 1 SF 94111 415-274-0405 <u>amy.quesada@sfport.com</u> From: Earl Gee
Sent: Sunday, March 10, 2019 8:41 PM
To: Brandon, Kimberly (PRT) <Kimberly.Brandon@SFGOV1.onmicrosoft.com>
Cc: Quesada, Amy (PRT) <amy.quesada@sfport.com>
Subject: Opposition to Proposed Navigation Center on Seawall Lot 330

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

March 10, 2019

Ms. Kimberly Brandon President, Port Commission Port of San Francisco Pier 1, The Embarcadero San Francisco, CA 94111

Subject: Opposition to Proposed Navigation Center on Seawall Lot 330

Dear President Brandon,

My name is Earl Gee. I am a resident and business owner at the since 1994. I have also served on the Portside Master Association Board for over 23 years. Portside encompasses 220 residences at 38 Bryant Street and 403 Main Street. While Portside shares the City and Port's commitment to reduce homelessness, the location of the proposed navigation center poses many unmitigable problems and unacceptable outcomes for our neighborhood, including increased risks to public safety, property crime, and public nuisance; degradation of neighborhood quality of life and livability; and perhaps most importantly, non-compliance of land use with the SF Port's own Waterfront Plan and City plans.

Magnet for Homeless Outside Center

It is inevitable that the proposed navigation center will attract large congregations of street people outside. It has been documented in published reports that areas that border on homeless shelters have more problems with crime, violence, drug use, loitering, and other criminal activities. These conditions will have a negative impact upon pedestrians and attendees of Giants games walking along the Embarcadero, and our neighborhood in general.

Fails to Integrate with Community

No land use could be more distinctly out of character for the South Beach community of high-end residential and office use than the proposed navigation center's temporary structures and tents. It is clearly an improper, unsuitable, and incompatible use within our residential neighborhood.

Risks to Public Safety

Portside is home to many residents with young families, whose physical safety would be threatened by the inevitable gathering of homeless outside the center, some of who are mentally ill, intoxicated with alcohol, high on chemical substances, or with criminal or violent histories. To place children directly in the path of a large homeless population is dangerous, irresponsible, and wrong.

Increase in Property Crime

The surrounding streets of Bryant, Main, Harrison and the Embarcadero have some of the highest rates of vehicle breakins in the City, with many of these crimes proven to be committed by the homeless. Locating the proposed navigation center so close to our residences, businesses, and property will make an untenable situation worse and degrade our quality of life.

Degradation of Quality of Life

Portside residents—and our neighborhood in general—already endure continuous periods of gridlocked traffic throughout the day which negatively impacts our area. We see no value—nor justice—in compounding the existing problems of our neighborhood with the proposed navigation center and the crime, violence, drug use, loitering, and criminal activities it will bring.

Disproportionate Use of District 6 for Homeless

District 6 already has an 84-bed navigation center at 5th and Bryant Streets, in close proximity to the proposed site on SWL 330. Locating another navigation center so close to 5th and Bryant places a disproportionate and undue burden of homelessness upon our residential neighborhood. Other districts must do their fair share to ease the homelessness problem by accommodating navigation centers.

As an engaged citizen who has faithfully participated in and commented upon the numerous Port Workshops on the development of SWL 330 for over two decades, I am most deeply troubled by the Port and City for proposing a navigation center at this location.

Inappropriate Land Use per Community Input

Engaged citizens of the area contributed their valuable time to participate in many input sessions and workshops with both Port and City officials regarding potential land use for SWL 330. Never has our community supported a navigation center as an appropriate land use for the site. It is out of place, out of character, and inappropriate for our residential neighborhood. If community input is to be disregarded, why have we attended, participated in, and commented upon all these sessions?

Impedes Public Access to Waterfront

SB815, approved by the State Legislature in 2007, allows development of non-trust residential, office, and commercial uses that complement the land use character of the South Beach neighborhood, generate revenue for historic rehabilitation, and public access. The proposed navigation center at SWL 330, in addition to being a land use that is distinctly out of character with the South Beach neighborhood, will do the opposite of promoting public access to the Waterfront—by forcing pedestrians and Giants attendees to cross the street or stay away from the area altogether to avoid being accosted by the inevitable homeless activity surrounding the shelter.

Fails to Comply with SF Port Waterfront Plan—or Any Plans

The use of SWL 330 for a proposed navigation center fails to comply with SF Port Waterfront Plan or any other adopted plans, including the Rincon Hill, Better Streets, and Eastern Neighborhoods Plans. If the use is non-compliant with any approved Plans that citizens took time out their working day to contribute to and comment upon, why have any Plans at all? Why should the mayor be allowed to to subvert the will of the residents most adversely affected by the location of the proposed navigation center?

Disregards All Waterfront Plan Update Recommendations

Land use of SWL 330 as a proposed navigation center is in direct opposition to all Working Group Recommendations stated in the most recent SF Port Waterfront Plan Update of June 22, 2018 for improving use and development of SWL 330:

• "Complement the character of surrounding neighborhoods;"

The proposed navigation center is distinctly out of character for the South Beach community of high-end residential and office use.

• "Provide a pleasing transition from the City-side to the Bay, and ground floor activation to enhance the pedestrian environment;"

To the contrary, the proposed portable structures and tents will be a visual and architectural blight upon the most scenic and symbolic thoroughfare of the city as an improper, unsuitable, and incompatible use. Pedestrians and Giants attendees will be forced to cross the street to avoid being harassed by the homeless outside the shelter.

and "Generate revenues for Port capital improvements."

The loss of the \$700,000 currently generated at SWL 330 will be greatly detrimental to the Port's efforts to fund muchneeded capital improvements.

For the many important reasons stated above, I respectfully request that you oppose the location of the proposed navigation center on SWL 330.

Sincerely, Earl Gee

Quesada, Amy (PRT)

Subject: FW: Vote to Oppose Proposed Navigation Center on SWL 330 on April 23

From: Earl Gee
Sent: Friday, April 19, 2019 11:25 AM
To: Cc: Quesada, Amy (PRT) <amy.quesada@sfport.com>
Subject: Vote to Oppose Proposed Navigation Center on SWL 330 on April 23

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

April 19, 2019

Ms. Kimberly Brandon President, Port Commission Port of San Francisco Pier 1, The Embarcadero San Francisco, CA 94111

Subject: Vote to Oppose Proposed Navigation Center on SWL 330 on April 23

Dear President Brandon,

My name is Earl Gee. I have been a resident and business owner at Portside, directly across the street from SWL 330 for over 25 years. Along with the overwhelming majority of my fellow neighborhood residents, I oppose the location of the proposed navigation center at SWL 330. We are as committed to addressing the homeless issue as anyone in this city. But the difference is, this is our neighborhood, where we live, raise children, and build businesses—**our voices matter**. The issue is not the proposed navigation center's size or its lease—it has always been its **location**. To demand that our neighborhood **compromise our safety and security** to achieve a political goal is dangerous and wrong.

Non-Residential Locations

As proven by the navigation centers at 5th and Bryant in our own District 6 and 25th Street in Dogpatch, shelters belong in industrial or predominately non-residential areas—where they adversely affect the fewest residents possible—not the most. These non-residential locations should be the model—rather than to target high-density residential neighborhoods composed of families, children, and seniors. There are over 10,000 residents within 3 blocks of SWL 330—**under no circumstances** can a navigation center at this location be considered intelligent, responsible public policy.

Unmitigable Negative Impacts

While our neighborhood shares the City and Port's commitment to reduce homelessness, the location of the proposed navigation center poses many **unmitigable problems and unacceptable outcomes** for our neighborhood, including increased risks to public safety, property crime, and public nuisance; degradation of neighborhood quality of life and livability; and perhaps most importantly, non-compliance of land use with the SF Port's own Waterfront Plan and City plans. It is abundantly clear that the proposed navigation center at this location is not responsible, wise stewardship of valuable Port property.

Threat to Public Safety

Despite assurances by public officials, DHSH and SFPD that there will be little homeless activity outside the shelter, the reality at other centers has repeatedly proven otherwise. A navigation center, by definition, introduces a percentage of people into our neighborhood who are mentally ill, substance abusers, sex offenders, or with criminal or violent histories. To place our densely populated neighborhood of families, children, and seniors directly in the path of a large homeless population is **dangerous**, **irresponsible**, and **wrong**.

Blight upon our Bay

Citizens at public meetings have suggested Civic Center Plaza, directly in front of City Hall, as an alternative location for the proposed navigation center. As ludicrous as this suggestion may appear, the analogy was accurate and appropriate. Residents of our neighborhood had the same incredulous reaction upon learning of the proposed navigation center at SWL 330. Why would any any intelligent, responsible public official propose such an inappropriate, improper, and unsuitable use for the most scenic, symbolic, and heavily-travelled thoroughfare of our city? This is the **wrong way** to welcome visitors to our jewel by the Bay.

Denial of Public Access to Waterfront

The Embarcadero is one of the busiest pedestrian thoroughfares in the city, filled with thousands of people who walk to work in the Financial District to earn a living, fans who attend Giants games, and families with small children. They will all be exposed to the elevated risk to their health and safety from the crime, violence, drug use, loitering, and criminal activities the shelter will bring. It is clear the proposed navigation center at this location will **in no way** enhance pedestrian access to the waterfront.

Port Commission Responsibility

As an appointed official, you are not beholden to fulfilling ill-advised campaign pledges or preoccupied with attaining higher office. I urge you to evaluate this proposed navigation center at SWL 330 for what it is, and understand the irreparable damage it will cause our neighborhood—which **no mitigation can prevent or undo**. The fact that our neighborhood and community is so vehemently opposed to this deeply flawed proposal should give all public officials pause—to reflect upon whether the location of this navigation center is appropriate and suitable for the neighborhood. This proposed navigation center at SWL 330 cannot be approved on your watch.

Conclusion

No one disputes that the homeless deserve a voice. But our neighborhood's 10,000 hard-working, law-abiding, taxpaying, voting residents demand a say in this decision. And we unequivocally say no to its location at SWL 330. We hope you will provide the responsible stewardship of Port property you were appointed to uphold, and take this opportunity as a public official to hear the citizens you serve. I urge you to do the right thing for the Port and our neighborhood **oppose the proposed navigation center at SWL 330**. It has no place here.

Respectfully, Earl Gee

From:	Quesada, Amy (PRT)
Sent:	Monday, March 11, 2019 10:03 AM
То:	Hugo Lee
Cc:	Forbes, Elaine (PRT); Quezada, Randolph (PRT)
Subject:	RE: Opposition to Proposed Navigation Center on Seawall Lot 330
Attachments:	A03262019.docx; A03262019.docx

Dear Fani,

Thank you for reaching out regarding the proposed navigation center at Seawall Lot 330. We appreciate hearing from the public on this matter and encourage you to attend either the upcoming Port Commission meeting or community meeting at Delancey Street to share your views. Information about both meetings is below for your information. Attached is a copy of the Port Commission agenda for your information.

The waterfront and adjacent neighborhoods face many challenges around homelessness, and by bringing this SAFE Navigation Center to the area, we can work to address these challenges and get our unsheltered residents on a path to housing stability. The proposed SAFE Navigation Center will provide 175-225 additional beds to help meet the unmet shelter need in our community.

Port Commission Meeting Tuesday March 12, 2019 3:15 p.m. Port Commission Hearing Room Ferry Building, 2nd Floor, SF CA 94107

Community Meeting Tuesday March 12, 2019 6:00 - 7:30pm Delancey Street Foundation 600 The Embarcadero San Francisco, CA 94107

Comments or questions can be directed to the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing at DHSH@sfgov.org. We look forward to seeing you on Tuesday!

