Measure Profile

New Seawall In-Place

Flood Adaptation Measure



Anderstate Adaptability Impact on the waterfront Construction cost 100+ years Adaptability Impact on the waterfront Construction cost 100+ years Impact on the waterfront TBD Coastal Flood Hazards Mittigated Storm Surge Groundwater Waves Erosion Measures Storm Surge Groundwater Waves Erosion Measures Seismic Seismic ECOSYSTEM SERVICES: Measure may affect these shoreline values Flood Seismic Aquatic Habitat Terrestrial Water Quality Carbon Storage	PHYSICAL INFRAST	*******				
100+ yearsLowMajor InterventionTBDCOASTAL FLOOD HAZARDS MITIGATED:Sea Level RiseStorm SurgeGroundwaterWavesErosionImage: Colspan="4">Image: Colspan="4">ErosionImage: Colspan="4">MEASURES COMPATIBILITY:FloodSeismicECOSYSTEM SERVICES: Measure may affect these shoreline valuesFloodSeismicAquatic HabitatTerrestrial HabitatWater QualityCarbon Storage		Shoreline	Artist Impression of pro	oposed Embarcac	dero improvements	© CMG
COASTAL FLOOD HAZARDS MITIGATED: Sea Level Rise Storm Surge Groundwater Waves Erosion Image: Comparison of the sea	DESIGN LIFE	ADAPTABILITY	IMPACT ON THE V	VATERFRONT	CONSTRU	CTION COST
Sea Level Rise Storm Surge Groundwater Waves Erosion Image: Storm Surge Image: Storm Surge <t< th=""><th>100+ years</th><th>Low</th><th>Major Interv</th><th>vention</th><th>Т</th><th>BD</th></t<>	100+ years	Low	Major Interv	vention	Т	BD
FloodSeismicTidal Gates,Drilled Shafts, Elevated WharfDrilled Shafts, Super BulkheadAquatic HabitatTerrestrial HabitatWater QualityCarbon Storage				ater	Waves	Erosion
Tidal Gates,Drilled Shafts,Aquatic HabitatTerrestrial HabitatWater QualityCarbon StorageElevated WharfSuper BulkheadSuper Super	MEASURES COMP	ATIBILITY:	ECOSYSTEM SERV	ICES: Measure n	nay affect these sho	reline values
Elevated Wharf Super Bulkhead Aquatic Habitat Habitat Water Quality Carbon Storage	Flood	Seismic		_	_	_
			Aquatic Habitat —		Water Quality —	Carbon Storage —

DESCRIPTION:

The existing historic bulkhead wall along the shoreline can be rebuilt to a higher elevation to provide flood protection for assets landside of the its locations. This would be similar to a levee or berm, but uses the foundations of the existing seawall. The new seawall could be constructed in numerous ways, such as a steel sheet piles with a concrete cap, a concrete "t-wall" supported on piles, and other means, each with their own unique costs and construction methods.

CONSIDERATIONS:	ADVANTAGES:	DISADVANTAGES:
Deguires spordination with	Minimal boy fill which should	• Uighly discuptive t

- Requires coordination with existing structures and uses along shoreline.
- Minimal bay fill, which should improve ability to permit this measure.
- Has some seismic co-benefit.
- Highly disruptive to Promenade users, and some Port bulkhead or shed tenants.
- Difficult constructability through the existing rock dike.



Measure Profile

New Seawall In-Place

Flood Adaptation Measure



	 Long design life, with robust foundation expected to last many years. Passive flood protection solution, so no operational cost to deploy. 	
CONSTRUCTION IMPACTS TO	SEA LEVEL RISE ADAPTATION	CASE STUDIES:
 THE PUBLIC: Will have significant construction impact along the promenade and at bulkhead buildings. Based on similar experience in Seattle, the bulkhead and promenade within given project limits (say 1000LF) would be shut down on the order of 1 to 2 years (perhaps intermittently allowed to reopen). 	 OPPORTUNITIES: Adaptation of seawalls is possible, though it is costly and disruptive. Increased foundation capacity can be constructed to accommodate future sea levels. Measures such as elevated wharves, elevated pathways, and floodwalls could provide additional sea level rise protection. 	• Thames River, London, UK

DESIGN OPPORTUNITIES:

Ecological Enhancements	Urban Design	Form	
• Ecological concrete structures can be applied to the waterside face of the new seawall to provide habitat.	 Improvements to the public realm of the shoreline can be integrated into the new shoreline design. 	 New shoreline will be similar to existing shoreline, but raised up. 	

DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS:

- When building the new seawall, the old bulkhead wall would need to be removed or encapsulated behind the new wall.
- Being in a relatively high seismic hazard alignment along the waterfront, any new wall would need to accommodate potential ground movement during a seismic event, consistent with USACE seismic standards.

SITE-SPECIFIC CONSIDERATIONS:

- Existing wharves and bulkhead buildings create a challenge where the seawall bulkhead lies below them.
- Wastewater outfall locations will add challenges but not impossible to work around.

URBAN DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS:

• The rebuilding of the wall, provides some opportunity for urban design improvements with the same footprint put back in place.

INSTALLATION AND CONSTRUCTABILITY CONSIDERATIONS:

- Driving or drilling foundation elements through the existing rock dike will be a challenge, adding expense and time to the project.
- Will have significant construction impact along the promenade and at bulkhead buildings.



Waterfront Resilience Program

Measure Profile

New Seawall In-Place

Flood Adaptation Measure



• Based on similar experience in Seattle, the bulkhead and promenade within given project limits (say 1000LF) would be shut down on the order of 1 to 2 years (perhaps intermittently allowed to reopen).

ARCHITECTURAL CONSIDERATIONS:

• ADA transitions and access across the elevated stretch of wall/berm would require good urban design and accessibility layout.

HISTORICAL RESOURCE CONSIDERATIONS:

- Performing this work at or near bulkhead buildings will require significant consultation. In some locations this could be done without impacting the building (i.e. where building and shed are set 10+ feet back from the existing wall), while other places the building straddles the wall and would need to be dismantled, stored and re-assembled when the project is complete.
- The seawall bulkhead wall is a contributing resource to the Embarcadero Historic District.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE CONSIDERATIONS:

• This solution is expected to have lower operations and maintenance costs than others.