Thanks,

Amy Quesada Port of San Francisco Pier 1 SF 94111 415-274-0405 amy.quesada@sfport.com

Cc: Quesada, Amy (PRT) <amy.quesada@sfport.com> Subject: Opposition to Proposed Navigation Center on Seawall Lot 330

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

March 10, 2019

Ms. Kimberly Brandon President, Port Commission Port of San Francisco <u>Pier 1, The Embarcadero</u> <u>San Francisco, CA 94111</u>

Subject: Opposition to Proposed Navigation Center on Seawall Lot 330

Dear President Brandon,

My name is Fani Chung. I have been a homeowner of the for over20 years. I oppose locating the proposed navigation center on SWL 330 directly across the street from our residential community. The location of the proposed navigation center poses many unmitigable problems and unacceptable outcomes for our neighborhood, including increased risks to personal safety, increased property crime, and degradation of our neighborhood quality of life.

Magnet for Homeless Outside Center

It is inevitable that the proposed navigation center will attract large congregations of street people outside. It has been documented in published reports that areas that border on homeless shelters have more problems with crime, violence, drug use, loitering, and other criminal activities. These conditions will have a negative impact upon pedestrians and attendees of Giants games walking along the Embarcadero, and our neighborhood in general.

Fails to Integrate with Community

No land use could be more distinctly out of character for the South Beach community of high-end residential and office use than the proposed navigation center's temporary structures and tents. It is clearly an improper, unsuitable, and incompatible use within our residential neighborhood.

Risks to Public Safety

Portside is home to many residents with young families, whose physical safety would be threatened by the inevitable gathering of homeless outside the center, some of who are mentally ill, intoxicated with alcohol, high on chemical substances, or with criminal or violent histories. To place children directly in the path of a large homeless population is dangerous, irresponsible, and wrong.

Increase in Property Crime

The surrounding streets of Bryant, Main, Harrison and the Embarcadero have some of the highest rates of vehicle breakins in the City, with many of these crimes proven to be committed by the homeless. Locating the proposed navigation center so close to our residences, businesses, and property will make an untenable situation worse and degrade our quality of life.

Degradation of Quality of Life

Portside residents and our neighborhood in general already endures continuous periods of gridlocked traffic throughout the day which negatively impacts our area. We see no value—nor justice—in compounding the existing problems of our neighborhood with the proposed navigation center and the crime, violence, drug use, loitering, and criminal activities it will bring.

Disproportionate Use of District 6 for Homeless

District 6 already has an 84-bed navigation center at 5th and Bryant Streets, in close proximity to SWL 330. Locating another navigation center so close to 5th and Bryant places a disproportionate and undue burden of homelessness upon our residential neighborhood. Other districts must do their fair share to ease the homelessness problem by accommodating navigation centers.

I respectfully request that you oppose the location of the proposed navigation center on SWL 330.

Sincerely, Fani Chung

From:	Quesada, Amy (PRT)
Sent:	Monday, March 11, 2019 9:50 AM
To:	Jamie Whitaker
Cc:	Forbes, Elaine (PRT); Quezada, Randolph (PRT)
Subject:	RE: Initial concerns survey re: Proposed SWL 330 Navigation Center
Attachments:	A03122019.docx
Follow Up Flag:	Follow up
Flag Status:	Flagged

Dear Jamie,

Thank you for reaching out regarding the proposed navigation center at Seawall Lot 330. Thank you for transmitting the results of your survey. We appreciate hearing from the public on this matter and encourage you to attend either the upcoming Port Commission meeting or community meeting at Delancey Street to share your views. Information about both meetings is below for your information. Attached is a copy of the Port Commission agenda for your information.

The waterfront and adjacent neighborhoods face many challenges around homelessness, and by bringing this SAFE Navigation Center to the area, we can work to address these challenges and get our unsheltered residents on a path to housing stability. The proposed SAFE Navigation Center will provide 175-225 additional beds to help meet the unmet shelter need in our community.

Port Commission Meeting Tuesday March 12, 2019 3:15 p.m. Port Commission Hearing Room Ferry Building, 2nd Floor, SF CA 94107

Community Meeting Tuesday March 12, 2019 6:00 - 7:30pm Delancey Street Foundation 600 The Embarcadero San Francisco, CA 94107

Comments or questions can be directed to the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing at DHSH@sfgov.org. We look forward to seeing you on Tuesday!

Thanks,

Amy Quesada Port of San Francisco Pier 1 SF 94111 415-274-0405 amy.quesada@sfport.com From: Jamie Whitaker
Sent: Sunday, March 10, 2019 10:35 PM
To: Haney, Matt (BOS) <matt.haney@sfgov.org>; Haneystaff (BOS) <haneystaff@sfgov.org>; Breed, Mayor London
(MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Quesada, Amy (PRT) <amy.quesada@sfport.com>; Paez, Mark (PRT)
<mark.paez@sfport.com>; DHSH (HOM) <dhsh@sfgov.org>
Cc: SBRMBNA ;
Andrew Robinson
Subject: Initial concerns survey re: Proposed SWL 330 Navigation Center

Hello,

I'm writing to you as a resident of the Rincon Hill neighborhood who hopes to help cut through the hyperbole and political bologna to get to what the real concerns are really about in South Beach and Rincon Hill regarding the proposed Seawall Lot 330 Navigation Center.

I've attached the results from my survey for you to read and consider how you are going to communicate to my neighbors and I that the City plans to increase services to mitigate the potential harm for people accustomed to a safe neighborhood walking experience with very few substance abuse issues out in the open today, unlike the unit block of 8th Street and a good portion of other areas around the Tenderloin and 6th Street.

I believe my neighbors are generally empathetic and recognize the more humane option of providing shelter to our homeless neighbors. However, there is little faith in the City government to increase police patrolling/presence, cleaning crews, mental health services, and other needs to keep our neighborhood feeling safe to walk around at most hours of the day or night.

The bar is set high for you all, in my personal opinion, if you're going to set up a navigation center within 2 blocks of Mimi's Delancey Street Foundation where she teaches ACCOUNTABILITY and RESPONSIBILITY to her 250 or so residents, giving them the tough love experiences a family can provide to those struggling with substance abuse. I don't expect to see Rincon Hill Dog Park or Brannan Street Wharf suddenly become littered with syringes, zombied out guests, and stories of women and kids being screamed at and spat upon more frequently if you all staff this Center and provide the additional policing and cleaning crews necessary - and put the mentally ill into the hospital for mental health care instead of the non-solution of putting them in a Navigation Center.

Thank you for your time - I hope you find the attached document helpful in getting to the real concerns and solutions to make this work.

Thank you, jamie whitaker Rincon Hill resident

--


From:	Quesada, Amy (PRT)
Sent:	Monday, March 11, 2019 9:44 AM
To:	Jean Banko
Cc:	Forbes, Elaine (PRT); Quezada, Randolph (PRT)
Subject:	RE: Navigation Center - Lot 330 - South Beach
Attachments:	A03122019.docx
Follow Up Flag:	Follow up
Flag Status:	Flagged

Dear Jean,

Thank you for reaching out regarding the proposed navigation center at Seawall Lot 330. We appreciate hearing from the public on this matter and encourage you to attend either the upcoming Port Commission meeting or community meeting at Delancey Street to share your views. Information about both meetings is below for your information. Attached is a copy of the Port Commission agenda for your information.

The waterfront and adjacent neighborhoods face many challenges around homelessness, and by bringing this SAFE Navigation Center to the area, we can work to address these challenges and get our unsheltered residents on a path to housing stability. The proposed SAFE Navigation Center will provide 175-225 additional beds to help meet the unmet shelter need in our community.

Port Commission Meeting Tuesday March 12, 2019 3:15 p.m. Port Commission Hearing Room Ferry Building, 2nd Floor, SF CA 94107

Community Meeting Tuesday March 12, 2019 6:00 - 7:30pm Delancey Street Foundation 600 The Embarcadero San Francisco, CA 94107

Comments or questions can be directed to the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing at DHSH@sfgov.org. We look forward to seeing you on Tuesday!

Thanks,

Amy Quesada Port of San Francisco Pier 1 SF 94111 415-274-0405 amy.guesada@sfport.com From: Jean Banko Sent: Saturday, March 09, 2019 6:50 PM To: Quesada, Amy (PRT) <amy.quesada@sfport.com> Subject: Navigation Center - Lot 330 - South Beach

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Amy Quesada:

As a single female, who lives and walks past this area every day, I will **FEAR FOR MY SAFETY, FOR MY HEALTH, AND FOR MY LIFE,** if this 200+-bed homeless shelter, as proposed is allowed to be constructed. In the 2+ years that I have been working in the city, I have seen the most disturbing human behavior on the streets, as well as the filthiest and most unsanity conditions of any city that I have ever travelled to, and I have traveled extensively in the US, Europe, and Asia-Pacific. For the past year, I always carry mace with me and am trained to use it. To protect myself even more, I am currently taking self-defense classes. Because San Francisco is no longer safe, I do not walk alone at night, not even 2 blocks to Safeway, as there has been recent incidents close to 4th and Townsend. Here is just a short list of the incidents with the homeless that I have personally witnessed:

- A glass bottle was thrown directly at me and missed my head by a few inches on 2nd Street, near Mission
- Propositioned for sex near Pier 39
- Asked if I wanted drugs on 2nd Street
- Seen men/women shooting up with children walking right past, on Mission, near Fremont
- Seen men urinating in front of The Palace Hotel
- Seen men pooping on the sidewalk, near Chase Bank on 2nd Street & Market
- Food waste and throw up on many sidewalks where I walk daily
- 3 men walking around with their private parts hanging out on Sansome and Market Streets
- Seen a drugged out, naked woman near the dog park on Bryant and Beale
- Harassed by a drugged out, naked woman on the 38 bus
- Saw maggots and rats in an area after a large amount of trash was cleaned up on 2nd Street, near Bryant under the bridge
- The homeless diving into trash dumpsters and throwing large amounts of trash onto the streets or sidewalks
- Repeatedly seen the homeless ride BART and MUNI without paying, and using these public transportation systems are their homes
- The homeless getting on MUNI and immediately lighting up and smoking a joint
- Had to step over the homeless sleeping on the steps going down to BART and almost fell carrying my luggage
- Stolen items recycle bins, shopping carts, office panels, marketing signs, Ford bikes, Jump bikes, blankets, chairs, etc.
- A man performing a satanic chant in the Embarcadero BART station
- Seen a woman receive drugs and go into a tent with a man, most likely going to have sex on 2nd Street, near Bryant, under the bridge
- Not to mention Kathryn Steinle, who was killed by a homeless, illegal just blocks from where I live and exercise

As a home owner and high-tech professional, I pay a large amount of income taxes and property taxes every year. I truly feel that the homeless are given more rights and are above the law rather than law-abiding, state tax contributing citizens of this city. Why isn't the SFPD doing something about all of these criminal offenses – sleeping on sidewalks, setting up tents/structures on sidewalks, obstructing public streets/sidewalks, stealing, violence, drugs, naked in public,

defecating in public, etc.? And, living in an upscale neighborhood, why should I have to worry every time I step outside that I might be attacked, see horrible behavior, or walk around in a city that smells and looks like a toilet. The city of San Francisco has a \$300M budget for homelessness. How is that money being spent, who is accountable, and why is there no visible improvement to the problem?

And, I can't believe that the city would even consider constructing a 200+-bed homeless shelter in one of the most densely populated (10,000 residents in SoMa), highest tourist areas of the city (SF Giants ballpark, the Ferry Building, Bay Bridge, and the waterfront park). This shelter will bring crime, violence, tons of trash creating health issues that will impact the environment and ground water, significantly decrease business revenue, and significantly decrease tourism revenue for the city. In fact, the city of San Francisco has already lost hundreds of millions of revenue dollars due to conferences leaving the city and a significant decline in tourism.

I strongly object to building this Navigation Center on Lot 330 and ask that other Districts, besides District 6, take the burden of sheltering the homeless. District 6 has already done enough and you will be jeopardizing my safety, health, and freedom if you allow this shelter to happen.

Sincerely,

Jean Banko



Jean Banko Founder Alchemy Marketing Consultants

Virus-free. www.avast.com



From:Jennifer FungSent:Sunday, March 10, 2019 11:45 PMSubject:OPPOSE to District 6 Seawall Navigation Shelter

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am one of the many residents of District Six of San Francisco. My family and I are very deeply concerned about the proposed new Navigation Center. While we agree the homelessness issue needs to be addressed, District 6, and South Beach / SOMA specifically has been taking an unfair share for the city of San Francisco to address this issue. Most importantly, this location is home to at least 25 schools and child care facilities – the largest concentration of schools and child care facilities in all of San Francisco. All of these schools and child care facilities would be within walking distance of the proposed navigation shelter. If the City of San Francisco goes forward with the Navigation Center, it will jeopardize the health and safety of thousands of small children.

Jennifer

District Six / SOMA Resident

From:	Jono Lee
Sent:	Monday, March 11, 2019 9:55 PM
То:	Forbes, Elaine (PRT)
Subject:	I oppose the Nav Center on Seawall Lot 330

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Elaine Forbes,

I live in the Watermark that is directly adjacent to the proposed Nav Center on Seawall lot 330.

As a constituent that will be directly affected, I wanted to email you personally to express that I oppose this new Nav Center.

To be fair, I do believe we need to improve services for our homeless population, but this is a bad proposal if you take a deeper look at it.

1) If the Port Authority is truly open to having this lot be developed, having it go towards real developers that could put housing there (incl. affordable housing) would go a lot further towards solving SF's problems in the long term. This would not only help create supply towards our housing crisis, but would also generate a lot more income over the years through property taxes that would fund a lot more around homeless services than a single, temporary Nav Center.

2) Tourism contributes a lot to SF's economy, and the Embarcadero/Bay Bridge/Ferry Building/AT&T/Oracle Park areas are big parts of that. To put a Nav Center where millions of tourists, including during the busy baseball season, walk by year round is like shooting ourselves in the foot. We need to preserve this.

3) From a personal standpoint, I feel like this process is being rushed without any input from neighbors. I _just_ received a piece of mail 2 days ago telling me about this, and it seems like the goal is to try having construction start within a month. Considering how long _any_ construction project takes here in SF, that seems unfair to the busy families this proposal affects that now have to, out of nowhere, spend time to work with authorities to have their voices heard.

Thank you.

Best, Jonathan Lee

From:	Joorok Park
Sent:	Tuesday, March 12, 2019 12:36 AM
То:	Forbes, Elaine (PRT)
Subject:	Concerns for Navigation Center on Lot 330

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Ms. Forbes,

Hello Ms. Forbes. I am writing this letter in regards to the proposed plan to build a new navigation center on Lot 330.

My name is Joorok Park, and I have recently moved to the south beach neighborhood about two years ago at
whichis locatedfrom Lot 330. The reason I chose to move to this neighborhood is because it is a clean
and safe residential area.

I have a grave concern on Mayor London Breed's proposal to build a new large navigation center on Lot 330. Although I agree that we need a plan to support the homeless people, I believe that this proposal will negatively impact the peaceful residential neighborhood where I am trying to build a new family. I truly believe that this residential neighborhood is NOT suitable to accommodate a large navigation center and I plead that you consider rejecting the plan of building the navigation on Lot 330.

I very much appreciate your consideration and support for my community.

Sincerely,

Joorok Park

Joorok Park, DMD, MSD

Diplomate | American Board of Orthodontics Assistant Professor | Department of Orthodontics University of the Pacific, Arthur A. Dugoni School of Dentistry Á Á



From:	Karen Justis
Sent:	Thursday, April 18, 2019 11:29 AM
То:	Forbes, Elaine (PRT)
Subject:	Seawall Lot 330 - Homeless Navigation Center

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Elaine,

I remain aligned with Mayor London Breed in wanting to help solve the issue of homelessness in San Francisco. I voted for her, and I voted for the initiatives to grant more funding for this. However, we are not in alignment regarding her proposed Navigation Center on Seawall Lot 330 as a homeless solution for a host of reasons.

I believe this is a lose-lose situation, and a center at this site is bad for the homeless, bad for the Port of San Francisco and bad for the neighboring residents.

I do not believe homeless people will thrive at this location void of hospitals, government services, mental health facilities, food distribution centers and affordable food options close by. Additionally, this is an extremely congested area with thousands of commuters on all surrounding streets getting onto the Bay Bridge and thousands of event-goers and tourists. Police and emergency medical vehicles will have much difficulty entering and exiting the area to provide the necessary support for all.

It is already challenging for the Port to manage the tremendous, varied activities of residents and visitors as pedestrians, bicyclists, scooters, skateboarders and pedicab utilizers along the Embarcadero. There is a high likelihood that this proposed Navigation center would become a magnet for more encampments, increase crime and create more liability.

Seawall Lot 330 is not an environmentally sound location and is an asphalt parking lot not retrofitted for a strong earthquake, which all experts agree is imminent. This is a liquefaction zone with chemicals near the surface of the asphalt. It could be dangerous for the homeless and nearby residents to especially fast-track this project without important, competent environmental reviews. Without these reviews, the Port would have liability for any construction that adversely impacted the Watermark building and its residents should there be any water, gas and sewage tie-ins.

Seawall Lot 330 is located in a densely populated residential area with many children, and for residents this will be bad. A large homeless population will be imported into South Beach and so will crime. The economically challenged homeless are not the issue; rather, it's the plethora of homeless who are suffering from mental illness and who are practicing drug addicts and alcoholics. I have relatives in these 3 categories, and I can assure you that no navigation center solves the core issues of mental illness and drug and alcohol addiction, especially with a no-use policy inside but use-allowance outside in perimeter areas. It's hospitals and rehab facilities that are needed as well as a willingness to become well, clean and sober. These elements are not addressed and are missing from this proposed navigation center.

I own and live in a condominium close to Seawall Lot 330. I am hardworking and pay hefty property taxes; taxes which automatically increase by 2% per year. With this action of importing homelessness into our neighborhood and further loading up on and discriminating against District 6, Mayor Breed will be diminishing, for me and my neighbors, quality of life, safety, property values and value as reliable, law-abiding residents of SF. The plan sacrifices my neighbors and I in order to help those who are homeless. I am not responsible for the homeless situation nor are my residential neighbors;

yet, the mayor is asking us to bear the brunt of the burden while stating repeatedly that all districts need to share in having shelters.

The fast-tracking of this navigation center without true consideration of and input from the community has created tremendous chaos and stress, press and now legal action. Completely unnecessary! I have to believe that her intentions were not to be divisive; however, that is what the outcome is. This divisiveness sets up the project for failure with negativity surrounding all aspects, including maintenance, if it is approved and pushed through.

I wholeheartedly urge you to pause, reconsider the overall impact of a homeless center on the prime Embarcadero property Seawall Lot 330, protect my rights and those of my neighbors and decide that there are other, more viable solutions that can and will be better to pursue for the homeless. I ask you to please forgo this site as an option.

Sincerely, Karen Justis

From:	Lisa Yakubovich
Sent:	Monday, March 11, 2019 7:21 PM
То:	Forbes, Elaine (PRT)
Subject:	Concerned Watermark Resident: Navigation Center

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Ms. Forbes,

I am a member of district 6 and a direct neighbor of the proposed Navigation Center. I support our community's desire to clean up our district and provide a safe environment for our families. I am not, however, in support of the new Navigation Center being proposed at Seawall Lot 330.

As the mother of a 2 year old with a baby on the way, I worry for the safety of my family and the cleanliness of our neighborhood.

This project seems to be set forth in haste. Where's the full plan for addressing the homeless crisis in our city? Centers should be located throughout the city, and I believe they should also meet the homeless population where they are. They are not here. Why not put this project on hold until Mayor Breed fully commits to planning our city's future?

The location is sub-optimal. The Division Street Center truly meets the need where the issue is - building the center at the encampment sites. There are not 225 homeless people in my neighborhood. Instead, this center will be calling more homeless people to travel to the waterfront, possibly bringing their friends "not on the list" as well as bringing more drugs to our streets, given that drugs will be allowed at the center. How does this protect my family? Where will the Navigation Center residents spend their time during the day?

How will the center and surrounding area be kept safe? Will there be lights and loud noise keeping my children up at night? Will I be safe to walk the street? Will I be dodging more human and animal feces, more needles and other items that I need to explain to them? I have called the Watermark my home for three years. Is it time for us to move to the suburbs?

I supported Proposition C. I agree that we need a solution, but I do not agree that this is the best course of action. As a member of the community and someone who walks the waterfront everyday with my child, I think we deserve to understand the full impact of this plan. My understanding also is that funds from Prop C will not be used to fund the center and instead funds from public education will be reallocated. What about the plans for Mission Bay Elementary School? This was approved quite some time ago yet progress has not been made. Where else can the city draw from to support this initiative as opposed to stealing money from our children and their future?

Please, take time to flush out this plan. Please, help support building smaller navigation centers throughout the city that meet the homeless <u>where they are</u>. Please, think of my family and my children's futures.

Sincerely, Lisa Yakubovich

From:	Monica Sagullo
Sent:	Monday, March 11, 2019 11:22 AM
То:	Forbes, Elaine (PRT)
Subject:	Opposition to Seawall Navigation Center

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good morning! I'm Monica Sagullo, a resident at in South Beach. I am writing to you to express my opposition to building a Navigation Center at Seawall Lot 330 (the corner of Embarcadero and Bryant). Below are just a couple of reasons why I feel strongly against having (another) one built in this area.

South Beach/SOMA is home to at least 25 schools and child care facilities - the largest concentration of schools and child care facilities in all of San Francisco. All of these schools and child care facilities would be within walking distance of the proposed navigation shelter. If the City of San Francisco goes forward with the Navigation Center, it will jeopardize the health and safety of thousands of small children.

The waterfront is one of the most scenic, beautiful, and desirable locations in the Bay Area. It makes no sense to showcase this most problematic monument to homelessness as the face of San Francisco. The proposed Navigation Center's portable structures and tents will be a visual and architectural blight upon the most scenic and symbolic thoroughfare of our city. Furthermore, it is wrong to force pedestrians, tourists, and Giants fans to run the gauntlet imposed by the proposed Navigation Center. This is no way to welcome visitors to our jewel by the Bay.

I believe that we as a city can come up with a better solution than to build a Navigation Center at Seawall Lot 330, especially since one was just established at 5th and Bryant in January. I will be attending the Port Commission Hearing tomorrow at the Ferry building. Thank you for taking the time to read this email.

-Monica Sagullo

From:	Morton mah
Sent:	Monday, March 11, 2019 8:30 PM
То:	Forbes, Elaine (PRT)
Subject:	navigation center

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please look for a more appropriate location for the SAFE navigation center as the neighborhood is a densely populated area with families, children and elderly.

Locating the center on Seawall lot 330 will jeopardize the health, safety and welfare of all concerned.

At 5th and Bryant fights are a common occurance with pedestrians afraid to walk past.

Most of the centers are located in industrial areas not densely populated neighborhoods.

These are just a few poignant and valid reasons to consider a more appropriate location.

Thanks

From:	Quesada, Amy (PRT)
Sent:	Monday, March 11, 2019 10:02 AM
То:	Nancy Floyd
Cc:	Forbes, Elaine (PRT); Quezada, Randolph (PRT)
Subject:	RE: Navigation Center on Lot 330
Attachments:	A03262019.docx

Dear Nancy,

Thank you for reaching out regarding the proposed navigation center at Seawall Lot 330. We appreciate hearing from the public on this matter and encourage you to attend either the upcoming Port Commission meeting or community meeting at Delancey Street to share your views. Information about both meetings is below for your information. Attached is a copy of the Port Commission agenda for your information.

The waterfront and adjacent neighborhoods face many challenges around homelessness, and by bringing this SAFE Navigation Center to the area, we can work to address these challenges and get our unsheltered residents on a path to housing stability. The proposed SAFE Navigation Center will provide 175-225 additional beds to help meet the unmet shelter need in our community.

Port Commission Meeting Tuesday March 12, 2019 3:15 p.m. Port Commission Hearing Room Ferry Building, 2nd Floor, SF CA 94107

Community Meeting Tuesday March 12, 2019 6:00 - 7:30pm Delancey Street Foundation 600 The Embarcadero San Francisco, CA 94107

Comments or questions can be directed to the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing at DHSH@sfgov.org. We look forward to seeing you on Tuesday!

Thanks,

Amy Quesada Port of San Francisco Pier 1 SF 94111 415-274-0405 <u>amy.quesada@sfport.com</u>

From: Nancy Floyd < Sent: Sunday, March 10, 2019 6:00 PM This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Ms. Quesada

I am a homeowner AND a business owner in District 6 and pay a large amount of payroll taxes, business taxes, income taxes and property taxes each year. I strongly oppose the proposed Navigation Center on Lot 330. First, the Center would be located on a well traveled path for thousands of residents, thousands of tourists thousands of workers and thousands of Giants and (soon) Warriors fans. Second, we already have navigation centers at 5th and Bryant and in Dogpatch. Why is District 6 taking on so much of the homeless problem?

Third, the statistics I have seen show an increase in crime around Navigation Centers and the inability to address homeless issues in the immediate area (I.e. the Dogpatch Navigation Center). I already deal with a lot of issues with homeless. In the past nine months, I have experienced:

- A man with a broken wine bottle in his hand threatening me and anyone around me at 3rd and Townsend.
- Open drug use (with needles) where I walk my dog along South Beach Marina (numerous times)
- Open drug use (with needles) by the dog park at 2nd and Beale (numerous times)

• Homeless men have followed me as I carried groceries back from the store between 2nd/3rd and Townsend and under the bridge at Beale and Bryant.

I am a petite, 64 year old. These are only a few examples.

I STRONGLY oppose the Navigation Center at Lot 330. District 6 has already contributed to the solution with the existing Navigation Centers. Based on the data, if this Navigation Center goes forward, my immediate neighborhood will see increased crime, increased homeless, increased trash and more. This will impact local residents, workers, business, tourists and sports fans.

Nancy Nancy C. Floyd Managing Director Nth Power Energy Vision Capital



Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.

From:	Nikita Tuckett
Sent:	Tuesday, March 12, 2019 6:35 PM
То:	Forbes, Elaine (PRT); Amy.quesada@sfport.co
Cc:	Eric Crowley
Subject:	Concerns about Navigation Center on Embarcadero

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Forbes and Ms. Quesada,

We are residents of , proximate to the proposed Navigation Center at the Embarcadero. We are unable to attend the meetings at the Ferry Building today but were told to express our concerns to this email address directly.

We came to this neighborhood after living in mid-market street because we were looking for somewhere safe, and I was afraid to walk alone on the streets of that area because of the extent of the drug and homelessness issue there. I was frequently accosted by homeless people as I tried to walk home from the BART station, and constantly saw people injecting themselves with drugs on the streets (as well as the abandoned needles associated with that behavior). We pay over \$7000 a month for the privilege to live away from that. We are concerned that the proposed Navigation Center - in a prime area of the city for tech workers, prime real estate, prime views for tourists, running and walking tracks for pedestrians and frequently attended by fans going to the baseball stadium - will be adversely affected by the proposal.

Having seen how the navigation centers in SOMA devastate the surrounding neighborhoods, we are concerned that our neighborhood would be rendered unsafe by the navigation center, unsafe to walk outside, especially with children, and that it would affect crime and property values.

- South Beach/SOMA is home to at least 25 schools and child care facilities the largest concentration
 of schools and child care facilities in all of San Francisco. All of these schools and child care facilities
 would be within walking distance of the proposed navigation shelter. If the City of San Francisco
 goes forward with the Navigation Center, it will jeopardize the health and safety of thousands of small
 children.
- SFPD data shows that the block that the Dogpatch Navigation Center is on has had four assault/battery calls, one firearms related call, and one theft call in the last six months. Since the block contains only industrial uses other than the Navigation Center, it is likely that these crime reports relate to the Center.

The center would render people afraid to walk along the waterfront because of the same safety concerns that made me afraid to walk home in SOMA. Fans going to the baseball stadium will be affected. Workers of some of the most premier companies in SF who have their shuttle stops and office commutes nearby (including by not limited to Google, Facebook, Mozilla, the Wharton Campus, Salesforce, etc) will be put in danger.

I am an advocate for the navigation center initiative, but frankly why should the mentally-ill homeless and drug addicts be in such a prime area? The money generated from that location through taxes and building fees alone from a high rise there could help pay for far more assistance to the homeless (if that is truly the goal of the Mayor).

The community should be consulted in an honest and meaningful way, as this proposal will be deeply harmful to our area.

Nikita Tuckett & Eric Crowley

From:	Paul Scrivano
Sent:	Monday, March 11, 2019 9:33 PM
То:	Quesada, Amy (PRT); Forbes, Elaine (PRT); Quezada, Randolph (PRT)
Subject:	Re: Opposition to Proposed Navigation Center on Seawall Lot 330

To the Port of San Francisco:

I strongly disagree with your response.

As a long time resident of South Beach/Soma, I have grave concerns about the "Mega Homeless Shelter" that the City and the Port are seeking to force on South Beach/SOMA.

There are over 10,000 residents of South Beach/Soma.

- Within that number, there are thousands of families with small children.
- South Beach/SOMA has over 25 preschools and day care centers, more than any other district of San Francisco.

Small children are uniquely vulnerable to the homeless who will frequent or live at the Mega Homeless Shelter.

• You are directly putting children at risk.

It has been well documented the following persons are at homeless shelters:

- Persons with substance abuse problems;
- Persons with severe mental health issues, including pedophilia; and
- Violent parolees and persons with criminal histories.

The South Beach/SOMA area already has experience with homeless persons. There has been an "unofficial" homeless camp on the Embarcadero between Bryant Street and Harrison Street for the past few years. Here are a few things that have happened to date:

- One of our neighbors has been the victim of a violent home invasion by a homeless person;
- One of our neighbors has a toddler who was cut by a used hypodermic needle on a playground—that toddler is now being tested for HIV; and
- A woman was nearly raped by a homeless person in broad daylight—several good Samaritans pulled the homeless person off the woman and held him down until the San Francisco Police Department arrived to arrest him.

District 6 already has a homeless center on 5th and Bryant Street.

• District 6 has already borne more than its share.

• The Mega Homeless Shelter will act as a magnet, and will draw homeless from all over into District 6.

You are gravely endangering the health and safety of the residents of South Beach/SOMA.

• South Beach/SOMA residents will be injured, and some may even die, if the Mega Homeless center is placed in Seawall Lot 330.

Paul Scrivano

From: "Quesada, Amy (PRT)" <<u>amy.quesada@sfport.com</u>>
Date: March 11, 2019 at 9:26:20 AM PDT
To: Paul Scrivano

Cc: "Forbes, Elaine (PRT)" <<u>elaine.forbes@sfport.com</u>>, "Quezada, Randolph (PRT)" <<u>randolph.quezada@sfport.com</u>>
Subject: RE: Opposition to Proposed Navigation Center on Seawall Lot 330

Dear Paul,

Thank you for reaching out regarding the proposed navigation center at Seawall Lot 330. We appreciate hearing from the public on this matter and encourage you to attend either the upcoming Port Commission meeting or community meeting at Delancey Street to share your views. Information about both meetings is below for your information. Attached is a copy of the Port Commission agenda for your information.

The waterfront and adjacent neighborhoods face many challenges around homelessness, and by bringing this SAFE Navigation Center to the area, we can work to address these challenges and get our unsheltered residents on a path to housing stability. The proposed SAFE Navigation Center will provide 175-225 additional beds to help meet the unmet shelter need in our community.

Port Commission Meeting

Tuesday March 12, 2019

3:15 p.m.

Port Commission Hearing Room

Ferry Building, 2nd Floor, SF CA 94107

Community Meeting

Tuesday March 12, 2019

6:00 - 7:30pm

Delancey Street Foundation

600 The Embarcadero

San Francisco, CA 94107

Comments or questions can be directed to the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing at <u>DHSH@sfgov.org</u>. We look forward to seeing you on Tuesday!

Thanks,

Amy Quesada

Port of San Francisco

Pier 1 SF 94111

415-274-0405

amy.quesada@sfport.com

From: Paul Scrivano
Sent: Saturday, March 09, 2019 1:57 PM
To: Quesada, Amy (PRT) <<u>amy.quesada@sfport.com</u>>; Forbes, Elaine (PRT) <<u>elaine.forbes@sfport.com</u>>
Subject: Opposition to Proposed Navigation Center on Seawall Lot 330

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Ms. Quesada and Ms. Forbes, please distribute the letter below to the SF Port Commissioners.

March 9, 2019

Ms. Kimberly Brandon President Port Commission Port of San Francisco Pier 1 The Embarcadero San Francisco, CA 94111

Re: Opposition to Proposed Navigation Center on Seawall Lot 330

Dear President Brandon,

My name is Paul Scrivano. I am a resident of the Portside Condominiums at . I strongly oppose locating the proposed navigation center on SWL 330 directly across the street from our residential community. While Portside shares the City and Port's commitment to reduce homelessness, the location of the proposed navigation center poses many unmitigable problems and unacceptable outcomes for our neighborhood, including increased risks to public safety, property crime, and public nuisance; degradation of neighborhood quality of life and livability; and non-compliance of land use with the SF Port's Waterfront Plan and City plans. If the Port seeks to reduce homelessness on Port property, locating the proposed navigation center at SWL 330 will do the exact opposite, operating as a magnet for homeless activity in our residential community.

The residents of the South Beach/SOMA area have grave concerns over health and safety issues relating to the proposed navigation center, including among others, the following:

South Beach/SOMA Has Many Families with Small Children

There are thousands of families with small children in the South Beach/SOMA area. The proposed navigation center would result in homeless – many of which have mental health problems, substance abuse problems and violent criminal pasts – accosting these families and their small children on a daily basis. Children in the area have already been finding used hypodermic needles on playgrounds – in fact at least one child is being tested for HIV after having picked up a used hypodermic needle on a playground and inadvertently poking herself with it. In addition, there have already been home invasions in the South Beach neighborhood by homeless from other parts of the city.

South Beach/SOMA has 25 Schools and Child Care Centers, the largest amount in any district in all of San Francisco.

South Beach/SOMA is home to at least 25 schools and child care facilities – the largest concentration of schools and child care facilities in all of San Francisco. All of these schools and child care facilities would be within walking distance of the proposed navigation shelter. If the City of San Francisco goes forward with the navigation center at Seawall Lot 330, it will be jeopardizing the health and safety of thousands of small children – who will not be able to defend themselves against attacks by violent homeless predators.

Risks to public safety and public health

Portside is home to many residents with young families, whose physical safety would be threatened by the inevitable gathering of large congregations of homeless, many of who are mentally ill, intoxicated with alcohol, high on chemical substances, or with criminal or violent histories. To place children directly in the path of a large homeless population is dangerous, irresponsible, and wrong. The City of San Francisco is charged with protecting its residents, and should not be offering them up as prey for a large crowd of potentially violent, mentally ill homeless.

Magnet for Homeless Outside Center

It is an unfortunate but well-established fact that homeless shelters are magnets for homeless persons not staying in the shelter. The proposed navigation center is destined to exacerbate the Port's homeless problem rather than ameliorate it. As countless other homeless shelters have proven, it is inevitable that the proposed navigation center will attract large congregations of street people hanging around outside. For pedestrians and attendees of Giants games walking along the Embarcadero and surrounding streets, the risk to their personal safety will be higher, the incidence of public disturbance will increase, and rate of street crime and theft will rise.

Increase in property crime

The surrounding streets of Bryant, Main, Harrison and the Embarcadero have some of the highest rates of vehicle break-ins in the City, with many of these crimes proven to be committed by homeless in the area. The commercial units facing Main Street have been victims of numerous property crimes including robbery, theft, and vandalism. Locating the proposed navigation center so close to our residences, businesses, and property will make an untenable situation worse, degrade our quality of life, and disrupt the ability commercial tenants to conduct business.

Destruction of neighborhood livability

The proposed navigation center will destroy the livability of South Beach. Portside, as one of the earliest residential developments in South Beach, has endeavored to build a strong, vibrant community for its residents since its inception in 1994. To further this goal, Portside was one of the founding members of the East Cut Community Benefit District. The location of the navigation center mere feet away from our residential neighborhood is in direct opposition of Portside's goal of providing a safe, secure, and livable community for its residents. There is no defensible reason to destroy one of the city's most desirable neighborhoods.

The Proposed Navigation Center would violate California law

The proposed navigation center would violate the following California laws, among others:

• Article 34 of the California Constitution.

- Article 34 prohibits the development by any state body of any low income housing project without the affirmative vote in favor thereof at any general or special election.
- The proposed navigation center would constitute a low income housing center.
- As a result, the proposed navigation center cannot proceed without the requisite vote of a general or special election without violating Article 34 of the California Constitution.
- California Civil Code Sections 3479 and 3480.
 - Sections 3479 and 3480 prohibit the creation or maintenance of a private or public nuisance.
 - The activities that constitute a nuisance and will support a cause of action for a private nuisance in California are defined by Civil Code section 3479 which states that, "Anything which is injurious to health, including, but not limited to, the illegal sale of controlled substances, or is indecent or offensive to the senses, or an obstruction to the free use of property, so as to interfere with the comfortable enjoyment of life or property,
 - Section 3480 provides that "a public nuisance is one which affects at the same time an entire community or neighborhood, or any considerable number of persons, although the extent of the annoyance or damage inflicted upon individuals may be unequal."
 - It is clear that the proposed navigation center qualifies as both a private and a public nuisance that is prohibited by Sections 3479 and 3480.
 - The navigation center would act as a magnet for homeless selling and distributing illegal controlled substances, and attacking residents of South Beach/SOMA.
- California Penal Code Section 372 and 373a.
 - Section 372 and 373a make it a criminal offense to engage in a public nuisance.
 - California Penal Code 370 defines a "public nuisance" as "Anything which is injurious to health, or is indecent, or offensive to the senses, or an obstruction to the free use of property, so as to interfere with the comfortable enjoyment of life or property by an entire community or neighborhood, or by any considerable number of persons".
 - The establishment of the proposed navigation center would constitute a public nuisance and therefore a violation of these California Penal Code provisions.
- California Health & Safety Code Section 11366.
 - Section 11366 makes it a crime to operate or maintain any place for the purpose of unlawfully selling or giving away illegal controlled substances.
 - If the City and the Port establish the proposed navigation center, the City and the Port will knowingly be establishing a place for the distribution of drugs.
 - It is well documented that homeless shelters are known selling grounds for illegal substances.

If the City and the Port seek to proceed with the navigation center at Seawall Lot 330, then the residents of South Beach/SOMA will seek to enforce our rights and legal protections under California law by way of litigation in the California courts.

Disproportionate Use of District 6 for Homeless

District 6 already has an 84-bed navigation center at 5th and Bryant Streets, in close proximity to the proposed site on SWL 330 – basically 5 blocks away. Locating another navigation center so close to the 5th and Bryant location places a disproportionate and undue burden of homelessness upon our community. Other districts must do their fair share to ease the homelessness problem by accommodating navigation centers as well.

Adverse Impact upon Residential Neighborhood

Mayor Breed has stated that navigation centers "will make a real difference in our neighborhoods." Unfortunately the difference will be a **negative** impact our safety, increase in property crime, and increase in nuisance. All outcomes are in direct opposition to all the goals of a safe, secure, vibrant, neighborhood the community seeks. If this is civic leadership, it is fatally flawed, erroneous, and short-sighted. It should be noted that the location of the proposed navigation center will be in close proximity to public parks and negatively impact the over 10,000 residents who live within a three-block radius.

Degradation of quality of life

Portside residents live on the waterfront for the quality of life we seek. Unfortunately we already have continuous periods of gridlocked traffic throughout the day which negatively impacts our area. We see no value—nor justice—in compounding the existing problems of our neighborhood with the proposed navigation center and the problems of safety, crime, and disruptive behavior it will bring.

Economics: Fiscally Irresponsible Use of Port Property

SWL 330 currently generates over \$700K in annual revenues. It is a highly valuable property for private or public/private partnership development that could benefit the neighborhood, including more affordable housing units that would provide better long-term solutions for homelessness. The loss of this much-needed revenue will be greatly detrimental to the Port's mandate to provide historic rehabilitation and public access.

Inappropriate Land Use per Community Input

Engaged citizens and residents of the area have contributed their valuable time to participate in countless input sessions and workshops with both Port and City officials regarding potential land use for SWL 330. Never has our residential community supported a navigation center as a desirable land use for the site. It is out of place, out of character, and inappropriate for our residential neighborhood. If community input is to be ignored, why have we attended, participated in, and commented in all these sessions?

Fails to Integrate with Community

No land use could be more distinctly out of character for the South Beach community of high-end residential and office use than the proposed navigation center's temporary

structures and tents. It is clearly an improper, unsuitable, and incompatible use within our residential neighborhood.

Impedes Public Access to the Waterfront

SB815, approved by the State Legislature in 2007, allows development of non-trust residential, office, and commercial uses that complement the land use character of the South Beach neighborhood, generate revenue for historic rehabilitation, and public access. Land use of SWL 330 as a proposed navigation center will do the opposite; it will impede public access to the Waterfront by forcing pedestrians and attendees of Giants games to cross the street or stay away from the area altogether to avoid being accosted by the inevitable congregation of homeless persons not staying in the shelter.

Fails to Comply with SF Port Waterfront Plan—or Any Plans

The use of SWL 330 for a proposed navigation center fails to comply with SF Port Waterfront Plan or any other adopted plans, including the Rincon Hill, Better Streets, and Eastern Neighborhoods Plans. If the use is non-compliant with any approved Plans that citizens took time out their working day to contribute to and comment upon, why have any Plans at all? Why should the mayor be allowed to subvert the will of the residents most adversely affected by the location of the proposed navigation center? By putting a navigation center on the site, no approved Plans can come to fruition, leaving our neighborhood with blight and decay instead of activated streets, shops, and housing.

Disregards All Waterfront Plan Update Recommendations

Land use of SWL 330 for a proposed navigation center is in direct opposition to all Working Group Recommendations stated in the most recent SF Port Waterfront Plan Update of June 22, 2018 for improving use and development of SWL 330:

• "Complement the character of surrounding neighborhoods;"

Proposed navigation center is distinctly out of character for the South Beach community of high-end residential and office use.

• "Provide a pleasing transition from the City-side to the Bay, and ground floor activation to enhance the pedestrian environment;"

To the contrary, the proposed portable structures and tents will be a visual and architectural blight upon the most scenic and symbolic thoroughfare of the city as an improper, unsuitable, and incompatible use. Pedestrians and attendees of Giants games will be forced to cross the street to avoid being accosted by the inevitable congregation of homeless persons not staying in the shelter.

• "Generate revenues for Port capital improvements."

Revenue for much-needed Port capital improvements will not only be zero, but lose the nearly \$700,00 currently generated in its current use.

Lack of Consideration of Viable Alternative Sites

It is inconceivable that a city with 49 square miles of viable alternative locations would choose to locate a navigation center on the most most scenic and symbolic boulevard of the City. The proposal demonstrates an extreme lack of wisdom and vision. There are much more suitable locations in non-residential industrial/commercial areas within District 6 and throughout the City as opposed to SWL 330, which is bordered by residential communities on three sides.

• SWL 328 at Harrison and Spear Streets behind Gap. Currently on term agreement with Gap to provide parking.

• SWL 351 at Washington and Drumm Streets. Currently no agreement. Port revenue: \$376K per year.

• SWL 314 at Kearney and Bay Streets near Pier 39. Current Port revenue: \$846K per year.

I respectfully request that you oppose the location of the proposed navigation center on SWL 330.

Sincerely, Paul Scrivano

From:	Rahul Hazarika
Sent:	Friday, March 08, 2019 10:13 AM
То:	Forbes, Elaine (PRT)
Subject:	Opposition to Proposed Navigation Center on Seawall Lot 330

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Executive Director Forbes,

My name is Rahul Hazarika. I am a resident of the

oppose locating the proposed navigation center on SWL 330, directly across the street from our residential community. While Portside shares the City and Port's commitment to reduce homelessness, the proposed navigation center location poses many unmitigated problems and unacceptable outcomes for our neighborhood, including increased risks to public safety, property crime, and public nuisance; degradation of neighborhood quality of life and livability; and non-compliance of land use within the SF Port's own Waterfront Plan and numerous City plans. If the Port seeks to reduce homelessness on Port property, locating the proposed navigation center at SWL 330 will do exactly the opposite, operating as a magnet for homeless activity in our residential community.

- 1

District 6 already has a navigation center at 5th and Bryant Streets, in close proximity to the proposed site on SWL 330, with 84 beds.

Locating still another navigation center so close to 5th and Bryant places a disproportionate and undue burden of homelessness in our community. Other districts must do their fair share to ease the homelessness problem.

Portside is home to many residents with young families, whose physical safety would be threatened by the inevitable gathering of large congregations of homeless, some of who are mentally ill, intoxicated with alcohol, high on chemical substances, or with criminal or violent histories. To place children directly in the path of a large homeless population is irresponsible, dangerous, and wrong.

SWL 330 currently generates over \$700K in annual revenues and is a highly valuable property for private or public/private partnership development that could benefit the neighborhood, including more affordable housing units that would provide better long-term solutions for homelessness. The loss of this amount of much needed revenue will be greatly detrimental to the Port's mandate to provide historic rehabilitation and public access.

I respectfully request you to oppose the location of the proposed navigation center on SWL 330.

Sincerely, Rahul Hazarika

From:	rebecca weinroth
Sent:	Tuesday, March 12, 2019 10:58 AM
То:	Forbes, Elaine (PRT)
Subject:	NO Lot 330 Navigation Center

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

THE FOLLOWING REASONS ARE WHY THERE SHOULD NOT BE A NAVIGATION CENTER AT LOT 330:

Experimental Navigation Center

While Navigation Centers have been around since 2015, the proposed Embarcadero site is over twice the size of existing Navigation Centers. In an evaluation of the first Navigation Center, the City Services Auditor found that a key feature of the Navigation Center was its small size, which allowed clients to thrive in a shelter environment with relatively few rigid rules that would otherwise be required at scale.

Applying the Navigation Center concept at scale is an experiment. It should not be done in an area where failure will cause major spillover effects affecting tens of thousands of residents, workers and visitors daily.

Failure to Control Port's Homelessness Problems

The Dogpatch Navigation Center has failed to control the Port's homeless encampments. The Port's report on the Lot 330 proposal cites encampments at Warm Water Cover and Islais Creek as justification for needing more Navigation Centers.

Warm Water Cove is 500 feet from the Dogpatch Navigation Center! Islais Creek is less than 2,000 feet away.

What makes the City and Port think that building the Lot 330 shelter will help either our District's homeless population or the homeless population on Port property if the Dogpatch Center can't help those on its doorstep?

Different Environment from Dogpatch Site

The Port's previous experience with Navigation Centers has been its lease of the Dogpatch site. That site is in an entirely different environment. It is at the end of a cul de sac surrounded by a construction equipment storage site, a MUNI depot, and an industrial gas supplier. It appears to be several blocks from the nearest residence. The claimed success of that site says nothing about how a Navigation Center will perform at Lot 330, which is surrounded by residences and commercial businesses.

Crime

SFPD data shows that the block that the Dogpatch Navigation Center is on has had four assault/battery calls, one firearms related call, and one theft call in the last six months. Since the block contains only industrial uses other than the Navigation Center, it is likely that these crime reports relate to the Center.

Personal Experience

My name is Rebecca, and i was attacked by an unstable homeless person when i was sitting on the Embarcadero with my stroller, he ran up to me and reached into my stroller cup holder where i had a water bottle and grabbed it and smacked me over the HEAD with it, i ran away and he chased me waving the water bottle around like a crazy person. Another time i was walking my dog by Delancey Street and saw an unstable homeless person on the sidewalk

approaching, so i had no choice but to walk into the street to get around him, he started screaming at me from the sidewalk and then THREW his glass beer bottle at me which shattered on the floor in front of me spraying beer and BROKEN GLASS on me and my dog. Another time i was walking by the banana republic corporate offices, there was an unstable homeless man WITH A PITBULL, and the pitbull lunged at me and my dog barking on a long loose leash and ran at us for about three feet until he was jerked back by a man with no shirt and his pants falling down, they (he and the dog!) completely terrified us, the man started laughing like a lunatic and screaming profanities at me while his pants were falling down. I ran down the street, completely traumatized. It seems that each day i have to wake up and hope I'm not HARASSED OR ASSAULTED when I'm walking my dog or walking to the ferry building or walking to anywhere that crosses under the bridge area like woodlands market, and i now don't frequent those areas bc I'm scared, and i walk my dog right outside the door of my building around the perimeter where there are video cameras so that in case i get attacked, somebody can see and help bc it's on tape. So this is how i have to think? And now the city wants to invite more homelessness in and around the navigation center where there is already a huge homeless population with some members who have attacked the people in the neighborhood? I will not even get into the fact that my car has been broken into 5 times. Or maybe i will. MY CAR HAS BEEN BROKEN INTO FIVE TIMES IN THIS NEIGHBORHOOD. Twice on camera in broad day light. It's a homeless person apparently known to authorities who rides his bike around. He didn't take anything bc i don't keep anything in my car I'm not that stupid, but that doesn't prevent the person from slashing the top of my convertible to shreds to see what's inside only to realize it's empty. Then i have to pay to fix this even though it's on video and the authorities apparently know the guy on the bike who has been around homeless camps but no particular permanent location but i guess if he doesn't take something it's not theft, it's just vandalism and that is supposed to comfort me? And then of course it's on me to shell out a ton of money to fix my car each time. And this has happened FIVE TIMES.

Navigation Centers Will Have a Negative Impact on Livability

I will share the story of a conversation I had with a Navigation Center resident while I was visiting the Dogpatch Navigation Center. While he is grateful for the opportunity to stay at the Center, he is critical of the Center's programming. He said there is nothing to do at the Center, so residents end up roaming the neighborhood most of the time. When I told him I was there to learn about whether I should support a Navigation Center near where I live, he said he wouldn't want one. He said that while the majority of residents are not violent, there are still some who are violent to others. I asked about the potential for crime and he told me that because there are not adequate programs to keep them busy and productive during the day, they tend to wander the streets, and the temptation to steal is definitely always there, especially if you are in a nice neighborhood.

Another neighbor, visited a different Navigation Center and reported: "Our neighbors should take a drive over to the Division Circle Navigation Center at South Van Ness and <u>13th St.</u> under the freeway to see what kind of behemoth one of these centers can be. Division Circle takes up nearly a full city block, with a huge tent-like structure surrounded by a chain-link fence, bolstered by an opaque cloth barrier. The resultant effect is of a prison camp. And there is significant spillover of homeless in tents and sleeping bags in the vicinity. Is this the face that San Francisco wants to present to the world in terms of tourism and convention facilities?"

While Navigation Centers are helpful to the homeless who use them, they should not be built in an area that is home to over 10,000 residents in a three-block radius (as of the 2010 census, it is surely higher today).

Magnet Effect

While Navigation Centers do not allow walk-ins in an attempt to ameliorate the magnet effect that homeless shelters typically have, the vicinity of Navigation Centers still attract homeless encampments. One only needs to visit existing Navigation Centers to see this. Although the city may keep the immediate vicinity of Navigation Centers free of encampments, that is not true of the surrounding area.

Port Should Put the Property to A Better Economic Use

The Port should not be using one of its most valuable properties for a shelter. The parking lot generates over \$700K annually. While it has historically been unattractive to developers because the Port has tied it to Ports 30-32, the Port has recently considered severing the properties.

Navigation Centers Have Not Reduced Homelessness

The City's own data shows that the number of unsheltered homeless has remained virtually unchanged for years despite the addition of Navigation Centers starting in 2015.



Figure 2. TOTAL NUMBER OF HOMELESS INDIVIDUALS ENUMERATED DURING THE POINT-IN-TIME HOMELESS COUNT BY SHELTER STATUS

The number and proportion of long-term homeless individuals has increased rather than decreased. Figure 15. LENGTH OF CURRENT EPISODE OF HOMELESSNESS



South Beach/SOMA Has More Schools and Child Care Centers, Than Any Other District in San Francisco

South Beach/SOMA is home to at least 25 schools and child care facilities – the largest concentration of schools and child care facilities in all of San Francisco. All of these schools and child care facilities would be within walking distance of the proposed navigation shelter. If the City of San Francisco goes forward with the Navigation Center, it will jeopardize the health and safety of thousands of small children.

The Burden of Navigation Centers Should Be Shared by the City

District 6 and our community have a proud tradition of supporting those down on their luck and needing a second chance. We are delighted to have the Delancey Street Foundation as neighbors. Many of us supported the Navigation Center at Fifth & Bryant that just opened in January 2019. However, we are bearing an unfair share of the burden when the city proposes to open the largest Navigation Center in our neighborhood right after we embraced one that opened just two months ago. Other districts should take their turn before asking District 6 to establish another shelter.

Sent from my iPhone

To:LaCroix, Leah (PRT)Subject:Please support the Embarcadero navigation center

From: Roan Kattouw
Sent: Tuesday, March 05, 2019 7:38 PM
To: LaCroix, Leah (PRT) <leah.lacroix@sfport.com>
Cc: Haney, Matt (BOS) <matt.haney@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please support the Embarcadero navigation center

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I'm writing in support of the navigation center proposed for Seawall Lot 330, and I urge the Port Commission to approve it without delay. The City needs to treat our homelessness crisis like the emergency it is, and make land available for shelter, services and housing. As long as there's anyone on the waitlist for a shelter bed (let alone over 1000 people), the City should move as fast as possible to provide more beds.

You may hear opposition from District 6 residents to a navigation center being put in this location. I would like you to know that I'm a D6 resident who wholeheartedly supports this plan, and wishes it could open tomorrow.

Although navigation centers are meant to be temporary, I hope this one will be kept open for as long as there's a need. In a future where we don't need as many beds anymore, I urge you to redevelop this lot into housing. It's too valuable a piece of land to waste on a parking lot.

Roan Kattouw

From:	Sabrina Riddle
Sent:	Tuesday, March 12, 2019 11:11 AM
То:	Forbes, Elaine (PRT)
Subject:	Writing to Express My Opposition to Proposed Navigation Center on Lot 330

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Ms. Forbes,

I have been a proud resident of District 6 for almost 20 years and I've seen a lot of changes. In particular the development of the Embarcadero Waterfront into a scenic and thriving location in the city for residents across the socioeconomic spectrum to enjoy. I see families walking the promenade all the time, with strollers and dogs, enjoying the parks, joggers, people from all over the bay area walking to baseball games and other events at Oracle Park, tourists from all over the world enjoying the outdoors and natural beauty that has become the South Beach waterfront. It's a residential and tourist destination, a jewel of the city. I am very worried that the beautiful and safe use of this area will change with a large Navigation Center in the heart of the area and pathway.

I also feel that District and our community has a proud tradition of supporting those in need. We are delighted to have the Delancey Street Foundation as neighbors. We've supported the Navigation Center at 5th and Bryant that just opened in January. It is unfair for District 6 to bear more of the burden when the city proposes to open the largest Navigation Center in our neighborhood right after we embraced one that opened just two months ago. Other districts should take their turn before asking District 6 to establish another shelter.

As a proud member of this compassionate city we need to address our homeless issues, with respect, the proposed Navigation Center on Lot 330 in District 6 is not the solution. We can do better.

Respectfully, Sabrina Riddle District 6 Resident

From:	Sam Wagner
Sent:	Monday, March 11, 2019 12:04 PM
То:	Quesada, Amy (PRT)
Cc:	Forbes, Elaine (PRT); Quezada, Randolph (PRT)
Subject:	RE: Public safety has been completely disregarded by anyone in support of developing SWL330

Amy,

Help me understand how this is going to be a SAFE Navigation Center? As I mentioned, I run past two Navigation Centers regularly and I cross the street because I DON'T feel safe. What is going to be done differently?

Can you help me understand the other sites that were considered prior to selecting property in the middle of a residential neighborhood and within close proximity of parks, playgrounds, schools, and over 10,000 residents?

Can you help me understand how the port communicated this plan to the local community so that they could be actively involved in the solution?

It is IMPORTANT to me that we help the homeless. I have multiple friends who now find themselves homeless due to mental illness... I am not without sympathy or a desire to help.

But I fear that the goal of meeting "1000 beds" before a self-imposed deadline is not how we effectively help the individuals that we want to help.

Breed's "1000 beds" is Trump's "Wall."

Meeting campaign promises has been prioritized over meaningful and long term solutions that will help the individuals we want to help.

Navigation sites are successful because of their limited size and scope...

The proposed Navigation Center is a poorly conceived plan that has been rushed through approvals to prevent the local community from providing any input.

We expect and deserve better from both the City of San Francisco and the Port Authority.

Sam Wagner

From: Quesada, Amy (PRT) <amy.quesada@sfport.com>
Sent: Monday, March 11, 2019 11:44 AM
To: Sam Wagner
Cc: Forbes, Elaine (PRT) <elaine.forbes@sfport.com>; Quezada, Randolph (PRT) <randolph.quezada@sfport.com>
Subject: RE: Public safety has been completely disregarded by anyone in support of developing SWL330

Dear Sam,

Thank you for reaching out regarding the proposed navigation center at Seawall Lot 330. We appreciate hearing from the public on this matter and encourage you to attend either the upcoming Port Commission meeting or community

meeting at Delancey Street to share your views. Information about both meetings is below for your information. Attached is a copy of the Port Commission agenda for your information.

The waterfront and adjacent neighborhoods face many challenges around homelessness, and by bringing this SAFE Navigation Center to the area, we can work to address these challenges and get our unsheltered residents on a path to housing stability. The proposed SAFE Navigation Center will provide 175-225 additional beds to help meet the unmet shelter need in our community.

Port Commission Meeting Tuesday March 12, 2019 3:15 p.m. Port Commission Hearing Room Ferry Building, 2nd Floor, SF CA 94107

Community Meeting Tuesday March 12, 2019 6:00 - 7:30pm Delancey Street Foundation 600 The Embarcadero San Francisco, CA 94107

Comments or questions can be directed to the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing at <u>DHSH@sfgov.org</u>. We look forward to seeing you on Tuesday!

Thanks,

Amy Quesada Port of San Francisco Pier 1 SF 94111 415-274-0405 amy.quesada@sfport.com

From: Sam Wagner ______ Sent: Monday, March 11, 2019 11:12 AM To: Forbes, Elaine (PRT) <<u>elaine.forbes@sfport.com</u>>; Brandon, Kimberly (PRT) <<u>Kimberly.Brandon@SFGOV1.onmicrosoft.com</u>>; Adams, Willie (PRT) <<u>WilliamEugene.Adam@SFGOV1.onmicrosoft.com</u>>; <u>Gail.Gilman@sfport.com</u>; <u>Victor.Makras@sfport.com</u>; Quesada, Amy (PRT) <<u>amy.quesada@sfport.com</u>> Subject: Public safety has been completely disregarded by anyone in support of developing SWL330 Importance: High

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Esteemed Members of the SF Port Authority,

As a resident of District 6 and a resident of San Francisco for over 15 years, I am shocked at the lack of concern for public safety displayed by our "representation."

There is a homeless crisis in SF and I am a strong supporter of addressing the crisis... but there are too many critical flaws in the current proposed plan including fiscally irresponsibile use of port property, the lack of transparency displayed by City Council with regard to the development, and an ill-advised 200+ bed facility which is not consistent with general Navigation Center guidelines, etc....

For brevity sake, I will focus on public safety...

The issue of public safety has been completely disregarded by anyone in support of developing SWL330.

There are already two navigation centers within 2 miles of my home that I run past daily.

I regularly witness individuals in the immediate vicinity of these sites injecting themselves on the sidewalk. Hurdling discarded needles and human feces in the vicinity of navigation centers has become a regular part of my workout.

More frighteningly, I have also been chased by an individual just outside of the Dogpatch Navigation center and it is not uncommon to be yelled at or harassed.

Due to concern for my own safety, I always run on the far side of the street to create as much space between myself and the Navigation Center.

So imagine my complete shock that the city proposed building the largest Navigation Center yet within walking distance of the largest concentration of schools and child care facilities in all of San Francisco. I cannot fathom a less appropriate place to place a Navigation Center: The proposed site is surrounded by facilities dedicated to families and children including multiple playgrounds, parks, and a preschool within a 1 mile radius.

Moreover, the Embarcadero is also a pedestrian super highway for families from around the bay area and the world visiting San Francisco to attend Giants games. Is it in the city's best interest to place a Navigation Center in the path of a family walking to a Giants game?

But most importantly, is it in the city's best interest to place a Navigation Center within 3 blocks of over 10,000 residents, many of them families with children, and an entire network of facilities including parks, playgrounds, schools, childcare, and similar facilities dedicated to families and children. I repeat, <u>I cannot fathom a less appropriate place to locate such a Navigation Center.</u>

Please find a more suitable location for a smaller and more appropriately sized navigation center that does not put the health and well being of our neighborhood and children at risk.

Feel free to call me with questions or feedback.

Sam Wagner

EXPORT RESTRICTION: In order to reduce risk of inadvertent exports of export controlled information, please DO NOT send any export controlled data via email. If it is necessary to provide KraFAB with Export Controlled data, please request a secure upload via your KraFAB contact. Technical data controlled under the U.S. International Traffic in Arms Regulations (ITAR) or the Export Administration Regulations (EAR) and may not be exported to a Foreign Person, either in the U.S. or abroad, without the proper authorization by the U.S. Dept. of State or Dept. of Commerce, whichever is applicable. <u>CONFIDENTIALITY</u>: This e-mail message and all attachments transmitted with it are intended solely for the use of the addressee and may contain legally privileged and confidential information. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, copying, or other use of this message or its attachments is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by replying to this message and please delete it from your computer.

EXPORT RESTRICTION: In order to reduce risk of inadvertent exports of export controlled information, please DO NOT send any export controlled data via email. If it is necessary to provide KraFAB with Export Controlled data, please request a secure upload via your KraFAB contact. Technical data controlled under the U.S. International Traffic in Arms Regulations (ITAR) or the Export Administration Regulations (EAR) and may not be exported to a Foreign Person, either in the U.S. or abroad, without the proper authorization by the U.S. Dept. of State or Dept. of Commerce, whichever is applicable.

CONFIDENTIALITY: This e-mail message and all attachments transmitted with it are intended solely for the use of the addressee and may contain legally privileged and confidential information. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, copying, or other use of this message or its attachments is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by replying to this message and please delete it from your computer.

From:	SF Residents
Sent:	Monday, March 11, 2019 8:32 PM
То:	Forbes, Elaine (PRT)
Subject:	A GREAT MAJORITY OF SAN FRANCISCO OPPOSES MAYOR BREED'S NEW "MEGA SHELTER" ON THE EMBARCADERO

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Last week, Mayor London Breed announced plans for what many are calling a "Mega Shelter" on the Embarcadero waterfront. The proposed facility will contain up to 225 beds, nearly three times the size of other navigation centers in the city on a massive 25,000 square foot parking lot. A survey of 250 residents across San Francisco indicated that a vast majority do not support the Mayor's ambitious plan, with over 90% saying "no" when asked if they support the proposal as currently planned.

The top three concerns from residents were increases in drug use, trash and criminal activity. *"The city certainly should be ramping up efforts to address homelessness and Navigation Centers is a terrific idea. That being said, placing a Center along the Embarcadero between Bryant and Brannan is certainly not the correct location. This is a densely populated residential area. Bringing in 175 - 225 homeless people - many of which are struggling with mental health issues and drug addiction is not the right thing to do." said one San Francisco homeowner.*

The location of the proposed "Mega Shelter" at Seawall 330 has caused a major stir and elicited concern among many across San Francisco, particularly those who see the Embarcadero as a key family and tourist destination. One resident asked, "Does Paris put a homeless shelter under the Eiffel Tower? Does London put a homeless shelter in Piccadilly Circus? Does New York put a homeless center on Times Square? The answer is a resounding no. Nor should San Francisco put a homeless shelter near the heart of the waterfront, which is a huge tourist attraction." More than 25 million visitors come to San Francisco and spend more than \$9 billion dollars annually. The tourism industry generates more than \$700 million in taxes and fees for the City of San Francisco.

Most other navigation centers in San Francisco are closer to existing encampments, none are near major tourist destinations or in residential neighborhoods. 71% of those surveyed believe industrial areas should be prioritized for homeless facilities over residential neighborhoods, commercial areas, and tourist destinations. A resident and homeowner said, *"The City should not build a homeless shelter on seawall lot 330. It is near a tourist area, near residential areas, near public parks. It poses a hazard to safety of nearby residents, including children."*

The cost of the proposal is also a major issue cited by the residents of San Francisco. The City has estimated the annual operating cost to be \$5.1 million dollars per year or \$25,000 per bed per year. Some experts who have reviewed the proposal believe the cost could easily double after incorporating construction costs and rent that must be paid to the San Francisco Port Commission who owns the Seawall 330 lot, bringing the average cost to more than \$50,000 per bed per year. For anyone who would like to learn more, there are two public meetings next week.

Port Commission Hearing

Tuesday, March 12, 2019 3:15 P.M. Port Commission Hearing Room Ferry Building, 2nd Floor

Community Stakeholder Meeting Tuesday, March 12, 2019 6:00 P.M.

Delancey Street Foundation - Community Room Additional insights from the study on San Francisco homelessness will be released soon.

About SF Residents and the study

Between March 8-10, 250 residents of San Francisco responded to an online survey to provide their views on homelessness in San Francisco and the proposed solutions by the City. This study was conducted by a non-partisan group of San Francisco residents. The group has no affiliation with any political party, non-profit or government agency. For additional information, contact <u>info@SFResidents.com</u>.

From:	Shannon Wu
Sent:	Tuesday, March 12, 2019 3:52 PM
То:	Forbes, Elaine (PRT)
Subject:	*IMPORTANT* Extremely concerned about navigation center

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi,

I have been a resident of the East Cut for the past 4 years. I heard about the proposal to place a navigation center by Embarcadero.

I am writing to express my concern that this will not be an effective measure, and greatly increase crime and safety risks in the area.

To date, there have been no encampments in the area. I lived here for years and never seen our streets to have encampments of homeless. I am extremely concerned that opening such a large navigation center will introduce large populations of homeless into our community, significantly increasing safety risks, health risks and crime, rather than reducing them.

This is a family area where children play outside. Please reconsider for a better solution for the homeless in our city, perhaps in an area where homeless issue has been a problem.

I hope your office will reconsider this proposal.

Best, Shannon
From:	S. Kelley
Sent:	Monday, March 11, 2019 4:28 PM
То:	Forbes, Elaine (PRT)
Subject:	proposed navigation center

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Sherry Kelley. I live one block from the proposed homeless navigation center, seawall lot 330, at , San Francisco. I urge the Port Commission to reject the city's request to build this Center. This property is an integral part of our residential community. We take our kids to events held there. Fleet Week, Professional sports events, to name a few. We walk our dogs along this section of the Embarcadero. Our kids learn to ride bikes and trikes and scooters here as well. A 200 bed plus facility would change all that.

While I share the City and Port's commitment to reduce homelessness, the location of the proposed navigation center poses many problems and unacceptable outcomes for our neighborhood. First, let me remind you that the proposal is in non-compliance of land use with the SF Port's Waterfront Plan and City plans.

Second, it has been well documented in published reports that areas that border on homeless shelters have many problems with crime, violence, drug use, loitering, and other criminal activities. How can we continues to raise our children and feel safe and secure in our neighborhood with the largest homeless center in San Francisco in the heart of our neighborhood?

I respectfully request that you oppose locating a homeless navigation center on seawall lot 330. Sincerely,

Sherry Kelley

From:	Steve Zocchi
Sent:	Thursday, March 07, 2019 10:04 PM
То:	Forbes, Elaine (PRT)
Subject:	SWL 330 Navigation Center Goes The Wrong Direction

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Executive Director Forbes

My name is Stephen Zocchi. I am a resident of and have lived in the East Cut/Rincon Hill neighborhood for 20 years. I ask that the Port Authority **deny approval** for the proposed navigation center at SWL 330 in its current form and **work with the community and Mayor to formulate a better solution.**

The proposal should not be approved for three reasons:

1. Too big – The SF Controller's evaluation of navigation center performance and the City Services Auditor report both state that small size (70-80 beds) was critical to success. We are **taking a proven concept and breaking it.** If the Port Authority is being asked to support a navigation center, it should be one that has a high probability of success.

2. Not Aligned with Waterfront Plan Concepts and the Community Needs. - Although temporary, a massive modular complex destroys the neighborhood design and livability, and will break the connection between the neighborhood and the waterfront. The plan is not aligned with our neighborhood's homelessness, and instead will introduce more homeless into our community raising safety, crime and health risks, rather than reducing them

3. Delays Permanent Solutions - Seawall Lot 330 is a prize property and along with Piers 30/32 should be **developed sooner for the benefit of the entire community, including permanent affordable housing** solutions without which the navigation centers are crippled. In addition, the current plan **delays much needed repairs** for Piers 30/32.

Denying the proposal in its current form would create the opportunity for the Community to work with the Mayor and the Port Authority **to rightsize a solution that better serves the local homeless**, that follows a successful model, and that enables the development of SWL 330 and Piers 30/32 for more permanent solutions

Sincerely,

Stephen Zocchi ~

Quesada, Amy (PRT)

Subject:

FW: Support the SAFE Navigation Center

From: Theodore
Sent: Friday, April 19, 2019 8:49 AM
To: Quesada, Amy (PRT) <amy.quesada@sfport.com>
Subject: Support the SAFE Navigation Center

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Ms. Quesada,

I am writing to express my support for the navigation center on Port property. There is an intense need for shelters with supportive services at the waterfront, and the nearest existing shelter is about a mile away. The majority of the people in the city say that homelessness is a major problem, and this is an effective step to address homelessness in that part of the city.

The homelessness problem is not going away quickly. I don't just want a navigation center, but I want the biggest and longest-lasting navigation center that we can get. Scaling it back from 225 beds and 4 years has not deterred the nearby NIMBYs from threatening to sue, so we should go for broke and maximize the use of the Port land for shelter.

Theodore Randolph

From:	
Sent:	Sunday, March 10, 2019 12:38 PM
То:	Forbes, Elaine (PRT)
Subject:	Navigation Center

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear MS Forbes,

Just want you to know that I am very much opposed the the planned Navigation Center in the Seawall Bryant St. location. The Dogpatch location has experienced a rise in crime and would very much NOT like it to happen in our neighborhood. I have always felt very safe here and would very much like to keep it that way. Hope you understand. Thank you very much.

From:	Victor Masaya
Sent:	Monday, March 11, 2019 8:54 PM
То:	DHSH (HOM)
Cc:	Forbes, Elaine (PRT); Quezada, Randolph (PRT); Quesada, Amy (PRT)
Subject:	Re: Homeless Shelter

Thanks Christine. Obviously that doesn't answer the question of why the waterfront versus elsewhere, especially when we have a shelter at 5th and Mission. But I presume that there is no answer so nothing to really say.

I just hope that this decision is taken seriously because it doesn't sound like the medical aspect is being taken seriously. These people need help, and real medical help, not something that costs a de minimus amount. They need to be next to hospitals and mental institutes and given the treatment they need, and not pretty home near the water. They need the entire community to pitch in and not create what will probably be another useless shelter that serves as a band-aid and a political talking point. Providing beds and warm meals as we all know is useless and solves nothing. For anyone to believe that a warm meal will change a mentally ill individual's life is naive.

We all understand why the community wasn't given ample notice, because it would result in resistance and the district would unite and fight. It makes complete sense to have the hearing during the day so that those with jobs can't opine. I'd take the same approach to slip something through. The irony of it all is that for a city that claims to be progressive it is so scared to erect something in Pac Heights, Presidio Heights, and the Marina...

Good luck. I'm sure this will go through and the end result is that it will help modestly at best, elected officials will move on to their next gig, and the rest of us living in the district will get more needles, more feces, and more people will get hurt.

As someone who's not supportive of AOC because I think her economic philosophy is challenged and her understanding of government accounting is lacking, I do like that she thinks big. And as AOC says, this proposal is "meh"...

On Mar 11, 2019, at 8:50 PM, DHSH (HOM) <<u>dhsh@sfgov.org</u>> wrote:

Good Evening Victor,

Thank you for reaching out to us with your concern about the proposed SAFE Navigation Center at Seawall Lot 330. As you know, San Francisco is facing a crisis of unsheltered homelessness in our community. Our waterfront neighborhoods are no exception.

The waterfront and adjacent neighborhoods face many challenges around homelessness, and by bringing this SAFE Navigation Center to the area, we can work to address these challenges and get our unsheltered residents on a path to housing stability. The proposed SAFE Navigation Center is intended to be temporary, as this parcel is slated for development in the future.

SAFE Navigation Centers are designed to meet people where they are and provide a safe alternative to life on the streets. They incorporate the best aspects of Navigation Centers and make them more scalable, sustainable and effective. In addition to a warm bed and meals, SAFE Navigation Centers support individuals in changing their lives through connections to social services and housing opportunities. SAFE Navigation Centers include onsite services, 24/7 access 24/7 security.

As Amy mentioned below, there will be a community meeting tomorrow evening to update the community and answer any questions they may have:

- Date: Tuesday March 12, 2019
- Time: 6:00 7:30pm
- Location: Delancey Street Foundation, 600 The Embarcadero San Francisco, CA 94107

We look forward to your input and participation in this community dialogue.

Thank you,

Christine

From: Victor Masaya ______
Sent: Monday, March 11, 2019 1:49 PM
To: Quesada, Amy (PRT) <<u>amy.quesada@sfport.com</u>>; DHSH (HOM) <<u>dhsh@sfgov.org</u>>
Cc: Forbes, Elaine (PRT) <<u>elaine.forbes@sfport.com</u>>; Quezada, Randolph (PRT)
<<u>randolph.quezada@sfport.com</u>>
Subject: FW: Homeless Shelter

Adding DHSH. Looks like proximity to medical facilities is irrelevant in this calculus.

Victor

On Mar 11, 2019, at 1:46 PM, Victor Masaya wrote:

Hi Amy, while I appreciate you getting back to me unlike other elected officials I am still perplexed as to why a residential area in close proximity to a tourist area makes any sense at all. Have we not learned from Kate Steinle's murder? Shouldn't this shelter be in closer proximity to medical facilities where mentally ill can be treated with real professionals?

This is so very confusing. Maybe it's me but I would think medical professionals would be much better equipped to deal with homeless than families, especially children. But again maybe data proves otherwise. Maybe children can help cure the homeless issue.

Best, Victor

On Mar 11, 2019, at 9:51 AM, Quesada, Amy (PRT) <<u>amy.quesada@sfport.com</u>> wrote:

Dear Victor,

Thank you for reaching out regarding the proposed navigation center at Seawall Lot 330. We appreciate hearing from the public on this matter and encourage you to attend either the upcoming Port Commission meeting or community meeting at Delancey Street to share your views. Information about both meetings is below for your information. Attached is a copy of the Port Commission agenda for your information.

The waterfront and adjacent neighborhoods face many challenges around homelessness, and by bringing this SAFE Navigation Center to the area, we can work to address these challenges and get our unsheltered residents on a path to housing stability. The proposed SAFE Navigation Center will provide 175-225 additional beds to help meet the unmet shelter need in our community.

Port Commission Meeting Tuesday March 12, 2019 3:15 p.m. Port Commission Hearing Room Ferry Building, 2nd Floor, SF CA 94107

Community Meeting Tuesday March 12, 2019 6:00 - 7:30pm Delancey Street Foundation 600 The Embarcadero San Francisco, CA 94107

Comments or questions can be directed to the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing at <u>DHSH@sfgov.org</u>. We look forward to seeing you on Tuesday!

Thanks,

Amy Quesada Port of San Francisco Pier 1 SF 94111 415-274-0405 amy.quesada@sfport.com

From: Victor Masaya ______ Sent: Sunday, March 10, 2019 11:20 PM To: Quesada, Amy (PRT) <<u>amy.quesada@sfport.com</u>> Subject: Fwd: Homeless Shelter

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi Amy, my name is Victor Masaya and I've copied in my wife Susie Masaya. We are homeowners in District 6 and have lived in the area since 2005.

We are writing to you (also left a voicemail) as we are extremely concerned about the proposal to have a homeless shelter built in the district. As a couple that is expecting our first child in late April / early May, this is of extreme concern. We don't need to tell anyone about needles, observed mental illness, human feces, etc. and we all know that the problem is getting worse. Going to Safeway and seeing one homeless carrying knives in line and another inserting plyers up his rectum is one thing but having a shelter nearby to exacerbate the problem is another. While our voices don't matter in this city, it'd be great if we could at least get some form of justification of why it makes sense to have it so close to the Ferry Building, FiDi, the Embarcadero, the Giants and the future home of the Warriors. While good economic times will continue (e.g. Lyft IPO, soon to be followed by Uber and other minotaurs), worsening the problem in the district makes it very difficult to raise a child. I realize I am not yet a parent so I am completely speculating that it's not healthy for kids to interact with homeless and come across needles but maybe the data proves otherwise.

On the economics side, it is clearly in homeowners' interest, including ours, to not have a homeless shelter close to us and I fully acknowledge that. But I would still think that would be outweighed by concern for folks that already live in the district, including children. Assuming the project does go through (and even if it doesn't), we will most certainly move out of SF.

Respectfully, A concerned resident

Victor Masaya

From:	Wallace Lee
Sent:	Friday, March 08, 2019 12:25 AM
То:	Forbes, Elaine (PRT); Quesada, Amy (PRT)
Subject:	Proposed Embarcadero Navigation Center

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Ms. Forbes and Ms. Quesada:

I am writing to voice my opposing to the proposed Navigation Center near Piers 30-32. As someone who lives and works nearby, I am fully aware that we need to help the homeless population. However, a Navigation Center just opened up at Fifth and Bryant in January. It is a mile away from the newly proposed site.

Our community has long been accepting of the homeless and homeless services. But it is too much to ask of one neighborhood to bear the burden of so many new shelter beds coming up within months of each other.

I took the liberty of visiting the Dogpatch Navigation Center, which is also on property within the Port's purview. As you are aware, the proposed Embarcadero site is very different in nature from the Dogpatch site. The Dogpatch site is not in an area with homes and children in the immediate vicinity. Nor is it a highly trafficked area. That stands in stark contrast to the highly visible Embarcadero site which is surrounded by homes and businesses that see a lot of traffic, including from visitors to our beautiful city.

I understand that the Port required mitigation measures in the Dogpatch Navigation Center, but I wonder how many of them are being enforced? I have contacted the Bayview Police Station by phone and email to get the crime statistics they are required to keep and provide upon request under the Navigation Center MOU. I have received nothing.

While I am sure that the Port is doing its best to balance the interests of the city, I cannot help but feel that our neighborhoods are being ridden over by broken promises.

Thank you for your consideration.

Best regards, Wallace

LaCroix, Leah (PRT)
Friday, March 08, 2019 4:10 PM
Forbes, Elaine (PRT)
Quesada, Amy (PRT)
Call regarding the Navigation Center

Hi Elaine,

Ms. Huang called for you this afternoon regarding the SAFE Center at SWL 330. Below is a summary of her message. I informed her of the Port Commission meeting and the community meeting on Tuesday. I'm including Amy to this email for her records.

Yun Yun Huang –

I live in D6, a few blocks away from proposed navigation center. I am calling to voice my concern. The area has a lot of activity, with the existing homeless, tourist, Giants game attendees, and families. I am concerned that the center will attract more homeless into the area. District 6 already receiving a disproportional amount of burden, and this should be shared across San Francisco. A navigation center here doesn't seem like a good use of district property, especially since district 6 already has a navigation center. There are other, more profitable, uses for this waterfront property. Please reconsider a navigation center along the waterfront.

All best,

Leah

Leah LaCroix Port of San Francisco Direct: 415.274.0632 | Fax: 415.274.0412 Leah.LaCroix@sfport.com | www.sfport.com

From:	LaCroix, Leah (PRT)
Sent:	Tuesday, March 12, 2019 10:52 AM
То:	Zack Subin
Cc:	Forbes, Elaine (PRT); Quezada, Randolph (PRT); Quesada, Amy (PRT)
Subject:	RE: Thank you for supporting the Seawall SAFE Navigation Center
Attachments:	A03122019.docx

Dear Zack,

Thank you for reaching out regarding the proposed navigation center at Seawall Lot 330. We appreciate hearing from the public on this matter and encourage you to attend either the upcoming Port Commission meeting or community meeting at Delancey Street to share your views. Information about both meetings is below for your information. Attached is a copy of the Port Commission agenda for your information.

The waterfront and adjacent neighborhoods face many challenges around homelessness, and by bringing this SAFE Navigation Center to the area, we can work to address these challenges and get our unsheltered residents on a path to housing stability. The proposed SAFE Navigation Center will provide 175-225 additional beds to help meet the unmet shelter need in our community.

Port Commission Meeting Tuesday March 12, 2019 3:15 p.m. Port Commission Hearing Room Ferry Building, 2nd Floor, SF CA 94107

Community Meeting Tuesday March 12, 2019 6:00 - 7:30pm Delancey Street Foundation 600 The Embarcadero San Francisco, CA 94107

Comments or questions can be directed to the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing at <u>DHSH@sfgov.org</u>. We look forward to seeing you at tonight's meetings.

All best, Leah

Leah LaCroix Port of San Francisco Direct: 415.274.0632 | Fax: 415.274.0412 Leah.LaCroix@sfport.com | www.sfport.com This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Commission Clerk Leah LaCroix, Supervisor Haney, and Mayor Breed,

As you know, homelessness has reached crisis proportions in San Francisco, and it causes much direct suffering to the people it affects as well as impairing quality of life for other residents. A massive housing shortage is the biggest long-term contributor to the crisis, but there are many causes. Navigation centers are the best short-term solution to get people off the streets and towards needed services.

We need to build navigation centers *throughout* the city, including the west side of the city where I live, but Mayor Breed has chosen an excellent location to fast-track a much-needed shelter.

Sincerely, Zack Subin

Zack Subin